Exhibit 1

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

	Page 1
UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND	DIVISION
In re RIPPLE LABS INC.	—) Case No. 4:18-cv-
LITIGATION) 06753-РЈН
	_)
This Document Relates to:)
ALL ACTIONS)
)
CONFIDE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	SAIFEDEAN AMMOUS, PhD
LOS ANGELES,	CALIFORNIA
WEDNESDAY, DECE	MBER 13, 2023
9:08 A	A.M.
Reported by: Leslie A. Tod	ld, CSR No. 5129 and RPR
DIGITAL	EVIDENCE GROUP
1730 M Stre	eet, NW, Suite 812
Washing	gton, D.C. 20036

(202) 232-0646

Case 4:18-cv-06753-PJH Document 341-2 Filed 01/23/24 Page 3 of 341

12/13/2023

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 2
	Deposition of SAIFEDEAN AMMOUS, PhD, held at
2	the offices of:
3	
4	
5	KING & SPALDING LLP
6	LOS ANGELES OFFICE
7	633 West 5th Street
8	Suite 1600
9	Los Angeles, California 90071
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Pursuant to notice, before Leslie Anne Todd,
15	California Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
16	the State of California, who officiated in
17	administering the oath to the witness.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
1	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 3
1 .	APPEARANCES
2	
3	On Behalf of Lead Plaintiff and Certified Class:
4	OLEG ELKHUNOVICH, ESQUIRE
	MICHAEL TAYAG, ESQUIRE
5	SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
6	Los Angeles, California 90067
7	(310) 789-3193
8	mtayag@susmangodfrey.com
9	oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com
10	
11	On Behalf of Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.:
12	BRADLEY OPPENHEIMER, ESQUIRE
13	JUSTIN BERG, ESQUIRE
14	KELLOGG HANSEN TODD FIGEL & FREDERICK
15	1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
16	Washington, D.C. 20036
17	(202) 326-7968
18	boppenheimer@kellogghansen.com
19	jberg@kellogghansen.com
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	JINAH CHOI, Videographer

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

			Page 4
1		CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINA	ATION OF SAIFEDEAN AMMOUS, PhD	PAGE
3	Ву	Mr. Oppenheimer	9
4			
5		EXHIBITS	
6		(Attached to transcript)	
7	AMMOUS	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	PAGE
8	No. 175	5 Twitter posts	23
9	No. 176	5 Twitter posts	25
10	No. 177	7 Transcript of interview of Jeff	
11		Deist by Saifedean Ammous: Sound	
12		Economics in a Confused World	28
13	No. 178	3 Twitter posts	53
14	No. 179	Twitter posts	54
15	No. 180	URL of podcast interview of	
16		Jeff Davidson by Saifedean Ammous	56
17	No. 181	Podcast interview of Jeff Davidson	
18		by Saifedean Ammous	56
19	No. 182	XRP Market Structure Working Paper:	
20		A Macroeconomic Perspective,	
21		beginning Bates No. RPLI_00499634	130
22			

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

			Page 5
1 .		E X H I B I T S C O N T I N U E D	
2		(Attached to transcript)	
3	AMMOUS DI	EPOSITION EXHIBITS	PAGE
4	No. 183	Columbia University Center on	
5		Capitalism and Society Working	
6		Paper No. 92, August 2016: Can	
7		Cryptocurrencies fulfil the	
8		functions of money? by	
9		Dr. Saifedean Ammous	203
10	No. 184	Insights "On-Demand Liquidity	
11		Spans Worldwide, "November 2022	206
12	No. 185	Twitter posts	229
13	No. 186	Twitter posts	237
14	No. 187	Twitter posts	241
15	No. 188	Twitter posts	242
16	No. 189	Twitter posts	246
17	No. 190	Twitter posts	249
18	No. 191	Twitter posts	252
19	No. 192	Twitter posts	254
20	No. 193	Book written by Saifedean Ammous:	
21		Principles of Economics	258
22			

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

			Page 6
1		E X H I B I T S C O N T I N U E D	
2		(Attached to transcript)	
3	AMMOUS DE	POSITION EXHIBITS	PAGE
4	No. 194	Book written by Saifedean Ammous:	
5		The Fiat Standard, The Debt	
6		Slavery Alternative to Human	
7		Civilization	259
8	No. 195	Article by Joakim Book, March 10,	
9		2022: Everything is the Fed's Fault:	
10		A Review of the Fiat Standard	265
11	No. 196	Book written by Saifedean Ammous:	
12		The Bitcoin Standard	269
13	No. 197	Article by David Gerard, 7th April	
14		2018: Saifedean Ammous: The Bitcoin	
15		Standard - Making the Austrian Schoo	1
16		case for Bitcoin	271
17	No. 198	David Gerard's profile from	
18		Lawrence University	271
19	No. 199	Twitter posts	273
20	No. 200	Coppola Comment: The Bitcoin	
21		Standard - a critical review,	
22		April 11, 2018	275

Case 4:18-cv-06753-PJH Document 341-2 Filed 01/23/24 Page 8 of 341

12/13/2023

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 7
1 .	EXHIBITS CONTINUED	
2	(Attached to transcript)	
3	AMMOUS DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	PAGE
4	No. 201 Twitter posts	279
5	No. 202 Twitter posts	280
6	No. 203 Twitter posts	281
7	No. 204 Twitter posts	284
8	No. 205 Twitter posts	288
9	No. 206 Twitter posts	289
10	No. 207 Twitter posts	292
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 8
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
4	of media number 1 in the videotaped deposition of
5	Dr. Saifedean Ammous taken by counsel for
6	defendant in the matter of Ripple Labs Inc.
7	Litigation filed in the United States District
8	Court, Northern District of California, Oakland
9	Division, Case No. 4:18-cv-06753-PJH.
10	This deposition is being held at King &
11	Spalding, located at 633 West Fifth Street, Unit
12	1600, Los Angeles, California 90071. Today's date
13	is December 13th, 2023, and the time on the
14	monitor is 9:09 a.m.
15	My name is Jinah Choi. I am the
16	videographer, and the court reporter is Leslie
17	Todd, representing Digital Evidence Group.
18	Counsel will state their appearances for
19	the record, after which the court reporter will
20	swear in the witness.
21	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Oleg Elkhunovich,
22	Susman Godfrey, on behalf of lead plaintiff and

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 9
1	certified class.
2	MR. TAYAG: Michael Tayag, Susman
3	Godfrey, class counsel.
4	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Bradley Oppenheimer
5	from Kellogg Hansen Todd Figel & Frederick for
6	defendant Ripple Labs Inc. I'm joined by my
7	colleague Justin Berg from the same firm.
8	SAIFEDEAN AMMOUS, PhD,
9	and having been first duly sworn,
10	was examined and testified as follows:
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
13	Q Good morning, Dr. Ammous. Could you
14	please state your name for the record.
15	A Saifedean Ammous.
16	Q And where do you currently live?
17	A Amman in Jordan.
18	Q And where do you ordinarily conduct
19	business?
20	A Amman, where I live.
21	Q You understand that you're testifying
22	today under the same oath that you would take if

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 10
1 ,	you were tes	stifying in a courtroom before a jury,
2	right?	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Is there any reason you can't give
5	truthful and	l accurate testimony today?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Have you ever been deposed before?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Okay. It looks like you brought a
10	couple of do	ocuments with you. Can you tell us
11	what those a	are?
12	А	This is my report and this is
13	Professor Al	len Ferrell's report.
14	Q	Have you made any annotations in
15	those copies	;?
16	А	No.
17	Q	Okay. So let's start with your
18	report, and	we've got a copy that we'll mark as
19	an exhibit f	for identification. This will be
20	Exhibit 174.	
21		(Exhibit No. 174 was marked for
22		identification.)

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 11
1 .	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
2	Q Is Exhibit 174 a copy of the rebuttal
3	report you submitted in this litigation?
4	A Let me just make sure. (Peruses
5	document.)
6	Looks like it, yes.
7	Q And this report is dated August 30th,
8	2023, right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q You haven't submitted any supplements
11	or amendments since August 30th, 2023, correct?
12	A I have not.
13	Q And you don't currently intend to do
14	so, correct?
15	A I do not intend to do so.
16	Q Your August 30th report marked as
17	Exhibit 174 contains all of the expert opinions
18	you intend to offer in this case, right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And it contains all the bases for
21	those opinions, correct?
22	A I mean, I would imagine that that
1	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 12 would be difficult. The basis for that opinion 1 is my 20-year career in this field, which can't 2 3 all be contained in a report, but it includes a significant -- it includes the reference to all 4 the material that was consulted directly and 5 6 cited. 7 0 And your report contains all of the 8 reasoning that you've applied to reach your 9 conclusions in this case? 10 Α Yes. If you look at Exhibit 174, your 11 0 12 report, there's an Exhibit A within that. 13 Α Yes. 14 0 Exhibit A is a copy of your CV, 15 correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 0 And the CV that you submitted with 18 your report contains all of the credentials you believe to be relevant to your opinions in this 19 20 case; is that right? 21 Α Yes. 22 Please turn to paragraph 1 of your Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 13
1	report on page 1.
2	A Mm-hmm.
3	Q In paragraph 1, you write: "I have
4	been asked to offer my expert opinion on and
5	respond to the expert report of Professor Allen
6	Ferrell dated July 18, 2023, in this case."
7	Does that accurately describe the
8	assignment you were given?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And all of the opinions that you're
11	offering in this case are intended to rebut
12	opinions that were offered by Professor Ferrell,
13	correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q You're not here to offer any opinions
16	rebutting the expert reports submitted by any of
17	defendants' other expert witnesses, correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Let me ask you to turn to paragraph
20	14.
21	In paragraph 14 you write: "Based on
22	my qualifications and work experience, I believe

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 14
1	I am qualified to offer an assessment of the
2	economics of digital assets."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q What do you mean by the "economics of
6	digital assets" in that paragraph?
7	A It means the study of the economics
8	of digital assets like Bitcoin, Ripple, Ethereum.
9	Q I don't mean to be tedious, but I
10	think your answer to what you mean by "the
11	economics of digital assets" was the study of the
12	economics of digital assets.
13	Can you define that term, "the
14	economics of digital assets"?
15	A So economics is the field of study of
16	human action under the condition of scarcity.
17	And the the applications of this field extend
18	to all kinds of avenues of human action. And
19	digital assets are forms of digital property that
20	are new, and they have economic value and they
21	are scarce, and they are traded, some of them
22	in exchanges, some of them peer to peer, and so

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 15
1	they have economics, and I study these economics.
2	Q Do you understand Professor Ferrell
3	to have offered an opinion on the economics of
4	digital assets in his report?
5	A I would say yes.
6	Q Let's turn to paragraph 15, the next
7	paragraph in your report.
8	A Mm-hmm.
9	Q There you write: "I am being
10	compensated at a rate of \$500 per hour for my
11	work on this case, including the preparation of
12	this report. No part of my compensation is
13	contingent on the substance of my opinions or on
14	the outcome of this case."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q How much time approximately did you
18	spend writing the report in this case?
19	A Forty-one hours.
20	Q And how much time have you spent
21	working on this case beyond writing the report?
22	A I'm guessing around another 30 hours.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 16
1	Q	So if my math is right, you've been
2	paid or at l	east billed something around \$35,000;
3	is that righ	it?
4	А	Mmm, yes, sort of. Well, I haven't
5	billed the f	inal the last month or so, I
6	haven't bill	ed it yet, so I've billed less than
7	that.	
8	Q	Okay. Did you do anything to prepare
9	for today's	deposition?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And what did you do?
12	А	I reread the reports.
13	Q	Anything else?
14	А	That's pretty much it.
15	Q	Did you meet with anyone to prepare
16	for your dep	position today?
17	А	Yes, I met with counsel.
18	Q	Approximately how many times?
19	А	We met in person yesterday, and we
20	met over vid	leoconferencing over the past couple
21	of months, I	would say I don't know exactly
22	how many off	the top of my head, but somewhere

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page	17
1	between four	and six, I think, times.	
2	Q	Roughly how many hours total have you	
3	spent meetin	g with counsel in preparation for	
4	your deposit	ion?	
5	А	Approximately 12, I'd say.	
6	Q	Did you do anything else to prepare	
7	for your dep	osition today?	
8	A	I flew in from Amman, Jordan. That	
9	was a 24-hou	r trip. I don't know if that counts	
10	as preparati	on, but, yeah, that was for this	
11	deposition.		
12	Q	Anything else?	
13	А	No, that's it.	
14	Q	Have you discussed your testimony in	
15	this case wi	th anyone other than counsel?	
16	А	No.	
17	Q	Have you discussed your involvement	
18	in this case	with anybody other than counsel?	
19	А	No.	
20	Q	Let's turn back to your CV, Exhibit A	
21	to Exhibit 1	74.	
22	A	Mm-hmm.	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 18
1	Q	Is this a is this CV complete and
2	accurate to	the best of your knowledge?
3	А	Probably, yes.
4	Q	So let's start with the Education
5	section at	the beginning.
6	А	Mm-hmm.
7	Q	Does your does the Education
8	section her	e reflect a complete and accurate list
9	of the degr	ees that you've obtained?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And below that you've got a section
12	called Expe	rience. Do you see that?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Does the Experience section reflect a
15	complete an	d accurate list of the work experience
16	that you've	had since 2001?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Below that is a section called
19	Publication	s.
20	А	Mm-hmm.
21	Q	Does the Publications section contain
22	a complete	and accurate list of books and

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 19
1	articles tha	at you have published?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Now, let's turn back to the Education
4	section just	for a minute.
5	A	Mm-hmm.
6	Q	Starting at the bottom of this
7	section, you	ı list a Bachelor of Engineering in
8	mechanical e	engineering from American University
9	in Beirut ea	arned in 2003, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And that was followed by an MSc in
12	development	management in 2004, correct?
13	A	Mm-hmm.
14	Q	What is development management?
15	A	It refers to management in the
16	context of o	development projects, economic
17	development	
18	Q	And by "economic development," is
19	that referr	ing to developing public areas,
20	private buil	ldings, other things?
21	A	In this context it specifically
22	refers to de	eveloping countries. So projects in

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 20
1	the context of poor countries transforming to
2	more developed, more advanced, industrialized
3	economies.
4	Q And an MSc, is that a master's
5	degree?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now, next up on the list, 2006, MA in
8	sustainable development. In 2007, MPhil in
9	sustainable development.
10	A Mm-hmm.
11	Q Those are also master's degrees,
12	right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And what is sustainable development?
15	A It's a field of study of economic
16	development within the context of sustainability
17	and environmental concerns.
18	Q And were those master's degrees
19	earned in the course of you working on your PhD?
20	A Yes.
21	Q So let's talk about your PhD then.
22	You received a PhD in sustainable development in

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 21
1	2011, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And your dissertation was on
4	alternative energy science and policy, correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q You're not claiming that your PhD
7	dissertation topic is relevant to the opinions
8	that you're offering here, are you?
9	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
10	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
11	Q You can answer.
12	A I think it is relevant in that it all
13	depends on it is economics, and it's the
14	application of the tools of economics.
15	Q How does your opinion in this case
16	relate to biofuels?
17	A Both are economic topics, and so
18	understanding economics allows me to develop
19	mental tools for analyzing both.
20	Q So you're saying that because your
21	PhD dissertation involved economic tools that
22	that is its relevance to your report here; is

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 22 that right? 1 No, I'm saying that any -- I'm saying 2. 3 that studying economics at a graduate level and obtaining a PhD degree allows you to understand 4 economic questions with a certain level of 5 6 command. 7 Dr. Ammous, isn't it true that you've 0 previously called your PhD mostly unreadable 8 9 academic nonsense? 10 Α I may have said that online, yeah, probably. 11 12 Well, not just "may have." In fact, 13 you did say that online; isn't that right? 14 Α Yeah. 15 And you've also said that you learned 16 more about economics from a website called 17 Mises.org than you did when obtaining any of your 18 university degrees, right? 19 Α Yes. 20 Q Mises.org is the website for the 21 Mises Institute; is that right? 22 Α Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 23
1	Q	And is it true that Mises the
2	Mises Institu	ute website taught you more than your
3	PhD did about	c economics?
4	A	I would say so, yeah.
5	Q	You've also said that you've learned
6	more from Tw	itter than you did from any school or
7	university;	is that right?
8	А	That is probably accurate, yes.
9	Q	Well, let's see if we can do better
10	than "probab	ly."
11		I'm going to show you a document that
12	we will mark	as Exhibit 175.
13		(Exhibit No. 175 was marked for
14		identification.)
15	BY MR. OPPENI	HEIMER:
16	Q	Exhibit 175 is a post on Twitter from
17	the account (Saifedean. Is that your account?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And it has a blue checkmark. Does
20	that indicate	e that this is a verified account?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	How long have you had a verified

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 24 1 Twitter account? I don't remember exactly. I think 2. А 3 it's been around a year. 4 Why did you decide to get a verified Q account? 5 6 Α Because there are a lot of 7 impersonator accounts that impersonate me and contact people. 8 9 So the verified account allows people 10 to know that the tweets from this account are really yours and not an impersonator? 11 12 Yes, in theory. Although, 13 unfortunately, many of the impersonator accounts 14 can get the verified checkmark as well, so it's 15 not exactly foolproof. 16 But this account shown in 17 Exhibit 175, @Saifedean, that's the account that you control, right? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Q And what you wrote here was, in the 21 bottom tweet on this page dated January 4th, 22 2019, at 8:50 a.m., quote: No, I use Twitter

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 25
1	primarily for my education, and in 10 years it's
2	taught me more than any school or university and
3	completely changed my life. Is that right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you believe that Twitter has
6	taught you more than your PhD program at
7	Columbia?
8	A I would say so.
9	Q And it's also true that you said
10	Bitcoin podcasts provide a more sound economic
11	education than universities do, correct?
12	A I don't remember the exact context in
13	which I said this, and if I if I did say it in
14	those terms, but I will I do remember sharing
15	that sentiment at certain points, not necessarily
16	in the same exact words you mentioned.
17	Q All right. Well, let's let's see
18	if we can get the exact words then.
19	We'll mark this document Exhibit 176.
20	(Exhibit No. 176 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 26
1	Q And if you look on Exhibit 176, the
2	third tweet down on the page, it's dated
3	August 9th, 2023, at 8:04 p.m.
4	Do you see that?
5	A Mm-hmm.
6	Q What you write there is: "Bitcoin
7	podcasts have definitely done more sound economic
8	education in a year than fiat universities manage
9	in a century."
10	Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Is that your view sitting here today
13	that Bitcoin podcasts do more sound economic
14	education than fiat universities do?
15	A I think I would have to agree with
16	that, yeah.
17	Q This tweet is dated about three weeks
18	before you submitted your rebuttal report in this
19	case. Had you already been engaged as an expert
20	in this litigation by that time?
21	A I don't remember exactly when the
22	term of engagement started, but I think I'm

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 27 pretty sure I was by August 9th. I don't 1 think -- yeah, and this was definitely longer 2. 3 than a three-week engagement. 4 Do you have an approximate date of Q when before your report you were engaged? 5 6 Not off the top of my head, 7 unfortunately. Do you know if it was before or after 8 0 9 Professor Ferrell submitted his report? I do not remember, no. 10 Α Okay. And I apologize, I don't 11 0 12 remember if we covered this earlier, so I'll just 13 ask it now. 14 Have you ever been engaged as an 15 expert witness in any other case? 16 Α No. 17 0 Okay. Turning back to your PhD in 18 sustainable development, isn't it true that you believe the economics you learned in your PhD 19 20 program made no sense? 21 A lot of it, yeah. Α 22 Well, not just "a lot of it," all of Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 28 1 it. Isn't it true that you think none of 2 3 what you learned in your PhD program made any 4 sense? No, I think "none" is a big word. 5 Α 6 wouldn't say "none," but I think a lot of it did 7 not make sense. Well, you said "none" in the past 8 0 9 when describing this, haven't you? I may have. 10 Α Let's get another exhibit. We will 11 0 12 mark this as 177. 13 (Exhibit No. 177 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 16 Exhibit 177 is a transcript of an 0 17 interview that you did with Jeff Deist, 18 D-E-I-S-T, at the Mises Institute in February of 2020, correct -- I'm sorry, February of 2022, 19 20 correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And if you look on the first page of

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 29
1 ,	Exhibit 177, the bottom paragraph
2	A Yes.
3	Q what you state there in this
4	transcript is: "I was a PhD student at Columbia
5	University learning regular mainstream economics,
6	none of which made any sense."
7	A Mm-hmm.
8	Q Do you see that?
9	A Mm-hmm.
10	Q Was that statement accurate when you
11	made it in February of 2022?
12	A Well, I would say here, if you read
13	the sentence, it says, " learning regular
14	mainstream economics, none of which made any
15	sense."
16	Your earlier question asked me if
17	everything I learned at Columbia didn't make
18	sense, but I did learn things other than what I
19	would term regular mainstream economics. So for
20	instance, the statistics that I learned at
21	Columbia I believe was very useful.
22	Q So let's let's make sure we've

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 30 really clarified this then. 1 2 You agree that the regular mainstream 3 economics you learned during your PhD program did 4 not make any sense, right? 5 And by that, yeah, I refer to the --Α what I would call the neoclassical approach to 6 7 economics and macroeconomic theory in particular. And you weren't taught any Austrian 8 0 9 theory economics during your PhD program, were 10 you? 11 Α No, I wasn't taught it, but I did 12 learn it on my own. 13 0 Right, and we'll come back to that. 14 Was there any other form of economics 15 other than the neoclassical approach that you 16 were taught during your PhD program? 17 Α We were taught a lot of econometrics 18 and statistical analysis, which is neither Austrian nor neoclassical. It's completely 19 20 separate. 21 And how much of the econometrics that 22 you were taught made sense?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 31		
1	A A lot of it. It was very useful.		
2	Q Were there any other fields that you		
3	studied in your PhD program?		
4	A Yes. I mean, it was a		
5	multidisciplinary program where we took a variety		
6	of topics. So I took courses in climate, I took		
7	courses in science policy, I took courses in		
8	energy, and I took and a lot of statistics.		
9	Q Anything else?		
10	A And then, you know, the economics		
11	courses as well.		
12	Q Right. Do you consider yourself an		
13	econometrician?		
14	A I would not call myself an		
15	econometrician as a first job, but it's something		
16	that I've studied extensively.		
17	Q Professor Ferrell's econometric		
18	analysis here, or at least part of it, involves a		
19	factor model, correct?		
20	A Mm-hmm.		
21	Q You've never published any papers		
22	employing a factor model, have you?		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 32	
1 .	А	I have not.	
2	Q	His report also discusses the concept	
3	known as an	event study. Right?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	You've never published any papers	
6	employing ar	n event study, have you?	
7	А	I have not.	
8	Q	You don't have any degrees in	
9	finance, com	rrect?	
10	A		
11		You don't have any degrees in	
	· ·	Tou don't mave any degrees in	
12	psychology?		
13	A	I do not.	
14	Q	One other concept that Professor	
15	Ferrell disc	cusses in his report is market	
16	efficiency.	You've never published any papers on	
17	how to measure market efficiency, have you?		
18	А	No, I have not.	
19	Q	Now, we mentioned Austrian School	
20	economics briefly earlier, and I'd like to dive		
21	into that a little more. I think you testified		
22	that you tai	ight yourself Austrian School	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 33 economics; is that right? 1 I mean, online. 2. А Yes. 3 It's fair to say that Austrian economics is outside the academic mainstream, 4 correct? 5 6 А Correct. 7 0 And it's fair to say that you reject views of mainstream academic economics, right? 8 9 I mean, it depends on views. Α 10 Obviously, there are some things that I accept and that I wouldn't disagree with, but there are 11 12 some, of course, that I do disagree with. And I 13 would say it isn't really like it is a cut and 14 dry issue of who's in the mainstream and who 15 isn't. It's a lot more shades of gray rather 16 than white and black. 17 So a lot of -- some Austrian 18 economists would be considered in the mainstream. So Friedrich Hayek, for instance, he won the 19 20 Nobel Prize in economics, and he is an Austrian. 21 Right. So fair to say there are in 0 some sense two major sub-schools of Austrian 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 34 thought, one associated with Friedrich Hayek and 1 one associated with von Mises? 2. It's difficult to say that. 3 4 wouldn't entirely agree, because there is an enormous amount of overlap and agreement between 5 6 And they -- it's -- trying to split it 7 into different schools makes it sound a little more separate than it really is. I'd say there's 8 9 a lot more homogeneity than to say -- I mean it's 10 debatable, a subjective thing. Well, your view is that Austrian 11 0 economists are vilified and excluded from 12 13 mainstream academia, correct? 14 Α There is a degree of that, yes. 15 And it's also your view that 16 mainstream economics is a load of rubbish, right? 17 Α Yes. 18 Now, is it fair to say in general terms Austrian School economists don't use an 19 20 empirical approach based on mathematical 21 modeling, that they -- well, let me stop the 22 question there. So I'll withdraw it and give you

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 35 1 a clean one. Is it fair to say in general terms 2 3 that Austrian School economics don't use an 4 empirical approach based on mathematical modeling? 5 No, I think this is -- it's -- the 6 7 issue is not that they don't use it. This is a common misconception, I think. The issue is that 8 9 Austrians believe that empirical analysis has to 10 be grounded in theory. And so without theory, data is -- data is mute. Data can't tell you 11 12 anything. 13 0 And one concept associated with the 14 Austrian School of economics is praxiology, 15 right? 16 Α Yes. 17 0 The notion of praxiology is, in 18 general terms, making logical deductions from basic human truths. Is that fair? 19 20 Α Yeah, specifically the study of human 21 action. 22 And so in Austrian praxiological Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 36
1	analysis, you start with axioms about universal
2	truths of human action and reason from that. Is
3	that right?
4	A Yeah.
5	Q Now isn't it true that you've said
6	your PhD is worthless?
7	A I don't remember the exact time and
8	place if I said that. I don't know. I may have.
9	Q Isn't it true that you've said PhDs
10	are a dime a dozen a day sorry, a dime a dozen
11	today?
12	A I have probably said that, yes.
13	Q And isn't it true that you said to
14	get a PhD, you just need to repeat the propaganda
15	of the government funding you?
16	A I think I probably said that, yes.
17	Q And you've called university degrees
18	stupid pointless signals, right?
19	A Probably, yes.
20	Q And you called your own university
21	education a stupid waste of your youth, right?
22	A I think I have, yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 37
1	Q	Let's turn back to the CV attached to
2	your report, 1	Exhibit 174.
3	I	Now, from 2003 until 2011, you were a
4	graduate stude	ent, right?
5	A I	No. 2004.
6	Q T	What did you do between the time you
7	got your bache	elor's degree in 2003 and the time
8	you started g	rad school in 2004?
9	A I	Well, I started my PhD grad school,
10	so I I gue:	ss you could say yes, because in
11	2003 I started	d the master's degree in the London
12	School of Eco	nomics, yeah.
13	Q	Okay. So you were in that graduate
14	program 2003 a	and 2004, and then at Columbia 2004
15	to 2011; is t	hat right?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q I	Now, after you graduated from your
18	PhD program,	you joined the Columbia University
19	Center on Cap	italism and Society, right?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q T	What were your responsibilities in
22	that role, if	any?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

1 A So this was I should say I started 2 working with them a little bit earlier as well in	
2 working with them a little bit earlier as well in	
3 2000 and I think it was in 2007. No, 2011.	
4 Q If you	
5 A Actually, it's not listed here, but I	
6 think in 2011, I joined the center. But in any	
7 case, I was a member a foreign member of the	
8 center, which included being part of the center's	
9 events, the center's publications, and working on	
10 task forces on grants and conferences and so on.	
11 Q Did you get paid for your work in	
12 that role?	
13 A Well, the role itself didn't entail	
14 compensation, but it allowed me to get into to	
15 get so we worked on the research, the Smith	
16 Richardson Foundation grant, so I was part of	
17 that. So it wasn't the role itself that offered	
18 me compensation, but some part of the works there	
19 was involved involved compensation.	
20 Q The research that you did in	
21 connection with your role at the Columbia	
22 University Center on Capitalism and Society	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 39
1	concerned the Arab Spring, right?
2	A Some of it, yes.
3	Q Was there other research that you did
4	in that role?
5	A Yes. I worked with with three
6	other economists in the center, Edmund Phelps and
7	Gylfi Zoega and Raicho Bojilov, on economic
8	research on the topic of economic dynamism under
9	a grant from the Smith Richardson Foundation.
10	Q Now, you never published any peer-
11	reviewed articles on the Arab Spring research
12	that you were doing, have you?
13	A What I did publish was an article
14	called "Arab Corporatism in Corruption and
15	Economic Development," selected papers from the
16	Economic Research Forum, 18th Annual Conference.
17	Q And that was published in 2012,
18	right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Which was the same year that you
21	joined the Center on Capitalism and Society,
22	correct?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 40
1	A Well, it's this is the first year
2	that I joined it as a foreign member, but I was
3	also there in 2011. But I guess I just didn't
4	include it in this version of the CV.
5	Q Do you know why you didn't include it
6	on this CV?
7	A No, I think it was because I was just
8	trying to make the CV concise. Because I was
9	there as a visiting scholar in 2016 and I was
10	there in 2011, so I didn't mention 2011 because
11	it's already in 2016, and I didn't want to repeat
12	the same thing. I think it's just that's it.
13	Q Are you still associated with the
14	Center on Capitalism and Society today?
15	A No, the Center on Capitalism and
16	Society has shuttered its door recently.
17	Q When did that happen?
18	A I don't know exactly I don't
19	remember exactly, but it was within the last
20	year, I think.
21	Q Do you know if it was within the last
22	three months?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 41
1	A I don't know for sure.
2	Q Okay. So your CV here lists the
3	dates of your affiliation with the Center on
4	Capitalism and Society as 2012 to present.
5	A Mm-hmm.
6	Q And it's your testimony, though, that
7	the affiliation no longer goes to the present
8	because that center has shut down, correct?
9	A Yeah, although yeah, I would have
10	forgotten to update this. Although, no, I think
11	it may be the case that when I submitted my CV
12	for this court case, I think the center was still
13	going on. I don't remember to be honest. I
14	don't remember when the date of the shuttering of
15	the center was, and I clearly didn't update my
16	CV.
17	Q Why did you stay affiliated with the
18	Columbia University Center on Capitalism and
19	Society given your thoughts about how
20	universities are a waste of time and talent?
21	A Well, I think the center has always
22	been a place where I thought I could find

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 42
1 .	intellectual stimulation and the ability to think
2	outside of dominant convention.
3	Q So universities are a waste of time
4	and talent, except not at the Center on
5	Capitalism and Society at Columbia?
6	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
7	THE WITNESS: I did not say that.
8	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
9	Q Your CV says that in 2009 you were an
10	instructor at Columbia University. That was
11	while you were a PhD student, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q An instructor is not a professor,
14	right?
15	A Mm-hmm.
16	Q It's a student teaching position?
17	A Well, in that case it wasn't really a
18	student teacher position. It was a teaching
19	position.
20	Q Well, a teaching position you held as
21	a student, right?
22	A I would say it would be more accurate

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 43
1	to say that	it was held on top of being a
2	student, so	it was separate from me being a
3	student beca	ause you couldn't teach as a student
4	like this.	
5	Q	An instructor is not a tenure-track
6	position at	Columbia, is it?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Later on in your CV you describe the
9	role of lect	turer at the Columbia University
10	Middle East	research center. Do you see that?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	A lecturer also is not a tenure-track
13	position, is	s it?
14	A	No, it is not.
15	Q	Now, when you were at the Columbia
16	University M	Middle East research center, you
17	weren't tead	ching university students, were you?
18	A	No.
19	Q	You were teaching Jordanian civil
20	servants, co	orrect?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	At some point, Dr. Ammous, did you

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 44
1 ,	start a company called Thabit Economics?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What was Thabit Economics?
4	A It was an embryonic idea for a
5	business that went nowhere.
6	Q What was the nature of that business
7	or the idea that you had for it?
8	A The basic business idea was that it
9	would be a service that would offer people to use
10	a digital currency that was backed by physical
11	gold stored in safe locations in Switzerland.
12	Q And Thabit Economics itself was a
13	Swiss company, right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q When did you shut it down?
16	A Mmm, I'm not entirely certain. It
17	was 2013 or 2014, but most likely 2013.
18	Q Why did you leave that off of your
19	resume?
20	A Because it went nowhere.
21	Q Moving upwards on your CV here, the
22	next item in your experience is listed as 2016 to

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 45
1	2019, co-fou	nder and research fellow with the
2	Lebanese Ins	titute for Market Studies.
3		Do you see that?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Was that your primary employment from
6	2016 to 2019	?
7	А	No.
8	Q	What was your primary employment from
9	2016 to 2019	?
10	А	I was an assistant professor of
11	economics in	the Lebanese American University.
12	Q	Well, if you look a few lines up on
13	your CV, the	re is an entry for Lebanese American
14	University.	It's the second one
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	under Experience. Do you see
17	that?	
18	А	Yes. There is some typo there I just
19	realized now	
20	Q	Okay. So just to make the record
21	clear, assis	tant professor of economics is listed
22	as 2018 to 2	019. You're saying those dates are

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 46
1	wrong?
2	A Yes. It should have been 2011
3	instead of 2018. So I was a lecturer in
4	economics from 2009 to 2011, I was assistant
5	professor of economics from 2011 to 2019, and I
6	was an associate professor of economics from
7	2000 and no, sorry. Actually, wait a second.
8	I was assistant professor of
9	economics from 2011 to 2018, and then I was
10	associate professor of economics from 2018 until
11	2019.
12	Q And were those tenure-track positions
13	at Lebanese American University?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you get tenure?
16	A No.
17	Q When did you find out you weren't
18	getting tenure?
19	A In 2018.
20	Q So you found out in 2018 that you
21	weren't going to get tenure there. In 2019 you
22	left Lebanese American University, right?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 47
1	A No, I left yes, 2019, yes.
2	Q Why did you leave?
3	A I left because I decided that it was
4	better for me to work on being an to work as
5	an independent author and educator.
6	Q Was that related to the fact that you
7	weren't going to get tenure there?
8	A Not much. I don't think it would
9	have made a difference. Even if I got tenure, I
10	would have left.
11	Q And you've been self-employed since
12	2019, correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Is it fair to say that today you make
15	your living primarily through selling online
16	courses and books?
17	A Not online books. I make my living
18	from selling online courses. Well, I would say
19	from selling books and online courses.
20	Q Thanks for the clarification.
21	Approximately how much do you make
22	each year from your online courses? We'll take

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 48 1 books separately. From my courses, I would say -- I 2. 3 don't have the numbers, to be honest, so I don't 4 really have accurate numbers on it. And I -- I don't remember at this point. I haven't run the 5 6 numbers on what my income is from courses. 7 How about from your books? 0 I also don't have accurate estimates 8 А 9 for the last year, and the numbers vary 10 drastically. So book sales are highly variable. Well, let me ask it this way: 11 0 12 Earlier I think we established you've incurred 13 something like \$35,000 in fees for your expert work in this case. Whether that's been billed or 14 15 not to this point, you've worked the hours for 16 that. 17 Do you have an approximate estimate 18 of what percentage of your income that would be for the year? 19 20 Α I would say it's less than 10 percent 21 of my income. 22 Approximately how many subscribers 0

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 49
1	does your w	ebsite have?
2	А	I don't know.
3	Q	Is it more than a thousand?
4	А	I think so, but I'm not sure.
5	Q	More than 10,000?
6	А	I don't think so, no.
7	Q	When did you first start selling
8	online cour	ses?
9	А	In 2019 well, 2019 or 2020. I'm
10	almost cert	ain it's 2019.
11	Q	And you've done that through the
12	website Sai	fedean.com; is that right?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	You own and operate that website,
15	correct?	
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Now, on your website you offer
18	subscribers	an option to become Saifedean.com
19	affiliates,	right?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	What does it mean to be a
22	Saifedean.c	om affiliate?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 50
1	A	It means you tell people about the
2	course, you	get them to sign up and they get a
3	discount, and	d you get a referral fee.
4	Q	Saifedean.com affiliates get a
5	percentage o	f the subscription fees that people
6	they refer p	ay to the website, right?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Approximately how many affiliates are
9	there today?	
10	A	I don't know.
11	Q	When did you first launch the
12	program?	
13	A	August or September of this year.
14	Q	You also offer courses online at
15	Saylor Acade	my, right?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Have you ever had any financial
18	relationship	with Saylor Academy or any of its
19	affiliates?	
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Can you describe the financial
22	relationship	you've had?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 51 1 Α They pay me to host my courses on their website. 2 3 Approximately how much do they pay you for that? 4 I'm not sure if I'm supposed to be 5 saying it. I don't know if there was a 6 7 confidentiality agreement. I don't remember at this point. 8 9 Sitting here today, are you aware of 0 any confidentiality agreement between you and 10 Saylor Academy? 11 12 I am not aware of any, no. 13 0 So approximately how much do they pay 14 you for hosting your courses on their website? 15 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Counsel, I'm going 16 to object. I sort of gave you leeway on these 17 questions, but I think you already asked and got 18 an answer to the only one that mattered, which is how his compensation compares in this case to 19 20 everything else. So it seems like getting into 21 the details of his compensation for a variety of his activities is just designed to be intrusive 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation
Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 52 into his private life as opposed to his expert 1 life. So I suggest we move on. 2 3 MR. OPPENHEIMER: I disagree, and I don't think the federal system is the place for a 4 long speaking objection like that. 5 6 Are you instructing him not to answer? MR. ELKHUNOVICH: I'm not instructing 7 anything. I'm suggesting we should move on to 8 9 other topics. 10 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. Well, the question stands. 11 12 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Okay. And my 13 objection stands as well. 14 They pay \$20,000 I think THE WITNESS: 15 per course. 16 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 17 Q Per course? 18 Α Yeah. And how many courses do you have on 19 Q 20 the Saylor Academy website? 21 Α There are two live, and there's a third that should go live very soon. 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

_		
		Page 53
1	Q	Have you ever been paid to promote
2	Saylor Acade	emy?
3	А	No, not to promote it.
4	Q	Okay. You've told your online
5	followers th	nat Saylor Academy offers a fully
6	accredited u	niversity degree, haven't you?
7	А	Mmm, I don't remember if that was the
8	exact phrasi	ng that I used.
9	Q	Okay. We're going to mark this
10	exhibit as 1	.78.
11		(Exhibit No. 178 was marked for
12		identification.)
13	BY MR. OPPEN	THEIMER:
14	Q	Exhibit 178 is a Twitter thread, the
15	bottom tweet	here is dated June 23rd, 2023,
16	1:51 a.m.	
17	А	Mm-hmm.
18	Q	In that tweet you wrote: "Some of
19	them are off	Tered on Saylor.org where you can get
20	a fully accr	redited university degree."
21		You see that?
22	А	Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 54
1	Q	I'm going to mark another exhibit.
2	This will be	e Exhibit 179.
3		(Exhibit No. 179 was marked for
4		identification.)
5	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
6	Q	Exhibit 179 is another Twitter
7	thread. If	you look at the second one on the
8	page, it's d	lated October 5th, 2021, 2:01 p.m.
9	А	Mm-hmm.
10	Q	What you wrote there in the third
11	line was: "	Go to Saylor.org and take courses and
12	get full deg	grees for free."
13		Do you see that?
14	А	Mm-hmm.
15	Q	You also wrote in your report in this
16	case at para	graph 10 of Exhibit 174 that
17	Saylor.org i	s, quote, a degree granting online
18	university.	
19		Do you see that?
20	А	Mm-hmm.
21	Q	Dr. Ammous, it's not true to say that
22	Saylor Acade	emy grants degrees, is it?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 55 I think it is. That's what -- I 1 Α think I heard it from a discussion with their --2. 3 I forget his exact title. His name is -- I 4 forget his exact name and his exact title, but he is I think the executive director of Saylor 5 6 Academy, and he came on my podcast and he 7 explained that you can take their courses, pay 8 for somebody to proctor the exams, and get a 9 degree. 10 0 Well, in fact, what he told you is that they can't say that you get degrees from 11 12 Saylor Academy; isn't that right? 13 Α I don't remember that. 14 All right. So we're going to introduce a video clip. 15 I believe this is the 16 podcast interview you were just discussing. 17 MR. OPPENHEIMER: For the record, we will mark as Exhibit 180 just a page that has the 18 URL of the video that we're going to play, and 19 20 then we'll play part of the video on the screen. 21 So Exhibit 180 is the URL. We will mark the electronic video file as Exhibit 181. 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 56
_	1 (Exhibit Nos. 180 and 181 were
2	2 marked for identification.)
3	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Well, do we have an
4	4 electronic video file?
į	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Our our hot
6	6 seater has it. I
-	7 (Video played:)
8	B "DR. AMMOUS: So, you guys, you offer
9	9 a full"
10	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Well, hold on.
13	l Let's turn it off.
12	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Can our hot seater
13	3 wait just a second?
14	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: I mean, how are we
15	5 handling this? You didn't produce this. This
16	is as far as I'm concerned, this doesn't exist.
17	7 So you're marking something that I don't have.
18	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Yeah, Oleg, you have
19	9 the link to it. You can type in this link on your
20	computer and get the same thing we're exactly
23	l about to play. It's publicly available
22	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Okay. So I object
1	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 57
1	
2	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Hang on. It's
3	publicly available, so there's no production
4	obligation here.
5	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: I object to marking
6	Exhibit 181 (sic) and Exhibit 181, which does not
7	exist. I haven't seen it. I do not have it.
8	I do not object to you playing the
9	video that you want to play which I understand
10	you're representing is a YouTube video with a link
11	on Exhibit 180; is that right?
12	MR. OPPENHEIMER: That's right.
13	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Okay.
14	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. It's noted.
15	So if our hot seater can pull up this
16	clip, what I'm going to show you is on the YouTube
17	link reflected in Exhibit 180, we're going to play
18	that video from time stamps 5 minutes, 38 seconds,
19	to 6 minutes, 4 seconds.
20	(Video clip played:)
21	"DR. AMMOUS: Yeah, and so you guys,
22	you offer a full university degree for free?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 58
1	"JEFF DAVIDSON: Well, certificates.
2	And right now we don't we don't we don't
3	have the degree right now. So we are in the
4	process of getting permission for the degree. For
5	a business degree, we have all the (inaudible) up
6	there, all the courses you would need. And so in
7	America the word 'degree' is highly regulated, so
8	we can't use the word 'degree' yet."
9	(End of video clip.)
10	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
11	Q That was a clip from your podcast
12	episode with Jeff Davidson, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And Jeff Davidson is the CEO of
15	Saylor.org; is that right?
16	A I'm not sure, but I think so.
17	Q And he told you, "We can't use the
18	word 'degree' yet" when he was on your show,
19	right?
20	A It seems like it, yes.
21	Q Why did you say that Saylor
22	University grants degrees in your report and in

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 59 Exhibits 178 and 179? 1 2. I'm not sure. I think I -- it А Mmm . 3 must have slipped my attention when he was 4 speaking, but I'm -- I'm clearly mistaken if this 5 is the case. 6 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. We've been 7 going about an hour. If you would like to take a 8 break, I'm happy to. If you would rather keep 9 going, it's up to you. MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Let's take a little 10 11 break. 12 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 10:09 a.m. 14 15 (Recess.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the 16 17 beginning of media number 2. We're on the record 18 at 10:20 a.m. 19 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 20 Q Dr. Ammous, can I ask you to please 21 open your expert rebuttal report, Exhibit 174, to 22 paragraph 11.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 60
1	A Mm-hmm.
2	Q What you wrote in paragraph 11 was:
3	"I have worked as a consultant and advisor to
4	several companies in the digital asset space,
5	including NYDIG, Upstream Data Inc., and
6	Coinbits."
7	You see that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Have you worked as a consultant and
10	advisor to any companies other than the ones
11	listed in this paragraph?
12	Let me withdraw that question and
13	give it a little better.
14	Have you worked as a consultant and
15	advisor for any other digital asset companies
16	other than the ones listed in paragraph 11?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What other companies?
19	A Off the top of my head now, there's
20	Block App. And for a very brief period, I was
21	worked with a company called GiveBitcoin. Those
22	are the only two that I remember at this point.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 61
1	Q	Okay. So let's start with the ones
2	you've liste	d here. The first one is NYDIG.
3	What is NYDI	G?
4	А	NYDIG is a financial digital asset
5	financial se	rvices company headquartered in New
6	York.	
7	Q	And what is your relationship with
8	NYDIG?	
9	А	I am an advisor to the company.
10	Q	Is that role ongoing as of today?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	When did you start as an advisor to
13	NYDIG?	
14	A	In 2019, I think May. I'm not
15		if it was May or June, but it was
16	around that	time in 2019.
17	Q	And in general terms, what issues do
18	you consult	NYDIG on?
19	А	Bitcoin mostly.
20	Q	Does NYDIG pay you?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	For all of these consulting

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 62
1	engagements	taken together, approximately what
2	percentage o	of your income do these constitute?
3	А	I'm guessing somewhere in the range
4	of 30 percer	nt. Maybe for my overall income, 20,
5	30 percent,	something like that.
6	Q	And roughly how much of that is from
7	NYDIG in par	rticular?
8	A	The bulk of it.
9	Q	Are you aware that NYDIG is owned by
10	Stone Ridge	Asset Management?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Do you have any investments in NYDIG?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Any other financial interest aside
15	from their p	payment of you as a consultant?
16	A	No.
17	Q	You've promoted NYDIG online before
18	on your Twit	tter account, right?
19	А	Fair to say.
20	Q	Was that a paid promotion?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Do you know whether NYDIG has ever

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 63
1	acquired or	owned XRP?
2	A	Not in particular. I don't have
3	this I'v	e never received any information one
4	way or the	other, so I don't know.
5	Q	Do you have any reason to doubt that
6	the NYDIG B	asket Fund owned XRP at some time?
7	A	No reason to doubt it. I think it's
8	plausible.	
9	Q	And do you know whether the parent
10	company Sto	ne Ridge Asset Management has ever
11	purchased X	RP?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Has it?
14	A	Yes, I do. They have.
15	Q	Do you know if NYDIG or Stone Ridge
16	Asset Manag	ement are class members in this case?
17	A	I don't know if they are, no. I have
18	no idea.	
19	Q	Did you do any work to find out if
20	they are be	fore you took on this engagement?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Have you done any work to find out

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 64
1	since the t	ime you started this engagement?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Why not?
4	A	It did not cross my mind.
5	Q	The next one on the list is Upstream
6	Data Inc. N	What work do you do for Upstream Data
7	Inc.?	
8	A	I I also advise them on Bitcoin
9	strategy.	
10	Q	When did that work begin?
11	A	I think 2019 as well, but I could be
12	wrong.	
13	Q	And does that work continue as of
14	today?	
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Do you know if Upstream Data ever
17	purchased an	ny XRP?
18	A	I don't think they have.
19	Q	You said you don't think they have.
20	Do you know	for certain one way or the other?
21	A	I don't know for certain, but I don't
22	think they l	nave.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 65
1	Q	The next one you list is Coinbits.
2	What work ha	ve you done for Coinbits?
3	А	I've also discussed Bitcoin strategy
4	with them.	
5	Q	When did your work for Coinbits
6	begin?	
7	А	I honestly don't remember the date.
8	I'm guessing	2020 or 2021.
9	Q	And is that work continuing as of
10	today?	
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Are you aware that Coinbits suspended
13	its operation	ns in June of 2023?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	What work have you done for Coinbits
16	since the ti	me they shut down their operations?
17	А	I haven't really done much. We have
18	been discuss	ing they have been discussing with
19	me their str	ategies for returning to the market.
20	They want to	come back.
21	Q	You've promoted Coinbits online as
22	well, correc	t?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page	66
1	A Yes.	
2	Q Was that a paid promotion?	
3	A No, but it was part of my advisory	
4	role with them.	
5	Q And your advisory role is a paid	
6	role, right?	
7	A No, in this case it was actually	
8	unpaid. I don't get paid with a salary, but I	
9	was given stock options.	
10	Q Do you still hold those stock options	
11	today?	
12	A I think so, yeah.	
13	Q And I think you also identified Block	
14	App as another company you've consulted for.	
15	What work have you done for Block App?	
16	A I should say Blockcap. Right, is	
17	that what it is? Yeah.	
18	Q Thank you. Blockcap.	
19	What work have you done for them?	
20	A I was also a Bitcoin advisor for	
21	them.	
22	Q When did that begin?	
1		l.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 67	
1 .	A In 2021.	
2	Q And is that ongoing today?	
3	A No.	
4	Q When did that end?	
5	A Well, Blockcap became Core	
6	Scientific, and then Core Scientific went	
7	bankrupt.	
8	Q And you haven't done any work for	
9	them since that bankruptcy; is that right?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Do you know if Blockcap or Core	
12	Scientific is a class member in this case?	
13	A I don't know.	
14		
	Q Have you done anything to figure it	
15	out?	
16	A I have not, no.	
17	Q You also mention GiveBitcoin. What	
18	were the approximate dates of your work for	
19	GiveBitcoin?	
20	A That was really brief, a couple of	
21	weeks, and then I just and then I stopped	
22	doing it because I realized I didn't have the	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 68
1	time for it.	
2	Q	And roughly when was that?
3	А	2019 probably.
4	Q	Was that a paid engagement?
5	А	No. It was compensated by stock
6	options.	
7	Q	Do you still have those stock options
8	today?	
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	I'm not sure I asked you for
11	Blockcap, so	for Blockcap were you paid for that
12	engagement?	
13	А	No. It was also stock options.
14	Q	And do you still have those today?
15	А	No, the company went bankrupt.
16	Q	I take it you own Bitcoin.
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Have you ever sold any of your
19	Bitcoin?	
20	А	I I mean, I I sell to I earn
21	Bitcoin. A	lot of my earnings are in Bitcoin, so
22	I regularly	sell to fund my spending.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 69
1	Q	And when you say to fund your
2	spending, ye	ou mean you convert Bitcoin into fiat
3	currency tha	at you can use for day-to-day
4	purchases;	is that right?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Do you consider Bitcoin an
7	investment?	
8	A	You could say that Bitcoin is an
9	investment,	yes.
10	Q	Do you own any other cryptocurrency
11	besides Bit	coin?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Have you ever purchased any
14	cryptocurre	ncy besides Bitcoin?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Which crytopcurrencies have you
17	purchased?	
18	A	Litecoin and Ethereum.
19	Q	Have you ever purchased XRP?
20	A	No.
21	Q	When was the last time you bought
22	either Lite	coin or Ethereum, the latest of those?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 70
1	А	2014.
2	Q	So from 2015 to the present, you've
3	never bought	any cryptocurrencies other Bitcoin;
4	is that righ	t?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	Why did you buy Litecoin or Ethereum?
7	А	I was new to the space and I didn't
8	really under	stand what was going on, and I just
9	thought it w	as worth throwing a few bucks at
10	them.	
11	Q	Have you sold all of your Litecoin
12	and Ethereum	?
13	А	I sold my Litecoin within a few days
14	or weeks of	buying it. And the Ethereum, I lost
15	it. I lost	the passwords for it a long time ago
16	and have giv	en up to try to find it.
17	Q	To the best of your knowledge, have
18	any of your	immediate family members ever
19	purchased XR	P?
20	А	No.
21	Q	Do you invest in any other financial
22	assets other	than cryptocurrency or, sorry,

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 71
1 ,	other than I	Bitcoin?
2	A	No.
3	Q	So is it fair to say then that the
4	A	Hang on. Would you include gold as a
5	financial as	sset? Then, yeah, if you would
6	include gold	i.
7	Q	Okay. Is it fair to say then that
8	your net wor	cth consists of Bitcoin, gold,
9	physical ass	sets, and your businesses, nothing
10	else; is tha	at right?
11	A	Some dollars as well. Some U.S.
12	dollars and	Jordanian dinars.
13	Q	Anything else?
14	А	No.
15	Q	You're not an accountant, correct?
16	~ A	No, I'm not.
17	Q	You're not a certified financial
18	planner?	Tod Te Hot d'etterried l'Haneral
	-	N.o.
19	A	No.
20	Q	And you're not a chartered financial
21	analyst, are	
22	A	I am not.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 7	72
1	Q You don't hold any professional	
2	certifications that you believe are relevant to	
3	the opinions you've offered in this case, do you?	
4	A I guess my PhD degree. Although	
5	that's not a professional certification, right?	
6	Q I'm asking specifically about	
7	certifications, you know, things that you take a	
8	test, get accredited for. Do you have any	
9	professional certifications?	
10	A No.	
11	Q Have you ever provided professional	
12	investment advice to other people?	
13	A Not as a professional, no.	
14	Q Have you ever provided investment	
15	advice other than as a professional?	
16	A Yes. I've told people things.	
17	Q What what what people have you	
18	given nonprofessional investment advice to?	
19	A Family and friends.	
20	Q And what things have you told them?	
21	A Generally the only financial advice	
22	that I ever tell anybody is to hold Bitcoin for	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 73 the long term. 1 Let's turn to your list of 2. 3 publications in your CV. For the record, that's in Exhibit A to Exhibit 174. 4 Mm-hmm. 5 Α 6 Overall you've published seven 7 articles in peer-reviewed journals; is that right? 8 9 I guess you could say eight because 10 one of these is listed here as a working paper, but it has actually been published in an academic 11 12 journal, and that is the fifth -- no, sixth 13 publication in the list, "Slowdown: Aviation and 14 Modernity's Lost Dynamism." Okay. So you published eight peer-15 16 reviewed articles, right? 17 Α Mm-hmm. 18 Of those eight -- let's see -- three 0 of them address biofuels; is that right? 19 20 Α I'd say two. 21 And how many of them address 0 22 aviation?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 74
1 .	А	One.
2	Q	And three of them address digital
3	assets, righ	it?
4	А	Yes, three. Although there is one
5	missing from	this list.
6	Q	What publication is missing from this
7	list?	
8	А	"Can cryptocurrencies fulfil the
9	functions of	money?"
10	Q	When was that published?
11	А	I think 2018. I'm not sure.
12	Q	Why didn't you list that on your
13	publications	s here?
14	А	I don't know. I'm not sure. It
15	should have	been listed.
16	Q	Just so the record is clear then,
17	your four pu	ublications on that relate to
18	cryptocurrer	ncies are, 2018, Blockchain
19	Technology:	What is it good for?" 2018, "Can
20	Bitcoin's Vo	platility Be Tamed?" 2015, "Economics
21	Beyond Finan	cial Intermediation," and the
22	unlisted pap	er "Can cryptocurrencies fulfil the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 75
1	functions of	money?" Is that right?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Now, none of your peer-reviewed
4	publications	conduct quantitative price impact
5	analyses, do	they?
6	А	No.
7	Q	None of them apply factor models,
8	right?	
9	А	No.
10	Q	And none of them apply event studies.
11	А	No.
12	Q	And the most recent peer-reviewed
13	article you	published was in 2018. Is that
14	accurate?	
15	А	I'm not entirely sure if it was 2018
16	or no, I	think it was 2018, yeah.
17	Q	2018 is the most recent one you
18	listed here,	right?
19	А	Yeah.
20	Q	And you've also published three
21	books, the P	rinciples of Economics, The Fiat
22	Standard, and	d The Bitcoin Standard, correct?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 76
1	А	Correct.
2	Q	And your opinion in this case relies
3	on those thr	ee books in part, right?
4	А	Yes, to an extent.
5	Q	And they form part of the basis for
6	the expertis	e that you're claiming to bring to
7	bear on the	issues in this case, right?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Now, The Fiat Standard and Principles
10	of Economics	are both self-published, right?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And in particular, the publisher
13	that's liste	ed on them is Saif House Publishing,
14	correct?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And you own that?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	When did you establish Saif House
19	Publishing?	
20	А	2021 with the publication of The Fiat
21	Standard.	
22	Q	And why did you start Saif House
1		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page	77
1	Publishing?	
2	A So that I could publish my own books.	
3	Q Since the time you started Saif House	
4	Publishing, that has been the only publisher you	
5	have worked through, right?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Now if you could turn forward in	
8	Exhibit 174, there is another section, Exhibit B,	
9	which is the List of Materials Considered. Do	
10	you see that?	
11	A Mm-hmm.	
12	Q Is this a complete list of the	
13	materials that you considered in preparing your	
14	report for this case?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Did you personally review all of the	
17	documents that are listed here?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q So if a document is not listed in	
20	this exhibit, it means that you did not consider	
21	it when forming your opinions, right?	
22	A No. That does not follow.	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 78 Well, I'm trying to understand all of 1 0 the documents you considered in forming your 2 3 opinions. Are there any that aren't listed in 4 Exhibit B to your report? I mean, understanding fields as 5 Α 6 complex as digital currencies and economics 7 requires a significant amount of research and study that I have performed over many, many 8 9 years. 10 And the -- this appendix refers to the material directly considered for the writing 11 12 of this paper, but that does not equal all the 13 material considered or all the material that 14 helped me form my opinions. So I can't cite 15 every single book of economics, every single 16 resource on digital currencies. 17 0 All right. And I'm not asking you 18 to, you know, try to come up with a list of every 19 book that you've read over the course of your 20 career. 21 But the question I have for you is, in connection with writing this report, did you 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 79
1	consult any documents that aren't listed in
2	Exhibit B?
3	A There's my other paper which isn't
4	listed here but it should have been listed, "Can
5	cryptocurrencies fulfil the functions of money?"
6	For some reason that's not listed, but I did
7	consider that one.
8	Q Anything else?
9	A I do not recall. I I might have
10	looked at some other files that didn't end up
11	making the cut.
12	Q But as you sit here today, you're
13	unable to name any other documents that you
14	considered in connection with writing your
15	report.
16	A Yes, none that I can recall.
17	Q Right. Have you reviewed the
18	deposition transcript or video of Allen Ferrell?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Which one, transcript?
21	A Transcript.
22	Q Okay. Is it correct that in forming

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 80 your opinions in this case, you did not consider 1 academic literature concerning XRP or the XRP 2. 3 Ledger? 4 No, because my paper "Can Α cryptocurrencies fulfil the functions of money?" 5 is an academic paper, and it also discusses XRP. 6 7 Okay. And that's the one that's not 0 listed here? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Other than that paper that you wrote, you didn't consider any other academic literature 11 12 concerning XRP or the XRP Ledger in connection 13 with writing this report, right? 14 Α It's not easy for me to determine that sitting here because I don't have access to 15 16 all my files and all the papers that I've read 17 over the last months. 18 Did you make an effort to note which documents you were considering as you prepared 19 20 your report and opinions in this case? 21 Α Yes. 22 Does the list in Exhibit B reflect 0

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 81
1	your best effort to compile the list of materials
2	that you considered in forming your opinions and
3	writing your report?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now, Professor Ferrell provided
6	workpapers and data files to support his expert
7	report.
8	You didn't review those workpapers
9	and backup data files in connection with forming
10	your opinions, did you?
11	A I did.
12	Q You did.
13	A Mm-hmm.
14	Q Approximately when were they provided
15	to you?
16	A I don't remember.
17	Q What was in the workpapers and data
18	files that Professor Ferrell produced?
19	A I remember the data for these for
20	the factor analysis that he conducted.
21	Q Anything else you recall?
22	A No.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 82
1	Q When did you first learn about this
2	case?
3	A I don't remember the date. It was
4	sometime in the summer. I'm guessing June or
5	July.
6	Q And was that when you were first
7	contacted by counsel?
8	A Yes. I presume it must have been
9	July because I think the report was already out
10	when they contacted me.
11	Q Did counsel provide you with any
12	assumptions about facts in this case that you
13	relied on when forming your opinions?
14	A I don't recall anything of the sort.
15	Q Did anybody assist you in writing
16	your report?
17	A I mean counsel read it and reviewed
18	it and offered me notes.
19	Q So I'm not asking about conversations
20	with counsel. Putting that aside, did anyone
21	else assist you in writing your report?
22	A No.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 83
1 .	Q Your list of materials considered
2	also includes reports by some of the other
3	experts that the plaintiffs have introduced in
4	this case, including the reports of Jeremy Clark,
5	Steven Feinstein and Joel Seligman. Do you see
6	that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you rely on any of those reports
9	in forming the opinions you've offered in your
10	rebuttal report here?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Which ones?
13	A I think I mean, I read all three.
14	I think the one that was the most relevant and
15	influential was probably Jeremy Clark's.
16	Q Well, let's take them one at a time
17	to make it clear.
18	So you you read and relied on the
19	opinions offered by Jeremy Clark, right?
20	A I would say so, yeah.
21	Q Did you rely on the opinions offered
22	by Steven Feinstein?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 84
1	A I don't think so, no.
2	Q Did you rely on the opinions offered
3	by Joel Seligman?
4	A Not to the best of my knowledge. I
5	don't recall them being very relevant to my
6	topic. They might be, but I can't remember off
7	the top of my head.
8	Q If you were relying on Professor
9	Seligman's opinions, you would cite his report
10	and the places where you were relying on them,
11	right?
12	A I mean, if I would quote him
13	directly. But there is a gray area of I may have
14	learned things from his report that don't
15	necessarily require a citation.
16	Q So you think there may be some things
17	that you learned from Professor Seligman's report
18	that you're relying on that aren't directly cited
19	in your opinion; is that right?
20	A I'm not saying there is. I'm just
21	I wasn't just comfortable with the broad brush
22	dismissal that you had offered, so I was just

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 85
1	qualifying t	that.
2	Q	Well, I'm trying to get exactly what
3	your testimo	ony is here. My question to you was,
4	you think th	nere may be some things that you
5	learned from	n Professor Seligman's report that you
6	are relying	on that weren't directly cited in
7	your report;	is that right?
8	А	I don't think there is. I think the
9	possibility	exists that I may have benefitted
10	from reading	g these reports.
11	Q	Got it. Thank you.
12		You traveled internationally for your
13	deposition t	oday, right?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	I take it then you have a passport.
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Do you have more than one passport?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Which country is the issuer of your
20	passport?	
21	A	Jordan.
22	Q	And which country or countries are

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 86
1	you a citize	en of?
2	A	Jordan.
3	Q	Is that it?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Are you a legal resident of any other
6	countries?	
7	A	No.
8	Q	Your CV indicates that you lived in
9	Lebanon for	some time. Are you legally permitted
10	to live in 1	Lebanon as of today?
11	A	I can go to Lebanon for three months
12	at a time w	ith my Jordanian passport.
13	Q	Did you understand when you accepted
14	your engager	ment in this case that you may need to
15	testify in p	person at trial if the case reaches
16	that stage?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And did you understand that the trial
19	would take p	place in California, if there is one?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Are you aware of any reason as you
22	sit here to	day why you wouldn't be able to travel

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 87
1	to California to testify for trial?
2	A No.
3	Q There are no direct flights from
4	Jordan to Los Angeles, correct?
5	A No, there aren't.
6	Q Were you aware that defendants
7	offered to hold your deposition in a U.S. city
8	with direct commercial flights to or from Jordan?
9	A I think there was a lot of back and
10	forth on the issue of the deposition.
11	Q Was it your preference to have your
12	deposition held in Los Angeles?
13	A No.
14	Q Let me sharpen that question. Was it
15	your preference to have your deposition held in
16	Los Angeles as compared to any other U.S. city?
17	A No, it doesn't make much of a
18	difference for me anywhere in the U.S.
19	Q Okay.
20	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Let's go off the
21	record for a second.
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 88
1	record at 10):52 a.m.
2		(Pause in the proceedings.)
3		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
4	record at 10):53 a.m.
5	BY MR. OPPEN	JHEIMER:
6	Q	Do you have a visa authorizing you to
7	travel to th	ne United States?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	What type of visa?
10	A	I'm not entirely sure. I think it's
11	called a B-1	_ .
12	Q	And do you know how long that visa is
13	valid for?	
14	A	Yes. Until early 2026.
15	Q	Okay. In your report, Exhibit 174,
16		lease turn to paragraph 16.
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Now, paragraph 16 to 18, the header
19		tion is "Summary," right?
20	A	Yes.
21		And it's fair to say these paragraphs
	Q contain an c	
22	contain an (overview of the opinions you seek to

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 89
1	offer in this case?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now, in paragraph 16, you refer to
4	Professor Ferrell's econometric analysis.
5	A Mm-hmm.
6	Q Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q What do you understand his
9	econometric analysis to be?
10	A It's the factor model.
11	Q To make this section clearer and
12	easier, I'm going to give you a copy of Professor
13	Ferrell's report. It's been previously marked as
14	Exhibit 149.
15	Now, if you look in Exhibit 149 at
16	paragraph 54, Professor Ferrell in that paragraph
17	says: "I also present an alternative
18	specification," which in the next sentence he
19	says, "is following the Griffin and Shams 2020
20	framework."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 90
1	Q Your report never mentions the
2	alternative specification based on Griffin and
3	Shams, does it?
4	A No.
5	Q Are you intending to offer a separate
6	response to that portion of Professor Ferrell's
7	analysis?
8	A No.
9	Q Now, you understand that Professor
10	Ferrell's opinion in general terms was that
11	Ripple's actions had no long-term impact on the
12	price of XRP, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you understand that he reached
15	that conclusion using a factor model, right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q You're not offering an opinion that
18	Professor Ferrell shouldn't have used a factor
19	model in the first place, are you?
20	A No.
21	Q You're not offering an opinion that
22	he chose the estimation periods for his factor

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 91
1	model, are you?
2	A I am not.
3	Q You're not offering an opinion that
4	he selected the wrong factors for the factor
5	model, are you?
6	A Well, what do you mean by "wrong"?
7	Q Are you offering an opinion that
8	Professor Ferrell included factors that he ought
9	not to have included?
10	A Ought? For what purpose?
11	Q Do you think that Professor
12	withdrawn.
13	Are you offering an opinion that
14	Professor Ferrell's factor model includes any
15	factors that by virtue of their inclusion render
16	his factor model econometrically invalid?
17	A In the way he interprets it, yes.
18	Q Where can I find that opinion in your
19	report?
20	A (Peruses document.)
21	So the analysis begins with paragraph
22	49 and continues up until paragraph 61. I would

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 92

- 1 say the punch line, if you want, is paragraph 58,
- 2 59, and 60.
- 3 O And so which particular factors did
- 4 Professor Ferrell include that, in your opinion,
- 5 render his factor model econometrically invalid?
- 6 A The introduction of the price of --
- 7 the introduction of the metrics for the
- 8 cryptocurrency market broadly as an independent
- 9 variable and a variable exogenous to the actions
- 10 of Ripple Labs. Those two variables in my
- 11 opinion are endogenous.
- 12 And so he treats them as if they are
- 13 exogenous and concludes that the driver of the
- 14 returns is the broader cryptocurrency market
- 15 rather than the efforts of Ripple Labs, and I
- 16 don't think you can make that conclusion if the
- 17 two factors are endogenous.
- 18 Q So I think I heard you say "metrics
- 19 for the cryptocurrency market broadly, " but then
- 20 you said "two variables." Which two variables
- 21 specifically are you talking about?
- 22 A Efforts of Ripple Labs and the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 93
1	movements of the cryptocurrency market.
2	Q So in your opinion, Professor Ferrell
3	should not have included movements of the
4	cryptocurrency market as a factor in his factor
5	model; is that right?
6	A Should to what end? I mean, these
7	normative questions are difficult for me to
8	answer, because who's shoes am I in to say should
9	and to what purpose?
10	Q Well, you're offering an opinion, are
11	you not, that by including that factor, Professor
12	Ferrell's factor analysis was invalid?
13	A Mm-hmm.
14	Q I need a verbal yes or no.
15	A Yes.
16	Q Would his factor analysis have been
17	econometrically valid had he excluded those two
18	factors you just identified?
19	A He can't exclude the first one, which
20	is the efforts of Ripple Labs, because that's the
21	one that he's looking to test. So it's not
22	possible to say that he could perform this test

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 94 without that variable. 1 For the second one, I mean, look, he 2 3 can perform whatever analysis he wants. 4 question is can we draw the conclusion. And if you're going to use this variable as a metric to 5 proxy for something that is outside of the 6 control of Ripple, it doesn't matter that it 7 is -- it's not -- it doesn't to have just to be 8 9 outside the control of Ripple, it has to also not 10 affect Ripple Labs. Do you consider yourself qualified 11 0 12 and capable of performing a factor analysis? 13 Α Yes. 14 Do you think you could have performed a factor analysis here that did not include 15 16 movements in the broader cryptocurrency market as 17 a factor? 18 Α Sorry. Could you repeat the question, could I what? 19 20 Q Do you think you could have performed 21 a factor analysis here that did not include 22 movements in the broader cryptocurrency market as

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 95 a factor? 1 I could. Anybody can perform any 2. А 3 analysis with any factor they want, yes. 4 Q You didn't do that, did you? 5 Α No. 6 Are you offering an opinion that 7 there is no factor analysis that Professor Ferrell could have constructed that would have 8 9 been econometrically valid to support a 10 conclusion about the causes for changes in XRP's price? 11 12 Α No. 13 0 You did not attempt to construct a 14 factor analysis that would have been 15 econometrically valid to support such a 16 conclusion, did you? 17 Α No, I did not. 18 Is it your opinion that if Professor 0 Ferrell had excluded the factor on broader 19 20 cryptocurrency market movements, that his factor 21 analysis would have been econometrically valid? 22 You can't ask me that question Α

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 96

- 1 because the analysis rests on this factor. So
- 2 you can't remove this factor from the model and
- 3 then keep the model accurate because the whole
- 4 model estimates this factor.
- I mean, the conclusions pertain to
- 6 Ripple Labs' efforts and the broad cryptocurrency
- 7 market. You can't analyze those two things
- 8 without a factor for one and factor for the
- 9 other. So it's a -- it's a contradictory
- 10 question to ask me, Well, if we take this out of
- it, it's like taking the apple of the apple pie,
- 12 and then what's going to -- will the apple pie be
- 13 delicious if it doesn't have apple? Or will it
- 14 be an apple pie if it doesn't have apple?
- 15 Q So are you offering an opinion that
- 16 there is no econometrically valid factor analysis
- 17 that could be designed to determine whether
- 18 Ripple's efforts caused changes in XRP's price?
- 19 A No. I'm offering an opinion on this
- 20 particular econometric analysis.
- 21 O Okay. So what factors would
- 22 Professor Ferrell need to have included in order

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 97

- 1 to have an econometrically valid factor analysis
- 2 that he could use to determine whether Ripple's
- 3 efforts caused changes in XRP's price?
- 4 A He would've had to find a factor that
- 5 proxies for the cryptocurrency market without
- 6 being endogenous to the efforts of Ripple --
- 7 Ripple Labs.
- 8 Q Would he have had to change any other
- 9 factors or just that?
- 10 A I think this is the most important
- one, and this is the one that he's estimating
- 12 primarily.
- Q Well, you say it's the most important
- 14 one. Are there other factors that he would've
- 15 had to change?
- 16 A Well, I mean, look, there are several
- 17 parameters and several -- several specifications,
- 18 several ways in which he has laid out his
- 19 parameters.
- I can imagine that if I were to take
- 21 a deeper look at each one of these, given the
- 22 counter -- the hypothetical counter scenario that

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 98 you're offering, I may find more things to 1 change, but none come to my mind at this point. 2 And you haven't listed any others in 3 your report, right? 4 5 Α No. No, you haven't? 6 O 7 А I have not. 8 0 Okay. And your conclusion that the 9 factor on the broader cryptocurrency market was 10 inappropriate to include is based on your analysis that -- or your conclusion that Ripple 11 12 Labs can affect the broader cryptocurrency market 13 beyond XRP; is that right? It is based on the fact that 14 Α No. 15 Ripple can affect the cryptocurrency broader 16 market, and more importantly, that the broader 17 cryptocurrency market can affect what Ripple 18 It's a two-way causality street. And in order for it to be an exogenous variable, neither 19 20 should be affecting the other, neither should be 21 driving the other. Then we would be able to 22 discern the statistical impact of each.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 99
1	Q So in your view, the only way you can
2	include a factor that is econometrically valid is
3	if that factor has no causal relationship in
4	either direction with the actions that you're
5	trying to investigate; is that right?
6	A In the factor model where we're
7	trying to distinguish the the impact of two
8	particular factors on a price level. If the two
9	are endogenous, then, yes, you cannot do it.
10	Q What do you mean when you say
11	"endogenous factors"? How do you define that
12	term?
13	A Endogeneity refers to the phenomena
14	where changes in one factor can lead to changes
15	in another factor. An exogenous factor two
16	factors that are exogenous are two factors that
17	change without affecting one another. Endogenous
18	factors will affect one another.
19	Q And it's your opinion that if a
20	factor model includes endogenous factors, that
21	it's econometrically invalid; is that right?
22	A I would say so.
1	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 100
1	Q What economic literature do you cite
2	to support that conclusion?
3	A I mean, this is basic econometrics.
4	I don't particularly I don't think I cite any
5	one in particular about this, but this is basic
6	econometrics.
7	Q But you don't have any citation for
8	it, correct?
9	A Not in the report, no.
10	Q And just to be clear, you're not
11	offering an opinion that Professor Ferrell got
12	the arithmetic wrong when doing his factor
13	analysis, right?
14	A I'm not offering that opinion.
15	Q Now, Professor Ferrell also offers an
16	opinion about Ripple's ODL product. Are you
17	aware of that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q In terms of ODL, and specifically its
20	transaction costs, he offers an opinion at
21	paragraphs 76 and 77 of Exhibit 149.
22	Do you see that?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 101
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Now, you're not offering an opinion
3	that Profess	or Ferrell's math was wrong in the
4	calculations	he did there, right?
5	A	No.
6	Q	You're not offering an opinion that
7	he was wrong	to determine that ODL use increased
8	over time, as	re you?
9	A	No.
10	Q	You're not responding to the
11	break-even a	nalysis that he conducted in
12	paragraph 77	and Exhibit 19 of his report, are
13	you?	
14	A	I am not.
15	Q	And he also opined in paragraph 74 of
16	his report th	nat ODL's technical efficiency
17	improved over	r time.
18		You're not offering a response to
19	that opinion	, are you?
20	А	I am not.
21	Q	And you're not opining that Professor
22	Ferrell was v	wrong to determine that as an

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 102 empirical matter, ODL costs decreased over time 1 as XRP market liquidity improved, are you? 2 3 I am not offering an opinion on that. Q Okay. Now, in Section 5A of his 4 report, Professor Ferrell offers an opinion that 5 6 XRP is a virtual currency, right? 7 It's page 31 of the report, if that 8 helps. 9 Α Yes. Yes. 10 Q And your rebuttal in response to that opinion is expressed in paragraph 70 and 71 of 11 12 your report, right? 13 Α Mm-hmm. Yes. 14 0 And those two paragraphs contain the 15 entire substance of your rebuttal opinion there, 16 correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. Now, I want to ask you about 19 paragraph 52 of your report. This paragraph has 20 some comments about when Ripple sold XRP on 21 public exchanges. 22 Do you see that?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 103
1	A Yes. Yes.
2	Q Is it your intent to offer an opinion
3	about when Ripple first began selling XRP on
4	public exchanges?
5	A No, I'm not offering an opinion on
6	that.
7	Q Okay. Now, in paragraph 52, you say
8	in the second sentence: "Ripple Labs began
9	selling XRP on digital asset exchanges in spring
10	2017."
11	Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Would it change your opinion if you
14	were to learn that Ripple Labs actually began
15	selling XRP on digital asset exchanges earlier
16	than that date?
17	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
18	You can answer.
19	THE WITNESS: I mean, if I find
20	contrary information, then yeah, that would change
21	my opinion.
22	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 104
1	Q Okay. What methodology did you apply
2	to reach your opinions in this case?
3	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
4	THE WITNESS: I applied my experience
5	from years of studying digital currencies.
6	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
7	Q Anything else?
8	A My understanding of statistics,
9	econometrics.
10	Q Would somebody else be able to
11	replicate your methodology then if they didn't
12	have your exact experience from studying digital
13	currencies and your exact understanding of
14	statistics and econometrics?
15	A I think they could do it if they
16	understand statistics and econometrics, not if
17	they but if they don't have the background in
18	digital currencies, they should still be able to
19	do it.
20	Q So walk me through step by step what
21	methodology, if any, you followed in order to
22	opine on the validity of Professor Ferrell's

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 105

- 1 factor analysis.
- 2 A I started off by reading his model,
- 3 understanding what he said, and understanding the
- 4 assumptions he built the models on. And then
- 5 from my understanding of how the digital currency
- 6 market works and how XRP works, I determined that
- 7 the endogeneity -- the exogeneity assumption in
- 8 his model is not valid.
- 9 Q Are you offering an opinion that
- 10 Ripple's actions caused the price of XRP to
- 11 change?
- 12 A I'm not offering that as an opinion.
- 13 I am arguing that the evidence presented by the
- 14 report from -- by Profess- -- Professor Ferrell
- 15 to prove the contrary is insufficient.
- 16 Q Okay. So you are not intending to
- 17 offer an opinion that any particular actions
- 18 Ripple took had an impact on the price of XRP; is
- 19 that right?
- 20 A This was not the scope of my hiring.
- 21 I was just told to rebut the report by Professor
- 22 Aaron -- Allen Ferrell.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 106
1	Q Okay. So take a look at paragraph 26
2	of your report, and footnote 9 in particular.
3	Footnote 9 says: "Ripple's
4	over-the-counter, parentheses, "OTC, " "sales
5	also had an impact on XRP price."
6	A Mm-hmm.
7	Q Are you offering an opinion that
8	Ripple's OTC sales affected the price of XRP?
9	A I'm citing the sources that where
10	members of Ripple themselves are saying this.
11	Q So you're taking that as a factual
12	assumption based on the sources you cite.
13	A Yes. Based on the fact that they not
14	only were just discussing this, but they were
15	actually making decisions as we see from these
16	cited documents, they were deciding the magnitude
17	of their sales based on the data that they were
18	getting from the market.
19	Q Okay. So you didn't do any analysis
20	of your own to figure out whether any of Ripple's
21	actions affected the price of XRP, correct?
22	A In fact, I did. I went through the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 107 reports that are -- well, I mean I should say the 1 e-mails. I -- there were several e-mails here in 2. 3 which members of Ripple Labs had discussed 4 particular events and their impact, and had discussed particular relationships between the 5 6 price trend of Bitcoin, XRP, ETH, and how it 7 related to particular events. My question is, did you do any 8 0 9 empirical analysis of your own beyond just 10 looking at e-mails people wrote during the roughly 2016 through 2022 time period to figure 11 12 out whether Ripple's actions had any effect on 13 the price of XRP? 14 Α I did not perform econometric 15 analysis myself. I analyzed the e-mails and I 16 analyzed Professor Ferrell's claims. 17 Q Okay. Who selected the e-mails for you to analyze? 18 19 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: You can answer 20 who --21 THE WITNESS: Counsel. 22 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: -- selected them.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 108 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 1 Okay. Did you provide any 2. 3 instructions for the type or content of e-mails 4 that you wanted to see? 5 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. 6 I'm going to instruct you not to answer. That gets into protected Rule 26 7 territory. 8 9 If it's part of his MR. OPPENHEIMER: 10 methodology, I'm not sure it does. But let's see if we can work around this for a minute, and we 11 12 might be able to avoid the question. 13 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 14 Q Were there any e-mails or other 15 documents that you asked to see that were not 16 provided to you? 17 Α No. 18 Did you request to review any 0 particular categories of documents in order to 19 20 write your opinion in this case? 21 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. 22 I'm going to instruct you not to

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 109 1 answer. I don't understand how this is not 2 3 Rule 26 stuff. You're asking him without saying it about my communications with him. 4 MR. OPPENHEIMER: I'm not asking him 5 6 for the substance of any communications. I'm 7 asking him whether he made a request. 8 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: And -- it's 9 a noncommunication -- noncommunicative request? 10 MR. OPPENHEIMER: The existence of a communication is not privileged. It's the 11 12 substance of the communication that's privileged. 13 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: But you're asking 14 for substance. You're asking did a request with a particular substance occur, which is just another 15 16 way of asking for substantive communication 17 between an expert and a -- and counsel in addition 18 to the process -- the protected process of writing 19 his report. 20 So, I mean, we've gone for a long time 21 asking about what he relied on, what he didn't 22 rely on, and you can keep going for another -- up

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 110

- 1 to seven hours about that, but I will instruct him
- 2 not to answer questions that ask for his
- 3 communications with lawyers.
- 4 MR. OPPENHEIMER: All right. Then
- 5 just make the instruction. The question stands.
- 6 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Okay. I instruct
- 7 you not to answer that question.
- 8 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 9 Q Did you provide any search terms that
- 10 you wanted to be used in order to identify
- 11 documents for your review?
- MR. ELKHUNOVICH: I will instruct you
- 13 not to answer that question.
- 14 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 15 Q Did you make any effort to review
- 16 documents that would have indicated that Ripple
- 17 did not affect the price of XRP?
- MR. ELKHUNOVICH: You can answer yes
- 19 or no.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Sorry, could you repeat
- 21 that?
- 22 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 111 Did you make any effort to review 1 0 documents that would have indicated that Ripple 2 3 did not affect the price of XRP? 4 I did not make a specific request for Α something like that. 5 6 Okay. And what steps, if any, did 7 you take to determine whether the views of Ripple employees expressed in the e-mails that you 8 9 relied on were in fact accurate? 10 Α I presume the papers presented by counsel are accurate. I don't believe they were 11 12 offering me forgeries. 13 0 I'm not asking if they were 14 authentic. 15 My question is, if you saw an e-mail 16 such as the one you cited in footnote 9 that we 17 were talking about, in which a Ripple employee 18 stated a belief that a particular action affected the price of XRP, what steps, if any, did you 19 20 take to determine whether that employee was right 21 about that causal relationship? 22 I think what matters to me is not Α

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 112

- 1 whether the employee is right or wrong. For my
- 2 econometric critique of Professor Ferrell's work,
- 3 I'm simply stating that Ripple Labs was reacting
- 4 to the cryptocurrency market. It doesn't matter
- 5 if they're analyzing it correctly; it doesn't
- 6 matter if they're analyzing it incorrectly. What
- 7 matters is that their behavior is affected by the
- 8 cryptocurrency market.
- 9 So whether the e-mails were written
- 10 by people who understood correctly what was going
- 11 on or not is tangential to the main point. The
- 12 main point is are their decisions being affected
- 13 by developments in the market. That's what
- 14 matters.
- 15 Q So if as a factual objective matter
- 16 Ripple Labs' actions did not have any affect on
- 17 the price of XRP, that wouldn't change the
- 18 opinions that you reached because you view that
- 19 as a tangential matter; is that right?
- 20 A No. This is not something that is
- 21 even -- it doesn't make sense in this situation.
- 22 You're talking about an entity that is selling

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 113

- 1 directly into the market. If you're selling and
- 2 buying, you are affecting the price. I mean the
- 3 magnitude of the effect isn't the question here.
- 4 There is no question that any party in a market
- 5 is affecting the price, is part of the price
- 6 formation process.
- 7 Q You agree that it is possible for
- 8 actions to have a price impact that is so small
- 9 it's not even detectible, right?
- 10 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: It's possible.
- 12 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 13 Q And you also agree that the test
- 14 Professor Ferrell ran indicated that there was no
- 15 statistically significant non-zero effect on
- 16 price, right?
- 17 A Could you repeat that? I wasn't
- 18 quite following your phrasing.
- 19 O Professor Ferrell concluded that
- 20 there was no statistically significant non-zero
- 21 effect of Ripple's actions on XRP's price, right?
- 22 A I'm sorry. I'm not sure that this is

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 114
1	exactly how he phrased it, and I'm I'd
2	rather could you could you cite me to the
3	place where he indicates this in his report?
4	Q Why don't we try it this way.
5	You understand that Professor
6	Ferrell's report calculates an alpha, right?
7	A Mm-hmm.
8	Q Can you give me your understanding of
9	what alpha refers to in this context?
10	A Alpha refers to the could you
11	point me to the place where he explains it? I
12	prefer to go back to the source.
13	Q Well, my question is what's your
14	understanding of what alpha refers to, not what's
15	his understanding.
16	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Are you able to
17	point him to the report or do you want him to
18	review it and find it?
19	MR. OPPENHEIMER: I want to know what
20	his understanding is. If he can't provide that
21	without reviewing the report, that's fine.
22	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
1	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 115 But I'm asking for your 1 understanding, Dr. Ammous. 2 3 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: You can take your 4 time and find whatever you need to find. 5 No, I prefer to THE WITNESS: Yeah. 6 have the exact specification that Professor Ferrell is -- presents. 7 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 8 9 So as you sit here, are you unable to 10 articulate an understanding of what alpha was without going back to Professor Ferrell's report? 11 12 I can articulate it, but I prefer not 13 to put words in Professor Ferrell's mouth. 14 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: But I -- but I think 15 he's making an effort to answer your question, and 16 while he's doing that, you're asking him others. 17 If you don't want him to look at a document, and 18 ask him another question, you can. But I think he's trying to do something, and I don't think 19 20 it's appropriate for you to just keep asking questions over that. 21 22 Well, respectfully, MR. OPPENHEIMER:

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 116 I don't think he's answering the question I've 1 asked, and I don't think that's where this is 2 3 heading. 4 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 5 So you just testified that you can't 6 articulate your understanding --7 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Well -- objection. You will not --8 9 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Wait for the 10 question before you object. 11 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: No, you cannot ask a 12 question while a question is pending and that he's 13 trying to answer. You can withdraw that question, 14 tell him to put whatever he's doing aside, and --15 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Right now there is 16 no question because you just interrupted when I 17 was trying to ask it. 18 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: No --19 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Let me ask the 20 question, and you can object without a speaking 21 objection. 22 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: No.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 117
1	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
2	Q	The question, Dr. Ammous
3		MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Brad, no. You
4		MR. OPPENHEIMER: Don't talk over me.
5		MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Hold on
6		MR. OPPENHEIMER: The question I
7	withdraw wha	tever question was out there.
8		MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Great.
9	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
10	Q	The question now, Dr. Ammous, is
11	А	Mm-hmm.
12	Q	you just testified you can
13	articulate y	our understanding of what alpha was
14	without going	g back to Professor Ferrell's report.
15		What's your understanding of what
16	alpha was in	that analysis?
17		MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
18		THE WITNESS: (Peruses document.)
19		Yeah, so the alpha is the metric that
20	would show u	p to next to the Ripple-based
21	efforts, and	if it is statistically significant
22	from zero, t	hen Professor Ferrell claims that it

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 118
's
ment of

question
?
course,
at he
s go off

- 1 would suggest that Ripple is not -- Ripple's
- 2 efforts are not influential in the development of
- 3 the XRP price.
- 4 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 5 Q Were you able to answer that question
- 6 without having to refer back to his report?
- 7 A I understood the concept, of course,
- 8 but I prefer to have the exact phrasing that he
- 9 uses.
- 10 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. Let's go off
- 11 the record.
- 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
- 13 record at 11:36 a.m.
- 14 (Recess.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the
- 16 beginning of media 3. We're on the record at
- 17 11:49 a.m.
- 18 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 19 Q Dr. Ammous, in your report,
- 20 Exhibit 174, please take a look at paragraph 124.
- 21 What you write in the last sentence
- of paragraph 24 is its escrow of XRP in 2017

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 119 1 created a pop in price. I'm sorry, what? Created -- where 2. А 3 was this? 4 Do you see the last sentence of Q paragraph 24? 5 6 А Yes. 7 0 You write: "Its escrow of XRP in December 2017 created a pop in price." 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Α Yes. What steps, if any, did you take to 11 0 12 determine whether that pop in price was 13 statistically different from zero? 14 Α That was a direct quote from a Ripple 15 employee. I'm not sure which employee exactly in 16 this report -- in this one particular source. 17 But I -- as I mentioned earlier, my analysis does not rest on the specific numerical 18 impact that Ripple's actions had or any 19 20 particular action by Ripple had. My analysis is 21 purely about the fact that Ripple's actions were informed by the market. And so that's why this 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 120 quotation matters. 1 So to be clear, you didn't do any 2 independent analysis to determine whether that 3 4 pop in price was statistically different from zero, correct? 5 6 А I did not. 7 0 And you don't know what analysis, if 8 any, the employee who wrote those words did to 9 determine whether -- what the causes of the pop 10 in price were, correct? I don't remember this particular 11 Α 12 e-mail. I don't have it in front of me right 13 now, but I think the -- I think this one was 14 quite visible, that you could just see it quite 15 directly that there was a big rise in the price 16 after they announced the escrow. 17 0 And do you know what work, if any, 18 the person who wrote this e-mail did to exclude possible confounding factors as the cause of this 19 20 price change? 21 I do not recall, no. Α 22 Turn back to footnote 9 in paragraph Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 121
1	26.
2	This is where you cite an e-mail in
3	support of the proposition that OTC sales also
4	had an impact on XRP price. You didn't do any
5	analysis to determine whether that impact was
6	statistically significantly different from zero,
7	did you?
8	A No, I did not.
9	Q And you don't know what, if any,
10	analysis the person who wrote the e-mail did to
11	determine the impact on XRP price, did you?
12	A They did include some numbers in
13	this. I mean I saw their analysis that they had
14	included.
15	Q And what, if anything, did the people
16	who wrote this e-mail do well, withdrawn.
17	What, if anything, did the person who
18	wrote that e-mail do to exclude possible
19	confounding factors?
20	A I do not remember.
21	Q Turn to paragraph 59 of your report.
22	In the second sentence in this

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 122
1	paragraph, yo	ou write: "When Ripple Labs sells
2	some of its 2	XRP tokens, that would be likely to
3	cause all XR	P tokens to decline in value."
4		Do you see that?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Are you offering an opinion that
7	Ripple's sale	es of XRP actually caused the price
8	of XRP to dec	cline?
9	А	"Likely" is the word that I use.
10	Q	So my question is, are you offering
11	an opinion tl	hat the sales actually caused the
12	price of XRP	to decline?
13	А	No. Likely.
14	Q	Can you quantify how likely?
15	А	No.
16	Q	The next sentence says: "This would
17	be likely to	cause losses for XRP holders who
18	might react l	by reducing their allocation to other
19	digital asset	ts or liquidating their entire
20	portfolio."	
21		Do you see that?
22	А	Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 123 You use the word "likely" in that 1 0 sentence again. Is it fair to say you're not 2 3 offering an opinion that Ripple's sales of XRP 4 actually caused losses for XRP holders? 5 I'm saying they could. They are Α 6 likely to do that. It doesn't -- it follows that 7 I'm not saying that it is certain that it would. And you can't quantify that 8 0 9 likelihood either, can you? 10 Α No. If you look ahead to the next 11 0 12 "On the other hand, if Ripple Labs 13 were to purchase a sum of XRP, that would likely 14 raise the price of XRP." 15 Again, you use the word "likely" 16 Are you able to quantify the likelihood there. 17 of this result that you described? 18 Α I don't think you can produce No. numerical estimates of these kind of 19 20 probabilities. 21 Okay. You opine in paragraph 59 that 22 changes in the price of XRP can cause changes in

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 124 the prices of other cryptocurrencies like 1 Bitcoin, right? 2 3 А Yes. Q Under the logic that you've applied 4 there, does it follow that changes in the price 5 6 of Bitcoin affect the price of XRP? 7 Α I would say so, yeah, slightly. 8 0 Now, I'd like to direct your 9 attention to paragraphs 26 through 29 of your 10 report, Exhibit 174. 11 Α Mm-hmm. Okay. 12 These paragraphs make some statements 13 about how Ripple understood that its actions related to XRP price or impacted XRP price citing 14 15 documents from the record, right? 16 Α Yes. 17 0 And I think we made clear you are not 18 offering an opinion that Ripple actually affected the price of XRP, just that it believed that its 19 20 actions were impacting XRP; is that right? 21 Α Well, I'm also offering an opinion saying that Professor Ferrell's evidence against 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 125

- 1 the contention that Ripple's actions affect XRP
- 2 is not satisfactory. It's not convincing.
- 3 So I would say the null hypothesis
- 4 and the common sense starting point is that an
- 5 entity that owns more than a half and at some
- 6 point owns 100 percent of the supply of something
- 7 is likely going to have enormous impact on the
- 8 price.
- 9 So the -- this -- this I believe is
- 10 the reasonable starting point for the analysis,
- 11 and the onus of proof is on Professor Ferrell to
- 12 present convincing, compelling counterevidence to
- 13 this, and I believe he fails.
- 14 So I fall back on the common sense
- 15 position that an economist would have, which is
- 16 that somebody who is able to affect -- to sell
- 17 such significant quantities of a good has an
- 18 impact on it.
- 19 Q And what you're doing in these
- 20 paragraphs is describing Ripple's belief that it
- 21 could affect the price of XRP; is that right?
- 22 A Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 126
1	Q	Now, in paragraph 48 of your report,
2	if you look	at the very last sentence
3	А	Mm-hmm.
4	Q	you see it says: "Ripple
5	intentional	ly aligned its company with XRP's core
6	principles.	п
7		You see that?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	That's an assertion about what Ripple
10	intended, r	ight?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	What analysis did you conduct to
13	determine wh	nat Ripple intended?
14	A	This is from their own from the
15	reference	- I don't have the reference in front
16	of me now, k	out I presume this was internal
17	communication	on or a presentation to other clients.
18	Q	So you reached that conclusion from
19	reading the	document that you cite there; is that
20	right?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Turn to paragraph 66, please.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 127 In the first sentence of this 1 paragraph you write: "Ripple Labs thus 2 3 manufactures market demand through its ODL scheme itself to intentionally impact XRP price." 4 5 What did you do to conclude that 6 Ripple was intending to impact XRP price through 7 ODL? If you go to paragraph 50 -- 64, and 8 Α 9 you see several sources there where Ripple Labs 10 understands that ODL XRP origination, that any significant scale to have a negative impact on 11 12 XRP price." 13 Later on, we see: "Ripple Labs 14 acknowledge that programatic sales are done 15 without liquidators directive to minimize market 16 impact, while ODL XRP origination orders are 17 effectively market sell orders that would 18 aggressively hit the open order book. XRP selling via XRPO has left a negative pressure on 19 20 XRP." 21 And then finally, the last line: "Ripple Labs' CEO ultimately approved Ripple 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 128
1	Labs' XRP buyback initiative."
2	Q So you reached that conclusion by
3	reading the documents cited in paragraph 64. Is
4	that right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Let's turn back to paragraph 29 now.
7	What you write in paragraph 29 in the
8	first sentence first two sentences are:
9	"Professor Ferrell did not measure short-term
10	price impact, nor did he measure XRP supply
11	inflation. However, Ripple Labs did, and Ripple
12	Labs understood its sales behavior had a
13	measurable impact on XRP price."
14	Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q When you say "Ripple Labs did," what
17	is that referring to? Ripple Labs did what?
18	A They measured the supply inflation
19	and the short-term price impact of the supply
20	inflation.
21	Q How do you find short how do you
22	define "short-term price impact"?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 129
1	A I would say short term would be
2	around three months or under six months.
3	Q Under six months is short term?
4	A (Witness nods.) Yeah.
5	But that's that's a subjective
6	definition. You could it depends on the
7	context. In some contexts a day could be
8	considered short term, and in some other
9	contexts it could be a year.
10	Q Well, I'm asking you. You know,
11	you've held yourself out as an expert in this
12	field in this case. How do you define "short
13	term" as you've used that phrase in this
14	paragraph?
15	A Quarterly.
16	Q Quarterly. Okay.
17	A Yeah.
18	Q Do you know what term Professor
19	Ferrell measured when he was looking at XRP price
20	returns?
21	A Dollar price.
22	Q I mean what time period in terms of

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation
Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 130 long and short term. 1 Oh. He looked at monthly returns. 2. 3 Okav. That would be short term under your definition, wouldn't it? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you think that Ripple Labs 7 measured a shorter term impact than Professor Ferrell did? 8 9 I think in some of these e-mails, Α 10 yes, they do. Well, in fact, the e-mail that you 11 0 cite also uses monthly figures in order to do its 12 13 analysis; isn't that right? I don't have the e-mail in front of 14 Α 15 me now, but I'll take your word for it. 16 Well, I don't want you to take my 0 17 word. 18 So let's mark this one as an exhibit. I think we're on 182. Yeah, 182. 19 20 (Exhibit No. 182 was marked for 21 identification.) 22 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 131
1	Q	And in Exhibit 182 well, let's
2	just make the	e record clear first. Exhibit 182 is
3	a document wi	th Bates number RPLI_00499634.
4		That's the document that you cited in
5	paragraph 29,	right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And if you turn to the page ending
8	with Bates nu	umber 639.
9	A	Mm-hmm.
10	Q	What you'll see there is in the
11	third sentend	ce, it says: "For much of the
12	analysis cond	ducted, we analyzed monthly aggregate
13	data rather t	chan daily figures."
14		Do you see that?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	That's the same time period that
17	Professor Fer	rrell looked at when he was doing his
18	analysis, isr	ı't it?
19	А	Mm-hmm.
20	Q	That's a "yes"?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	And in fact, Professor Ferrell also

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 132
1	ran his mode	el using weekly returns, didn't he?
2	А	I think that is the case.
3	Q	Well, if I can direct you to
4	Exhibit 149,	the Ferrell report, paragraph 32.
5	А	32?
6	Q	Yeah.
7		Do you see there, he says: "I show
8	the robustne	ess on my factor model to weekly and
9	30-day month	aly sampling frequencies."
10	А	Okay.
11	Q	Right?
12	А	Okay.
13	Q	So you agree a weekly interval is
14	more short t	erm than a monthly interval, right?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	I'd like to direct you now to
17	paragraph 22	of your report.
18		The last sentence of paragraph 22
19	says: "The	fact that Ripple Labs has spent years
20	selling thes	se tokens at a slow pace has fostered
21	and establis	shed an expectation among XRP buyers
22	that Ripple	Labs will not sell all its holdings

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 133
1	at once."	
2		Do you see that?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	What methodology, if any, did you use
5	to determine	the expectations of XRP buyers?
6	А	I've spoken to a few over over
7	time.	
8	Q	Did you speak to any after you were
9	engaged in t	his case?
10	А	No.
11	Q	When did you speak to the XRP buyers
12	you're refer	ring to here?
13	А	I've spoken to several over the
14	years. So s	tarting, I would say, since 2016
15	until unt	il this case, I've spoken to several.
16	Q	How many?
17	А	Very difficult to count. Definitely
18	more than te	n between in person and online.
19	Q	Was it more than 50?
20	А	Probably not.
21	Q	Okay. And how did you go about
22	selecting th	e XRP purchasers that you talked to?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 134 1 Α I -- there was no -- there was no research methodology involved here. 2 There were 3 just the conversations that I would happen to 4 have with people who talked to me about digital currencies and end up holding Ripple. 5 the kind of general impression that I got from 6 7 them. I should add also this is also 8 9 something that you get from the e-mails that we 10 cite in this report where Professor Ferrell -sorry, where Ripple staff are discussing these 11 12 things with each other, and they seem particular 13 about the importance of establishing this kind of 14 expectation among Ripple holders. 15 Can you give me the names of any of 16 the XRP buyers that you talked to in order to 17 form that belief? 18 Α I mean, mostly they were people Mmm. online that I would have discussed this with 19 20 fleetingly. So -- some old discussions that I've 21 had online. 22 As you sit here today, can you give 0

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 135 me any name of any of those XRP buyers you spoke 1 with? 2 3 I mean, I can give you a couple on a 4 first name basis, but I don't know the last names, people I spoke to in person. And then 5 online it's just, you know, avatars that show up 6 7 on Twitter or Facebook or -- so I don't really remember, no. 8 9 So as you sit here today, you 0 Okay. 10 don't remember the names of any of the XRP buyers you spoke to to form that view? 11 12 Α No. 13 0 All right. Are you aware that there 14 are XRP buyers who said under oath that they 15 didn't even know Ripple existed when they bought 16 their XRP? 17 Α I'm not aware of that. 18 Are you offering an opinion that 0 those buyers still somehow expected that Ripple 19 20 wouldn't sell all of its holdings at once? 21 I'm not offering that opinion. Α 22 Let's go over to paragraph 70 of your Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 136 1 report. 2 The third sentence of paragraph 70 3 "The fact that Ripple Labs holds such a 4 large stake in XRP will also prevent it from achieving wider adoption, as investors are 5 6 unlikely to want to store wealth in a digital 7 asset whose value can be controlled by the creators controlling a significant part of the 8 9 supply." How do you measure how widespread the 10 adoption of XRP or any other digital asset is? 11 12 For me I think the most reliable 13 metric for that is the dollar price of the token 14 multiplied by the number of the token that is in 15 circulation. 16 0 You don't offer that definition in 17 your report, do you? 18 Α No. 19 Q When you say in paragraph 70 that 20 this will prevent it from achieving wider 21 adoption, wider than what? What do you mean by 22 that?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 137 Significantly wider than what it 1 Α is -- or I should say -- when I say that, I 2 3 probably mean it in comparison to Bitcoin. 4 Q So what you're saying in this Okay. sentence in paragraph 70 is the fact that Ripple 5 Labs holds such a large stake in XRP will also 6 7 prevent XRP from achieving wider adoption than Bitcoin; is that right? 8 9 I mean, I think the term "wider 10 adoption" here is -- it's a generic term that refers to a growing amount of adoption worldwide, 11 12 but could also be construed as referring to wider 13 than Bitcoin adoptions, since Bitcoin is 14 effectively the -- the standard when looking at 15 digital currencies. It's the measuring stick 16 against which they all have to measure up. 17 Q Well, which way did you intend it to 18 be read here? You wrote those words. 19 I think it goes both ways. Α 20 Q You agree that Ripple Labs owned more 21 XRP in 2013 than it does today, right? 22 Α Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 138
1	Q Do you also agree that XRP is more
2	widely adopted today than it was in 2013?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you think that Ripple's holdings
5	of XRP didn't become an impediment to wider
6	adoption until sometime after 2013?
7	A I'm not sure I quite I'm not quite
8	sure I follow the question.
9	Q Well, in the third sentence of
10	paragraph 70, you say: "The fact that Ripple
11	Labs holds such a large stake in XRP will also
12	prevent it from achieving wider adoption."
13	You agree that Ripple Labs held a
14	larger stake in XRP in 2013, right?
15	A Mm-hmm.
16	Q And you agree that that didn't
17	prevent it from achieving wider adoption between
18	2013 and today, right?
19	A Okay. Yeah.
20	Q What analysis did you do to determine
21	whether Ripple Labs' holdings of XRP will prevent
22	XRP from achieving wider adoption in the future?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 139 I'd say if you compare Ripple over 1 Α the -- over the past 10 years from 2013 until 2 3 today, it's -- it has not grown as much as 4 Bitcoin has grown, and it contains -- it continues to be decidedly smaller than Bitcoin, 5 even though it has a lot of marketing activity to 6 7 promote it. It has an active lab behind it that is pushing it, which Bitcoin does not have, and 8 9 yet XRP cannot catch up to Bitcoin. 10 Q What analysis did you do to determine that that's due to the stake that Ripple Labs 11 12 holds as opposed to any other factor? 13 Α Well, that I would refer you to my 14 earlier paper, "Can cryptocurrencies fulfil the 15 functions of money?" And in that paper early on, 16 I think I point out the fact that this giant 17 stash of coins held by Ripple Labs is going to 18 always alienate a lot of people who might consider buying it. 19 20 Q Did you do any analysis to determine 21 whether XRP outperformed Bitcoin from the period 22 after you published that 2016 paper until today?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 140 Actually, if you look at the 1 Α Yes. dates, it depends on where you calculate it, but 2 3 their performance isn't that different. 4 So their performance is not that Q different from the time you wrote the paper until 5 6 today? 7 Mm-hmm. Α 8 0 In the third sentence of paragraph 9 70, going to the rest of the sentence, you also 10 say: "Investors are unlikely to want to store wealth in a digital asset whose value can be 11 12 controlled by the creators controlling a 13 significant part of the supply." 14 Α Mm-hmm. 15 Are you stating here that Ripple can 16 control the value of XRP? 17 Α Yes. If they'd sell all of their 18 Ripple -- if they sell all of their XRPs today, I am highly confident that it would cause a massive 19 20 crash in the price. 21 Do you know whether it is even possible for Ripple to sell all of its XRP today? 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 141
1	А	Yes, it is possible.
2	Q	You're familiar with the XRP escrow
3	that was est	ablished in 2017, right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	How can Ripple sell all of its XRP
6	today if mos	st of it is locked in the escrow?
7	А	They can unlock it and sell it.
8	Q	How can Ripple unlock it?
9	А	They can do it.
10	Q	What analysis did you do to figure
11	out that Rip	ple can unlock the escrow?
12	А	Well, they locked it. They locked
13	it, they put	it there, so they can unlock it.
14	Q	How was the escrow created?
15	А	I think the there is a document
16	here in whic	th they discuss the creation of the
17	escrow. But	it was I think through a smart
18	contract.	
19	Q	And what analysis did you do to
20	determine wh	ether the XRP Ledger allows Ripple
21	acting alone	e to unlock that smart contract?
22	А	That analysis is a result of the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 142

- 1 discussion of -- let me point it out here.
- 2 So the analysis in the Clark expert
- 3 report on the degree of Ripple Labs's control
- 4 over the protocol I believe is quite compelling
- 5 that in the same way that they are able to escrow
- 6 those coins, they -- if they chose to, they can
- 7 release the escrow.
- 8 Q So this view depends on the opinion
- 9 that Professor Clark offered; is that right?
- 10 A The opinion that Professor Clark
- offered as well as my understanding and knowledge
- of how Ripple Labs and XRP have operated since
- 13 their creation.
- 14 Q I'll represent to you that Professor
- 15 Clark's opinion never says that Ripple can unlock
- 16 the XRP escrow unilaterally.
- 17 Is that your inference based on your
- 18 understanding of his opinion?
- 19 A Yes, it's my understanding of how
- 20 digital currencies work. At the end of the day
- 21 it's all code, so if you can change the code, you
- 22 can change everything. They could change the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 143 supply, they could decrease the supply. They can 1 lock it up, they can unlock it. 2 3 And if Ripple can't change the code, then it can't unlock its XRP from the escrow; is 4 that right? 5 6 А Yes. But I think the only digital currency where you could make a coherent case 7 that there is no central authority that can 8 9 change the code is Bitcoin. 10 Q Okay. Let's go to paragraph 68 of 11 your report. 12 Paragraph 68 is one sentence. 13 says: "In addition, the XRP Ledger itself relies 14 heavily on Ripple's efforts," and the footnote 15 points to the Clark report. 16 Do you see that? 17 Α Yes. What efforts in particular do you 18 0 think the XRP Ledger relies on from Ripple? 19 20 Α The maintenance of the large -- of a 21 number of nodes and the programming of the software for the nodes. And I think there's -- I 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 think from the -- all of the contributors on the
- 2 GitHub page, I think -- I forget the exact
- 3 number, but it's mentioned in the Clark's report
- 4 that the vast majority of contributors on the
- 5 GitHub have either -- have been associated with
- 6 Ripple Labs at a certain point in time.
- 7 O You mentioned the number of nodes
- 8 that Ripple maintains.
- 9 How many nodes are on the Unique Node
- 10 List either as of today or as of the date of the
- 11 Clark report?
- 12 A I don't remember.
- 13 Q Do you know how many of those nodes
- on the Unique Node List are operated by Ripple?
- 15 A I don't remember.
- 16 Q Would it surprise you to learn that
- 17 there is only a single node on the Unique Node
- 18 List operated by Ripple?
- 19 A It wouldn't surprise me much because
- there's a difference between what is officially
- 21 operated by Ripple and what is not officially
- 22 operated.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 145	
	1	Q So you think Ripple unofficially	
	2	operates additional nodes on the Unique Node	
	3	List; is that right?	
	4	A I don't necessarily think that that	
	5	is the case. I think it's a possibility.	
	6	Q And what work have you done to either	
	7	confirm or refute that possibility?	
	8	A The work that I've done is that I	
	9	look I compare it to Bitcoin, where Bitcoin	
	10	has tens of thousands of nodes all over the	
	11	world. And I think that's what has made over	
	12	the past 15 years what has made Bitcoin so	
	13	difficult to change, and that's why Bitcoin	
	14	really is immutable and decentralized. And	
	15	having looked at other digital currencies, I know	
	16	that they don't have that level of	
	17	decentralization that would make them so	
	18	difficult to alter.	
	19	Q Let's go back to paragraph 48 of your	
	20	report.	
	21	A Mm-hmm.	
	22	Q In this paragraph, about a third to a	
- 1			

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 146
1	halfway through, you write yeah, let me give
2	you better directions.
3	Starting at the end of the fifth
4	line
5	A Mm-hmm.
6	Q you write: "The fact that Ripple
7	Labs and their associates are able to
8	significantly influence protocol development
9	means the entire economic value of the XRP Ledger
10	depends on Ripple Labs and its actions."
11	Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What associates are you referring to
14	in that sentence?
15	A Various people that have been
16	associated with Ripple Labs.
17	Q Who?
18	A I don't particularly have exact names
19	at this point, but I would assume the people that
20	have received funding from Ripple Labs or the
21	people who were involved in the creation of XRP.
22	Q You refer to the entire economic

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 147
1	value of the XRP Ledger. Are you distinguishing
2	here between the value of the XRP Ledger and the
3	value of the sum total of units of XRP?
4	A No.
5	Q So is it your view then that the XRP
6	Ledger has no economic value beyond the total
7	value of all units of XRP?
8	A I would say so.
9	Q What analysis did you do to reach
10	that conclusion?
11	A That's the token of the ledger is
12	the value of the ledger. It's how the thing is
13	valued. It's true for Bitcoin. It's true for
14	Ripple.
15	Q Do you understand Professor Ferrell
16	to be offering any opinion about the economic
17	value of the XRP Ledger?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Where is that in Professor Ferrell's
20	report?
21	A His opinion is on whether the value
22	of that ledger is influenced by Ripple Labs'
l	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 148		
1	actions.		
2	Q I see. And that's based on your view		
3	that the value of the ledger is equal to the		
4	value of all XRP?		
5	A Mm-hmm.		
6	Q Okay. Do you understand Professor		
7	Ferrell to be offering any opinions on whether		
8	Ripple controls the XRP Ledger?		
9	A In terms of its economic value, yes.		
10	Q Right. I understand that you're		
11	offering opinion that Ripple that Professor		
12	Ferrell is wrong because Ripple controls the		
13	value of XRP.		
14	My question is a little bit		
15	different, so let me try to sharpen it.		
16	Are you offering or withdrawn.		
17	Did you understand Professor Ferrell		
18	to be offering any opinions about whether Ripple		
19	controls the operation of the XRP Ledger?		
20	A I find it hard to separate the price		
21	of the token from the operation of the ledger, so		
22	I can't say no. I would say yes.		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 149	
1 .	And what he's describing in terms of	
2	the relationship between the actions of Ripple	
3	Labs and the price of XRP, I would include that	
4	under the a broad banner of the value of the	
5	ledger and control of the ledger.	
6	Q Did you independently withdrawn.	
7	You've already testified about your	
8	review of Professor Clark's report. I want to	
9	ask you a little bit more about that.	
10	Did you ever independently evaluate	
11	Professor Clark's methodology that he used in	
12	forming his opinions?	
13	A I assessed it, and I couldn't it	
14	confirms the ideas that I have developed over the	
15	years of analyzing and studying.	
16	Q What did you do to assess Professor	
17	Clark's analysis?	
18	A I read it and analyzed it.	
19	Q How did you analyze it?	
20	A By reading it and checking the	
21	accuracy of the information in certain cases, and	
22	reading other sources of material on the topic.	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 150
1	Q	You don't have any computer science
2	degree, do you	u?
3	A I	No.
4	Q	Did you do any independent testing to
5	evaluate the	conclusions that Professor Clark
6	reached?	
7	A I	No.
8	Q	Did you review the underlying data
9	that Professor	r Clark reviewed?
10	A I	No, but I don't believe it was a
11	heavily data	centric paper that he described.
12	There wasn't a	a lot of econometric analysis in it,
13	as far as I ca	an recall.
14	Q	Did you review the computer science
15	literature tha	at Professor Clark cited in his
16	report?	
17	A	Off the top of my head, I can't
18	remember.	
19	Q	So sitting here today, you don't
20	recall reading	g any computer science papers in
21	connection wit	th that review; is that right?
22	A	I don't think so.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 151 1 0 Let's go to paragraph 30 of your 2 report. 3 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Actually, let me 4 give you a choice. I'm about to start a new topic. We can do that for a little bit or we can 5 stop here and get lunch. What would you prefer? 6 7 Hardest question of the day? 8 THE WITNESS: I'm honestly easy. 9 Either way. If anybody has any strong preferences, I'm happy to go whichever way. 10 11 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Anyone? 12 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: We can -- what are 13 the options? We can keep going --14 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Stop now or go a 15 little longer. 16 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Let's go a little 17 longer --18 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. 19 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: -- if that's going 20 to get us toward the end faster. 21 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Sure. 22 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 152	
1 ,	Q Okay. Now, paragraphs 30 to 47, in	
2	general terms, are discussing monetary theory.	
3	Is that a fair statement?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And paragraphs 30 to 44 discuss	
6	monetary theory sort of in the abstract, and then	
7	you have some Ripple specific conclusions in	
8	paragraphs 45 to 47; is that right?	
9	A I believe that's fair.	
10	Q Okay. Now, the Ripple specific	
11	conclusion that you offer is that the only	
12	purpose behind XRP is to finance Ripple's	
13	operations; is that right?	
14	A The only purpose behind XRP sales.	
15	Q Yes, thank you.	
16	The only purpose behind XRP sales is	
17	to finance Ripple's operations, that's the	
18	opinion you're offering, right?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Is it your opinion that every single	
21	time Ripple sold XRP, it was doing so to finance	
22	its own operations?	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 153
1	A No.
2	Q Do you agree that Ripple could have
3	sold XRP at various times for other purposes?
4	A Potentially. I mean, I guess you
5	could argue that the initial allocation to the
6	people who made the XRP Ledger is an example
7	where it's not about financing Ripple's
8	operation. The first 20 million 20 billion I
9	think that they allocated.
10	Q What about from 2017 to 2023, is it
11	your opinion that every sale of XRP by Ripple in
12	that time period was to finance Ripple's
13	operations?
14	A I I don't know what the purpose
15	was of every particular sale, but I would say
16	that the only conceivable rationale for the idea
17	of selling XRP at this point is to finance
18	Ripple's operation.
19	Q Do you understand Professor Ferrell
20	to be offering any opinion about whether the
21	purpose of Ripple's sales was to finance its
22	operations?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 154
1	A I don't believe he offered that
2	opinion on that, as far as I can tell.
3	Q Well, what expertise do you have in
4	determining the reasons why a company sells
5	assets?
6	A As an economist, I understand that
7	companies try companies exist to maximize the
8	financial well-being of their principals, the
9	people who own them.
10	Q Any other basis of expertise?
11	A I mean, being an economist, yeah.
12	Q That's it, correct?
13	A Pretty much, yeah.
14	Q Okay. Now, your discussion of
15	monetary theory in paragraphs 30 through 47 is
16	based on Austrian School of economics principles,
17	right?
18	A Not exclusively but predominantly,
19	yes.
20	Q It's also based on economic
21	principles set out in the books you published,
22	right?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 155
1	A Yes.
2	Q Is it fair to say mainstream
3	economists would reject many of the principles
4	you describe in this section?
5	A Yeah, there will be economists who
6	will disagree with it, but, you know, economists
7	are a disagreeable bunch in general.
8	Q Well, my question isn't whether you
9	could find an individual to disagree. My
10	question is, is it fair to say mainstream
11	academic economists generally would reject many
12	of the principles you describe in this section?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Is there withdrawn.
15	Now I'd like to take you to paragraph
16	49 of your report.
17	A Mm-hmm.
18	Q In the first sentence here, you
19	write: "Professor Ferrell claims that the
20	plaintiffs' case is a testable economic
21	hypothesis, but provides little compelling
22	argument for why it is testable in the way he

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 156
1	presents it."
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, Professor Ferrell in his report,
5	Exhibit 149, at paragraph 16, says, in substance,
6	that market expectations can be measured through
7	price, and therefore plaintiffs' case, which
8	describes market expectations, can be measured by
9	looking at XRP's price.
10	Do you understand Professor Ferrell
11	to be offering that argument?
12	A Sorry. I think I lost you there for
13	a minute.
14	Could you repeat that, please?
15	Q Sure. And you can reference
16	paragraph 16 of his report if it's helpful.
17	A Sixteen?
18	Q Yes, one six.
19	Professor Ferrell is offering an
20	opinion that plaintiffs' case describes market
21	expectations. Market expectations can be
22	measured through price, and therefore plaintiffs'

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 157 case can be evaluated by looking at XRP's price. 1 Is that your understanding of -- of 2 3 what he's opining here? 4 Α Yes. Are you offering an opinion that an 5 assets price doesn't say anything about market 6 7 expectations? 8 Α No. 9 Are you offering an opinion that you 0 10 cannot test plaintiffs' case as an empirical 11 matter? 12 Α No. 13 0 Are you offering an opinion that the 14 plaintiffs' case does not present a testable economic hypothesis? 15 16 Α No. 17 0 So if you turn back to paragraph 49 in your report, the third sentence of that 18 paragraph says: "However, the underlying 19 20 econometric analysis, even if it were accurate, 21 does not support this sweeping conclusion." 22 When you say "even if it were

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 158 accurate, " is that referring to Professor 1 Ferrell's inclusion of the broader cryptocurrency 2 3 market factor that we discussed earlier? Α Yes -- no. I'm sorry. What exactly 4 5 am I --6 That -- sorry, go ahead. Q 7 Α What exactly are you asking is referring to that? 8 9 Yeah, I -- it wasn't a very good 0 10 question. Let me give you a better one. 11 You say: "The underlying econometric 12 analysis, even if it were accurate, does not 13 support this sweeping conclusion." Are you offering an opinion that 14 15 Professor Ferrell's underlying econometric 16 analysis is not accurate? 17 Α No. 18 Okay. Now, you're not contesting Professor Ferrell's conclusions about which of 19 20 his factors had or didn't have statistically 21 significant relationships with XRP's price 22 returns, are you?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 159
1	А	I'm not contesting that.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. OPPENHEIMER: I think this is a
4	good break p	oint. Let's go off the record.
5		THE WITNESS: All right, cool.
6		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
7	record at 12	:38 p.m.
8		(Lunch recess.)
9		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the
10	beginning of	media number 4. We're back on the
11	record at 1:	31 p.m.
12	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
13	Q	Dr. Ammous, I would like to turn your
14	attention to	paragraph 58 of your report.
15		You testified earlier a bit about
16	endogeneity,	and in this paragraph in the third
17	sentence, yo	u write: "Ripple Labs is not an
18	exogenous fa	
19		Can you define what an exogenous
20	factor is in	your field?
21	A	An exogenous factor two factors
22		s to one another if movement in one
44	are exogenou	s to one another it movement in one
1		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation
Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 160 1 does not cause movement in the other. MR. OPPENHEIMER: Let's go off the 2 3 record for a second. I think we're having issues 4 with the realtime. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off 5 6 the record at 1:32 p.m. (Pause in the proceedings.) 7 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 9 record at 1:34 p.m. 10 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: Is it your understanding, Dr. Ammous, 11 0 12 that Professor Ferrell was describing Ripple Labs 13 as an exogenous factor in his report? 14 Α Yes. Can you point me to where in 15 16 Professor Ferrell's report, Exhibit 149, he makes 17 that claim? 18 Paragraph 27, in the bullet point at the end of the paragraph, he says: "Variation in 19 20 the long run XRP price return can be explained by 21 endogenous cryptocurrency market factors that are outside of Ripple's control." 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 161 Oh, just so the record is clear, 1 0 you're looking at the heading little -- little 2 3 "i"; is that right? Well, there's the bullet point before 4 Α it and the header, yeah, same thing. 5 6 Okay. Now, in paragraph 58 of your 7 report, the third sentence we were just looking at, you state that: "Ripple Labs is not an 8 9 exogenous factor that can be assessed 10 independently of the movements of the market because the company is reacting to those 11 12 movements." 13 Are you offering an opinion that it's 14 impossible to run a valid empirical asset pricing model whenever the company being studied reacts 15 16 to broader market movements? 17 Α No. I'm specifically referring to 18 this example here. 19 Is there any way you can distinguish Q 20 your criticism of this example from any other 21 asset pricing model where the company being studied reacts to broader market movements? 22

22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 162 I think the distinction would be in 1 Α the example of a company that is not buying and 2 3 selling its own stock, so therefore its reaction 4 to the market is constrained to its managerial decisions in terms of running the market, rather 5 than intervening in the market by selling and 6 buying stocks as a response to daily market 7 movement. And herein lies the important 8 9 distinction. 10 0 So if a company maintains treasury stock that it sells from time to time or if it 11 engages in stock buybacks, then your view would 12 13 be that you can't run an asset pricing model on 14 that company? I'd have to see more about the 15 16 details of the scale of the operation, but I 17 would lean toward this conclusion. 18 What about in the commodity space? 0 19 Suppose a company, let's say, 20 produces oil and is aware of market factors in 21 the oil market, would it be your view that you

can't run a valid empirical asset pricing model

22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 163 on whether that company -- whether that company's 1 actions affect the price of oil? 2 3 It's difficult to answer a question from this perspective. I'd have to look at the 4 data on it, and I'd have to see the size of the 5 company, I'd have to see the size of their 6 7 operations. What data would you need to look at? 8 0 9 I'd need to analyze, I would say, the Α 10 logic of it. So the onus would be on somebody trying to make the case for why this company does 11 12 not affect the oil market to present compelling 13 evidence for why it is possible to disentangle 14 broader oil market movements from the actions of the company. 15 And what -- what data or logic would 16 17 you need to see in order to consider someone to 18 have carried their burden of proving that to you? As is the case with this case, I'd 19 20 require to see compelling evidence that the 21 purchase and sales decisions carried out by the

company in the market in which it is active are

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 driven by considerations substantially different
- 2 from market movements.
- 3 And it's difficult for me to specify
- 4 these things right now because I was not hired to
- 5 look at the oil market. I'd have to -- I'd have
- 6 to study this as an independent question.
- 7 O What would you consider compelling
- 8 evidence that the purchase and sale decisions are
- 9 driven by considerations substantially different
- 10 from market movements in the XRP market?
- 11 A I'd -- I mean I would say that it is
- 12 very difficult in this market to find any way in
- 13 which you could disentangle the causality between
- 14 the market and the decisions of Ripple Labs since
- 15 all that Ripple Labs does is react to the market
- 16 as we saw.
- 17 So what would constitute something
- 18 like this would be a set sales schedule that they
- 19 announce and they stick to credibly saying, We
- 20 are going to be selling this many XRP per day,
- 21 regardless of what happens to price, regardless
- of what happens to market volume, we're going to

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 be selling a hundred a day or a million a day,
- 2 whatever.
- 3 Q Take a look at paragraph 49 of your
- 4 report.
- 5 A Although I would say that even that
- 6 itself in the case of XRP and Ripple, that
- 7 doesn't necessarily go all the way toward
- 8 satisfying this. This would be an initial step
- 9 that I would consider, but I don't think it goes
- 10 all the way because ultimately they are -- even
- if they stick to it, they are choosing to stick
- 12 to it. And so that's a daily decision that they
- 13 do every day.
- 14 Q So even if Ripple had announced in
- 15 advance a precise schedule on what it -- when it
- 16 was going to sell and how much and then followed
- 17 that schedule, you wouldn't consider that
- 18 sufficient to show that it wasn't reacting to
- 19 market prices.
- 20 A Not necessarily, because I don't
- 21 think there's a credible commitment to it, as is
- the case with Bitcoin where we know there's

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 nobody who can change the supply schedule. So
- 2 it's a distinction wherein with Bitcoin nobody
- 3 can change it, and so we know every 10 minutes
- 4 we're going to get a new block, and depending on
- 5 what four-year period we are in, each new block
- 6 is going to contain a set number of new Bitcoins.
- 7 We know that is the case because nobody is able
- 8 to change it with Bitcoin. But I think in the
- 9 case of Ripple, it's highly plausible that
- 10 somebody could change it, so therefore sticking
- 11 to the schedule would still be -- could still be
- 12 viewed as reacting to the market.
- 13 Q So let me direct you to paragraph 49
- 14 of your report now.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q In this paragraph -- let's see -- in
- 17 the second to last sentence, you write: "In
- 18 fact, there is significant correlation between
- 19 the returns of individual stocks and the stock
- 20 market as a whole. The correlation between
- 21 stocks in the same market segment is even
- 22 greater, but this, of course, does not mean that

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 167
1	the efforts of individual companies do not impact
2	the price of their stock."
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Are you asserting here that returns
6	on individual stocks have no statistically
7	significant alpha when compared to the stock
8	market as a whole?
9	A No.
10	Q If you had wanted to, you could have
11	run Professor Ferrell's factor model using the
12	stock market as an independent variable and the
13	XRP price as a dependent variable, couldn't you?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You didn't do that, though, did you?
16	A No.
17	Q Why not?
18	A Because I wasn't asked to look at
19	whether it is the stock market that is driving
20	returns in XRP. I was asked to offer my opinion
21	on Professor Ferrell's work, not to provide my
22	own.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 168 Sitting here today, you can't 1 0 identify a single stock that has zero alpha after 2 3 controlling for the broader stock market 4 movement, can you? I have not performed this analysis in 5 6 order to be able to tell you what the result of 7 this analysis would be. If you want me to do it -- I mean I could do it as an exercise and I 8 9 could find stocks, but I haven't done this. 10 Q Right, you haven't done it. 11 All right. So let's turn to 12 paragraph 60 of your report. 13 In paragraph 60, in the last sentence 14 you write: "If one were to test whether Ripple Labs has a controlling influence on XRP, it seems 15 16 more appropriate to test Ripple Labs' sales 17 against Ripple Labs' bottom line." 18 First, do you understand Professor Ferrell to be testing whether Ripple Labs has a 19 20 controlling influence on XRP? 21 He's testing whether they are the --22 they affect long-term price performance and

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 169
1	returns and short term.
2	Q And that's sorry.
3	A He's looking at whether their actions
4	affect returns on XRP.
5	Q And that's different from whether
6	they have a controlling influence on XRP, right?
7	A Distinct but similar.
8	Q What does it mean to test Ripple
9	Labs' sales against Ripple Labs' bottom line?
10	A To see if their sales of Ripple or
11	if their sales of XRP are correlating with
12	success for the company, for financial success
13	for the company.
14	Q How would you define "financial
15	success"?
16	A Profitability.
17	Q So in your view, if Ripple Labs sold
18	XRP for a gain, that would show that Ripple Labs
19	has a controlling influence on XRP?
20	A I wouldn't say it would prove it, but
21	it would suggest that it's it's a better
22	indicator of their effort their profitability

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 is a better indicator of their effort than
- 2 whatever happens to the price, because they are
- 3 not primarily out there to influence the price in
- 4 a particular direction.
- 5 This is the point that I'm trying to
- 6 make, that his specification -- even ignoring the
- 7 problem of endogeneity and exogeneity, his
- 8 specification is effectively saying we need to
- 9 see that Ripple Labs' sales are resulting in a --
- 10 resulting in a statistically significant
- 11 performance of XRP, the asset, in order to find a
- 12 link between their actions and the price.
- But that misses the point that, as
- 14 I'm saying here, they are a conscious actor, and
- 15 they're not out there to leave a mark on the
- 16 price. They're not trying to perform their sales
- 17 with the intent purpose of causing a specific
- 18 change in the price that will show up in
- 19 Professor Ferrell's report.
- On the contrary, they're doing the
- 21 opposite, consciously. They're trying to avoid
- 22 being effective. They're trying to minimize

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 their sales as much as possible in order so that
- 2 they can sell as much as they can so that they
- 3 can increase their profitability, which is their
- 4 fiduciary duty.
- 5 Q So let me take that one piece at a
- 6 time.
- 7 Your testimony is that Ripple Labs
- 8 was trying to avoid affecting the price through
- 9 its actions; is that right?
- 10 MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: That's not my testimony.
- 12 That is the evidence that we see from the internal
- 13 communications that members of Ripple Labs had
- 14 with one another.
- 15 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 16 Q Okay. So as you see it, the
- 17 underlying facts here are that Ripple Labs was
- 18 trying to avoid affecting the price of XRP
- 19 through its actions; is that right?
- 20 A It definitely seems to be that way
- 21 from the discussion I've seen.
- 22 Q And you don't have any separate

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 analysis to determine one way or the other
- 2 whether Ripple succeeded in trying to avoid
- 3 affecting the price of XRP, do you?
- 4 A No. I mean, I did analyze the
- 5 e-mails and I looked at the data myself, but I
- 6 don't present econometric analysis in my report.
- 7 Q Now, do you understand Professor
- 8 Ferrell's report to be investigating the question
- 9 of whether there is any link between the price of
- 10 XRP and Ripple's actions?
- 11 A He's investigating whether the main
- 12 driver of these actions are the movements in the
- 13 cryptocurrency market versus the actions of
- 14 Ripple.
- 15 Q Let's say there is a person who owns
- 16 ten XRP --
- 17 A Mm-hmm.
- 18 Q -- and they sell their ten XRP for
- 19 more than they bought it for. They turn a
- 20 profit. That sale has a positive effect on that
- 21 person's bottom line, right?
- 22 A Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 173
1	Q Would it be your opinion that that
2	hypothetical person who sold ten XRP has a
3	controlling influence on XRP?
4	A No.
5	Q What leads you to the conclusion that
6	Ripple has a controlling influence on XRP by
7	virtue of profiting off XRP sales when that
8	hypothetical holder of ten XRP doesn't?
9	A The difference is the holder of ten
10	XRP has ten XRP, whereas Ripple Labs has 100
11	billion or had 100 billion, which is all of
12	the XRPs. So that's a pretty huge difference.
13	Ripple Labs has them all, and it
14	functions as a company with a fiduciary duty to
15	its shareholders. So their ability to control
16	the supply of XRP and manage it in a way that
17	turns a profit is suggests that they are
18	exerting a controlling influence.
19	I wouldn't say that it proves it, but
20	I would say that it is it could be disproven
21	if you come up with the opposite result. In
22	other words, if you see that they're they are

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 turning a loss from their management of the XRP
- 2 stash, that would suggest they don't have a
- 3 controlling influence on XRP or that this is not
- 4 what they are trying to achieve from it, that
- 5 they're trying to achieve maybe perhaps something
- 6 else.
- 7 Q What if Ripple Labs owned 10 billion
- 8 XRP. If that were the case, then would you still
- 9 look at the correlation between Ripple's sales
- 10 and its bottom line to determine whether it has a
- 11 controlling influence?
- MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'd have to consider
- 14 that question independently and thoroughly. I
- 15 can't answer it offhand like that.
- 16 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 17 Q So you can say offhand that because
- 18 Ripple Labs initially owned something like 80
- 19 billion XRP, that testing its sales against its
- 20 bottom line does allow you to determine whether
- 21 Ripple has a controlling influence, and you --
- 22 withdrawn.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 175
1	A It doesn't allow me
2	Q Withdrawn. No question yet.
3	I think what you said is that it's
4	appropriate to test Ripple Labs' sales against
5	Ripple Labs' bottom line to determine whether
6	Ripple has a controlling influence on XRP given
7	that Ripple initially owned something like 80
8	billion XRP, and you said that that doesn't work
9	for somebody who owned 10 XRP.
10	Sitting here today, are you able to
11	tell me what the line is in the middle as to when
12	someone owns enough XRP that this is the
13	appropriate test for them?
14	A No, I don't think it's easy to
15	specify a particular line in the middle. I would
16	say that's easy to decide on the extreme cases.
17	So if you own 80 percent of the supply, 70
18	percent of the supply, 60 percent of the supply,
19	then that's clearly I think makes it very
20	telling what is happening.
21	In other words, if you own that, then
22	we can look at your profitability, and if you're

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 not being profitable, then maybe you don't run
- 2 this thing if you're unable to turn a profit, or
- 3 at least the entity itself is not in charge of
- 4 this thing.
- 5 It's -- it's possible for an oil
- 6 company to lose money in oil. It's possible for
- 7 a gold mine to lose money while mining gold. And
- 8 in this situation, if you're losing money
- 9 managing this commodity, then that would suggest
- 10 that you are not the one in charge of it.
- 11 Q You don't cite any academic
- 12 authorities supporting the proposed use of this
- test you've set out in paragraph 60, do you?
- 14 A No. And it's not -- it's not really
- 15 a test that I'm -- that I think is going to be
- 16 necessarily decisive in answering this question.
- 17 Q Well, what you wrote is: "It seems
- 18 more appropriate to test Ripple Labs' sales
- 19 against Ripple Labs' bottom line this way."
- 20 Are you saying now that that may not
- 21 be the appropriate test?
- 22 A I'm saying it might not be

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 177 conclusive. 1 2. Okay. In paragraph 61, the first sentence you write: "There is no reason to 3 4 suppose that Ripple Labs' control over the market will necessarily return" -- sorry -- "result in 5 6 returns statistically different from zero." 7 What market are you suggesting that Ripple has control over in this sentence? 8 9 XRP. Α 10 Q Are you offering an opinion that Ripple has control over the broader 11 12 cryptocurrency market? 13 Α No. All right. Let me direct your 14 attention now to paragraph 29 of your report. 15 16 Now, in the first sentence you write: 17 "Professor Ferrell did not measure short-term 18 price impact, nor did he measure XRP supply 19 inflation." 20 We talked about short-term price 21 impact earlier. I want to ask you about XRP 22 supply inflation now.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 178
1	A Mm-hmm.
2	Q What do you mean by "XRP supply
3	inflation"?
4	A The increase in the supply of XRP
5	that is in circulation.
6	Q So there's a distinction you
7	understand between the amount of XRP in
8	circulation and the amount of XRP that exists.
9	Is that right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q The amount of XRP that exists, I
12	think you refer to in your report as total
13	supply; is that right?
14	A I think so.
15	Q Isn't it true that well,
16	withdrawn.
17	So in this first sentence of
18	paragraph 29, are you saying that Professor
19	Ferrell did not measure inflation in the
20	circulating supply of XRP?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Dr. Ammous, isn't it true that

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 179
1	Professor Ferrell did measure the change in
2	circulating supply of XRP?
3	A I don't think so.
4	Q Let me turn you to Professor
5	Ferrell's report. That's Exhibit 149. And I'll
6	direct your attention to paragraph 49 of the
7	report.
8	And the third sentence, Professor
9	Ferrell writes: "A widely used website coin
10	market cap also reports circulating supply for
11	XRP," parentheses, "and many other
12	cryptocurrencies." And then he writes:
13	"Exhibit 11 shows XRP circulating supply and the
14	total XRP distributions."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And if you turn to Exhibit 11 of the
18	report
19	A Yeah.
20	Q you see that there is a graph of
21	the XRP total distributions and circulating
22	supply over time, right?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 180
1	A Yes.
2	Q And this chart is tracking the
3	monthly change in XRP circulating supply,
4	correct?
5	A No. This is tracking the circulating
6	supply, not the change. That's what I'm
7	referring to in my sentence. I'm saying XRP
8	supply inflation, which would be the rate
9	which would be the rate of change.
10	Q You can determine the rate of change
11	by seeing how much the supply increases at any
12	time, right?
13	A Yes. You would have to measure it.
14	Q And that's reflected on this graph in
15	Exhibit 11, isn't it?
16	A No, it's not.
17	Q So you're saying, as you understand
18	it, Exhibit 11 of the Ferrell report does not
19	show increases in the circulating supply of XRP.
20	A No. That's not what you asked.
21	Q Okay. Let's start with with the
22	easy part then.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 181
1	Can we agree that Exhibit 11 of
2	Professor Ferrell's report is showing the
3	increases in the circulating supply of XRP?
4	A It's showing the circulating supply.
5	Q And it's showing that circulating
6	supply increasing over time, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And the data in Professor Ferrell's
9	workpapers shows the specific numbers by which
10	XRP increased over time in order to make this
11	graph, right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q So it's inaccurate to say that
14	Professor Ferrell did not measure XRP supply
15	inflation, isn't it?
16	A No, it is not inaccurate. It is
17	accurate, because what we see here is the supply.
18	What I'm referring to is the supply growth rate.
19	Those are distinct metrics, and you have to
20	measure that one from this one.
21	So you would look at it and you would
22	see that during this month the supply went up by,

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 say, 0.1 percent, for that month it went up by
- 2 0.3 percent, for this month it went up by 5
- 3 percent, and then you would plot it for each
- 4 month. That's a distinct metric from this. It
- 5 is the slope of this, but you have to measure the
- 6 slope.
- 7 Q And your testimony is that Professor
- 8 Ferrell didn't do that.
- 9 A He does not provide it in the report.
- 10 If he does, please point me to it, but I do not
- 11 recall him mentioning supply growth rate.
- 12 Q Okay. Now, let's turn back to your
- 13 report, Exhibit 174, and I'd like to direct you
- 14 to paragraph 67.
- In this paragraph, you write:
- 16 "Professor Ferrell conveniently ignores Ripple
- 17 Labs' XRP buybacks altogether, both in Ripple
- 18 Labs' programmatic sales and as part of Ripple
- 19 Labs' ODL scheme."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q You are stating here that Professor

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 183
1	Ferrell failed to measure XRP buybacks, right?
2	A No.
3	Q Well, is it fair to say that your
4	critique is that Professor Ferrell only counted
5	XRP sales but not its buybacks of XRP?
6	A No.
7	Q What are you intending to critique
8	about Professor Ferrell's analysis through this
9	sentence that we just read?
10	A The mere existence of these buybacks
11	illustrates that Ripple Labs is reacting to the
12	prices on the market, which invalidates the
13	endogeneity requirement for his analysis. That's
14	what I'm trying to get at.
15	Q And what you say is that he
16	conveniently ignores the buybacks altogether.
17	Right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Isn't it true that Professor
20	Ferrell's analysis measured Ripple's net outflows
21	of XRP and took account of its buybacks in that
22	measurement?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 184
1	A Off the top of my head, I don't
2	remember right now. Could you point me to the
3	place where this is mentioned in his report?
4	Q Sure. Why don't you why don't we
5	start with the Ferrell report, Exhibit 149, at
6	paragraph 48.
7	And in this paragraph he says:
8	"Exhibits 9 and 10 show monthly distributions
9	that is the monthly XRP outflows net of any
10	potential inflows to Ripple."
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q You understand that net outflows
15	means the amount of XRP distributed after
16	subtracting any amounts that Ripple bought back,
17	right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q So Professor Ferrell did take XRP
20	buybacks into account in his analysis, correct?
21	A In his data, but he does not take it
22	into account in the endogeneity of the factor.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 185
1	Q Okay. I'd like to turn to
2	paragraph 62 of your report, Exhibit 174. This
3	is in the section where you discuss ODL.
4	Are you offering an opinion as to
5	whether ODL can, as a theoretical matter, work
6	effectively?
7	A No, I'm not.
8	Q Can you describe what your opinion as
9	to ODL is?
10	A My opinion of ODL is that in order
11	for it to work, it requires active management of
12	the market for XRP by Ripple Labs.
13	Q Can you explain how ODL works as you
14	understand it?
15	A I mean, I'd prefer to read from a
16	place because it's a highly technical thing.
17	It's not something that I could explain very
18	briefly. It's a it's an extremely complicated
19	process. I could give a very brief overview of
20	how it intuitively works.
21	Q Why don't we start with that.
22	A Effectively, it allows two

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 financial -- two individuals who want to send
- 2 money to one another to do it using XRP as the
- 3 back end for it. So that would work through the
- 4 creation of a market in XRP and the sender's
- 5 currency where the sender buys -- or not the
- 6 sender -- the sender, the financial institution,
- 7 takes his money.
- 8 So let's say the sender is going to
- 9 send dollars. The recipient is going to get
- 10 Mexican pesos. The sender's financial
- 11 institution would take the dollars from the
- 12 sender, use them to buy XRP, send XRP to the
- 13 financial institution on the other end, which is
- 14 going to sell it in order to procure pesos and
- 15 give to the recipient.
- 16 That's a kind of very broad outline.
- 17 Q Now, in your report in paragraph 64,
- 18 you write in the first sentence: "To deploy this
- 19 technology for transfer of other currencies, ODL
- 20 partners would need to hold a balance of XRP at
- 21 all its branches, which involves a cost and
- 22 carries foreign exchange risk."

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 187
1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Isn't it true that ODL can be set up
4	such that the participating institution only
5	needs to have an account at the sending digital
6	asset exchange?
7	A I'm sorry, could you repeat the
8	question?
9	Q Isn't it true that ODL could be set
10	up such that the participating institution only
11	needs to have an account at the sending digital
12	asset exchange?
13	A What do you mean sending digital
14	asset exchange? What
15	Q The digital asset exchange in the
16	sending currency.
17	A I think from what I understood, the
18	recipient financial institution also needs an
19	account to be able to trade their XRP for the
20	recipient's currency.
21	Q And is it your understanding that ODL
22	participants have to maintain an inventory of XRP
	range in the community of the
í	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 in order to use ODL?
- 2 A As a participant -- the financial
- 3 institutions you mean or the individuals?
- 4 Q The participating financial
- 5 institutions.
- 6 A Yes, either they're going to keep it
- 7 on hand or they're going to have an account with
- 8 a cryptocurrency exchange. Or some other
- 9 financial institution that provides them an
- 10 account in XRP.
- 11 Q So my question is, is it your
- 12 understanding that institutions participating in
- 13 XRP -- in ODL have to maintain an inventory of
- 14 XRP in order to use it?
- 15 A No. They don't have to maintain an
- 16 inventory themselves. They could have it in
- 17 other financial institutions.
- 18 O And are you aware that contracts for
- 19 ODL participating institutions provide guarantees
- 20 against slippage risk for the ODL process?
- 21 A I think I've come across that fact
- 22 while reading about ODL. I'm not entirely sure,

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 189 though. I'd have to review my notes -- I mean 1 review the ODL FAO document. 2 3 If the participating institutions 4 have an anti-slippage guarantee, then they wouldn't be exposed to currency risk from holding 5 6 XRP, would they? 7 Α It depends on how good the guarantee is. 8 9 And if the quarantee is good, they Q 10 wouldn't have that risk, would they? Well, they wouldn't have it, but 11 Α 12 whoever is making the guarantee would have it. 13 You can't just wish the risk away. Someone's 14 going to be taking it. 15 I'd like to direct you now a little 16 later in the ODL section to paragraph 69 of your 17 report. 18 Α Mm-hmm. 19 Q In paragraph 69, you write: "The 20 introduction of XRP as a trading currency is 21 another significant obstacle to the success of the Ripple Labs payment technology." 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 190
1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q By "payment technology," are you
4	referring to ODL?
5	A Yes. ODL or any other kind of system
6	wherein XRP is not held for its own sake as an
7	asset, but used in order to facilitate payments
8	with other currencies.
9	Q So you then state: "Individuals or
10	institutions looking to adopt the system have no
11	possible way of calculating the cost of the
12	transactions given that the cost is denominated
13	in a currency that fluctuates in value."
14	Do you see that?
15	A Mm-hmm.
16	Q When you say "adopt the system," are
17	you referring to using ODL?
18	A Or any similar system that operates
19	on the same principle.
20	Q And at the end of that sentence, you
21	say: " is denominated in a currency that
22	fluctuates in value."

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 191
1	Is the currency that fluctuates in
2	value a reference to XRP?
3	A Mm-hmm.
4	Q Are there any currencies that don't
5	fluctuate in value?
6	A If you're carrying out the
7	transaction in your own currency, then, yeah,
8	your currency doesn't fluctuate in value compared
9	to your currency.
10	Or specifically, I should say the
11	transaction fee in dollars does not oscillate
12	based on the value of the dollar in your bank
13	account. So when you send the wire transfer and
14	you pay 25 bucks, it's 25 bucks. It's not
15	denominated in a currency that's going to
16	oscillate.
17	Q And let's say you're sending from
18	U.S. dollars to Mexican pesos
19	A Mm-hmm.
20	Q and the fee is \$25. If you care
21	about how much that is in pesos because you want
22	to have pesos in the end, that 25 U.S. dollars

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 192
1	oscillate compared to pesos, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Your own website allows people to pay
4	for books using Bitcoin, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Bitcoin also fluctuates in value,
7	right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Is it your view that people who are
10	buying your books have no possible way of
11	calculating the cost of those books if their base
12	currency is U.S. dollars?
13	A No.
14	Q Why is it then that you say people
15	who are using ODL have no possible way of
16	calculating the cost of those transactions if
17	they pay a fee in XRP?
18	A Because the ODL transaction is a
19	dollar transaction. You're sending dollars in
20	that transaction. And then the fee is quoted in
21	XRP, and the value of XRP is fluctuating. So the
22	distinction here is that the fluctuation is in

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 the value of the currency in which you're
- 2 carrying out the transfer.
- 3 That's why this model doesn't work
- 4 for XRP, in my opinion, or for Bitcoin. And many
- 5 people have tried to do this with Bitcoin. So
- 6 you can still sell things for Bitcoin, as I do on
- 7 my website, but I would not run a money transfer
- 8 service that relied on paying transaction fees in
- 9 Bitcoin.
- 10 Q So, in your view, having a money
- 11 transfer service that charges a fee in a
- 12 different currency than the base currency makes
- 13 it impossible to calculate the cost of those
- 14 transactions. Is that right?
- 15 A No. It's not necessarily that way.
- 16 It's that the -- the volatility of the currency
- 17 makes it so that the entire operation of the
- 18 system becomes unpredictable, and it creates a
- 19 new level of variability in the fees that are
- 20 being paid, which is that the currency itself is
- 21 fluctuating.
- Now, on the one hand, this is a

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 problem because the currency is fluctuating, but
- 2 on the bigger hand -- or on the other hand, the
- 3 bigger problem is that this is creating a
- 4 headache for the market makers on both ends, and
- 5 the only way to solve that is for somebody to
- 6 step in and essentially subsidize the entire
- 7 process, which is the case with what XRP is
- 8 doing.
- 9 Q Well, what you wrote in paragraph 69
- 10 was: "Individuals or institutions looking to
- 11 adopt the system have no possible way of
- 12 calculating the cost of the transactions given
- 13 that the cost is denominated in a currency that
- 14 fluctuates in value."
- 15 Is it or is it not possible for ODL
- 16 users to calculate the cost of their
- 17 transactions?
- 18 A Well, I guess it would -- it depends
- 19 on about if you're talking about it prospectively
- 20 or retroactivity. So it's possible to calculate
- 21 it retroactivity. After you've paid it, you can
- 22 see that, yeah, I paid 3 XRPs, which translates

21

22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 195 to \$5, and so therefore I paid five bucks. 1 But there's no way to tell ahead of 2 3 time that tomorrow I'm going to be able to send 4 the money for five bucks because you might need -- 3 XRP might be worth five bucks or could be 5 worth 50 cents or could be worth more. 6 7 0 So it is possible to calculate the 8 cost of transactions as or after they've 9 occurred. 10 Α Yes. Are ODL fees paid in XRP? 11 0 12 It depends on which fees you're 13 referring to. 14 Are there any fees in the ODL process that are paid in XRP? 15 16 I presume there are. Again, I'm --Α 17 I -- I haven't ever run ODL, and I'm no expert on 18 design, but I presume it entails an XRP transaction, and therefore that's going to 19 20 require payment of an XRP transaction fee, which

Q

is in -- which is paid with XRP.

And who do you presume that XRP

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

 $Saife de an\ Ammous,\ Ph.D.$

- 1 transaction fee is paid to?
- 2 A It's the network. The validators, I
- 3 think the nodes in the XRP network.
- 4 Q So in your view in order to run an
- 5 ODL transaction, the institution participating in
- 6 ODL has to pay a fee in XRP to the validators
- 7 running the XRP Ledger; is that right?
- 8 A I'm -- I'm not a hundred percent
- 9 confident of it because I haven't looked at the
- 10 details, and I don't have them in front of me
- 11 right now. But I would lean toward that, yeah.
- 12 Q Did you make an effort to figure that
- out before offering an opinion about how the fees
- in ODL transactions are calculated?
- 15 A Yes, I read the report by Professor
- 16 Ferrell, and I read the FAQs on ODL.
- 17 Q But after reading those and sitting
- 18 here today, you're not a hundred percent
- 19 confident of whether the participating
- 20 institution has to pay a fee in XRP to validators
- 21 on the Ledger?
- 22 A Yeah, I -- if you have the

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 document, I could refresh my memory, but I'm not
- 2 a hundred percent confident of whether that is
- 3 the case or not.
- 4 Because -- I mean, the -- it's
- 5 important here to remember one thing, which is
- 6 that ODL has always -- has never really launched
- 7 commercially in a sustainable manner where it was
- 8 operating fully functional on the market. So
- 9 it's difficult to say what -- what its final form
- 10 would look like. It's operated in trials that
- 11 have been subsidized by XRP, so they may have
- 12 made it so that you don't have to pay a
- 13 transaction fee to the network in order to make
- it easier and simpler for them. Maybe, maybe
- 15 not.
- 16 Q How do you know whether ODL has
- 17 operated in a fully functional manner?
- 18 A Because the only examples I've ever
- 19 heard of the implementation of ODL have all come
- 20 from situations where their use has been heavily
- 21 funded by Ripple Labs, and with financial
- 22 institutions that were heavily involved with

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 198 1 Ripple Labs as well. 2 So MoneyGram had taken on investment I think from Ripple Labs, and so it was always 3 4 subsidized. It was always a trial. It was always a pilot program. It's never quite cut it 5 in the open. And I think for very good reasons. 6 7 What effort did you make to find out 0 whether ODL has ever emerged out of the trial 8 9 phase? 10 Α Well, I looked at Professor Ferrell's report, and since he was trying to make the case 11 12 for ODL, I considered that to be a good steel man 13 of the case for why ODL would have succeeded, and 14 all that he could present was the example of 15 MoneyGram, which I find highly unconvincing. 16 Do you know when MoneyGram was using 0 17 ODL? 18 Α I would have to look it up. 19 Q I'll represent to you that it was 20 mostly in 2019. 21 Α Mm-hmm. 22 You don't have to take my word for Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 199 1 it, but I'll represent that to you. 2 Have you done any work to figure out whether the ODL product has changed in any way 3 4 since 2019? 5 It's -- from what I could tell, it's 6 changed in that it's -- they stopped -- that 7 they've stopped the trial I think at MoneyGram, and I don't think they are doing it anywhere else 8 9 anymore --10 0 Okay. -- as far as I could tell, but I'm 11 Α 12 not entirely sure. 13 0 All right. Now, we've been looking 14 at paragraph 69 of your report that gives your 15 opinion on ODL. 16 Α Mm-hmm. 17 0 What methodology did you use to 18 arrive at this opinion? 19 I would say the main thing that has 20 influenced me on this is that this is something 21 that I have considered myself as a business idea in a Bitcoin setting as early as 2014, I would 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 say. That I considered, well, Bitcoin is a fast
- 2 and cheap way to send money across the world, so
- 3 it seems like it would make sense that you would
- 4 be able to do remittances around Bitcoin. And
- 5 it's something that I considered working on for a
- 6 while, and then I concluded that there is a
- 7 serious problem here that makes it unworkable,
- 8 even for a currency that is significantly larger
- 9 than XRP, that has more liquidity than XRP, and
- 10 that is more provably and reliably neutral and
- 11 decentralized.
- The problem is that for transfers
- 13 that involve currencies other than Bitcoin, the
- 14 transaction fees -- the transaction costs -- the
- 15 cost of transacting and selling the native
- 16 currency, buying Bitcoin, and then selling
- 17 Bitcoin, buying the recipient's currency, that
- 18 I've seen up front. I haven't done numbers on
- 19 considering doing something like this. I've seen
- 20 how it's just not workable.
- 21 Q So because it wasn't feasible in your
- 22 view to use Bitcoin to facilitate international

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 remittances, you concluded that it wouldn't be
- 2 feasible to use XRP to do that either; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A No. Because I've considered this
- 5 with other digital currencies as well. It's not
- 6 the issue. The issue is not Bitcoin. The issue
- 7 is not XRP in particular.
- 8 The issue is that if you're doing a
- 9 transfer in a currency other than the currencies
- of the people holding it, that's what it comes
- 11 down to.
- 12 I think people mistake -- and this is
- 13 something that I feature in my work
- 14 extensively -- people mistake the cost of
- 15 transactions as if it is the cost of sending
- 16 information. They think it's the information
- 17 that's expensive to send in a sense. But
- 18 information is not expensive to send.
- 19 Information is very cheap today.
- The cost of these transactions, money
- 21 transfers, Western Union, PayPal, all of those
- 22 things, is the creation of liquidity available to

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 both parties by the intermediary or
- 2 intermediaries usually, and adding another
- 3 currency is just adding to the cost of creating
- 4 the market on both ends, which is ultimately the
- 5 cost.
- 6 So it comes from a misunderstanding
- 7 of conflating the cost as being the cost of
- 8 sending information. So those little currencies
- 9 can bring down the cost of sending information
- 10 slightly, but that's an insignificant cost
- 11 compared to the economic cost of making markets
- 12 on both ends.
- 2 So because you've thought about
- 14 trying to establish an international remittance
- 15 system in this way, and realized that using an
- 16 additional currency adds cost, you conclude that
- 17 it's not feasible to run such a system in XRP or
- 18 any other currency; is that right?
- 19 A Run a system where you're using a
- 20 currency other than the ones that the sender and
- 21 recipient are using, yes.
- 22 Q Okay. Did you consider facts about

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 203 XRP -- or I'm sorry, about ODL before writing 1 this opinion? 2 3 А Yes. Isn't it true that you actually 4 Q formed this opinion before you reviewed any of 5 6 the evidence in the case? 7 I had formed the opinion in general Α on this idea that you could use another currency 8 9 for transferring other currencies, and then I 10 reviewed the ODL information for this case. I'm going to show you another 11 0 12 document we'll mark as Exhibit 183. 13 (Exhibit No. 183 was marked for 14 identification.) BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 15 16 Exhibit 183 is headed "Working Paper 0 17 No. 92, August 2016." The title is "Can 18 cryptocurrencies fulfil the functions of money?" 19 This is a working paper that you 20 wrote, right? 21 Α Yes. 22 And this is the paper, or at least a Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 204
1	working draft of it, that I think you mentioned
2	earlier was omitted from your CV, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q The pages here aren't numbered, but
5	if you count along to page 16.
6	A Okay.
7	Q You see that, just to make sure we're
8	on the same page, this page starts with the
9	sentence that says: "The third largest currency
10	by market cap is Ripple."
11	Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. I'd like you to look at the
14	bottom paragraph on this page, and start at the
15	third sentence that starts with "Bitcoin's
16	blockchain."
17	A Okay.
18	Q Do you see that?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Take a second to read that from there
21	to the end of the paragraph, and then I'll ask
22	you to go back and look again at paragraph 69 of

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 205 1 your report. (Peruses document.) Mm-hmm. 2. Those two paragraphs, at least the 3 4 portion of the paragraph starting with "Bitcoin's blockchain" are essentially identical, aren't 5 6 they? 7 Α Yes. 8 0 Did you copy paragraph 69 out of your 9 paper "Can cryptocurrencies fulfil the functions 10 of money?" I don't think I copied it. I think I 11 Α 12 just -- I -- I paraphrased it. 13 0 Let me ask it this way: Did you copy 14 and paste it, subject to a couple of minor 15 tweaks, from this paper? 16 Α I think so. It looks like it, yeah. 17 0 ODL didn't exist in August of 2016, 18 did it? 19 I don't know, but Ripple definitely Α 20 had something similar working on -- they were 21 already touting Ripple as a mechanism for 22 interbank transfers.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 206
1	Q Dr. Ammous, isn't it true that you
2	formed the opinion expressed in paragraph 69
3	before ODL even existed?
4	A I don't think I don't know exactly
5	when ODL existed.
6	Q All right. Let me show you another
7	document we'll mark as Exhibit 184.
8	(Exhibit No. 184 was marked for
9	identification.)
10	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
11	Q Exhibit 184 is a posting about
12	on-demand liquidity.
13	A Yeah.
14	Q The title is "On-Demand Liquidity
15	Spans Worldwide, " from Ripple, November 2022.
16	If you look at page 2, the second
17	paragraph, it says: "Introduced in 2018, ODL was
18	initially built to ease the restrictions
19	associated with low value, high volume cross-
20	border payments."
21	Do you have any reason to doubt that
22	ODL was introduced in 2018?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Dag		207
1	A No.	j ⊂ .	207
2	Q And the working paper		
3	A Mm-hmm.		
4	Q that we just looked at in		
5	Exhibit 183, that was written in 2016, right?		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q Okay. Let's		
8	A Although I would say, as I was saying	ng	
9	earlier, the conclusions that I draw are		
10	applicable to earlier iterations of Ripple Labs'		
11	ideas on how to use XRP to facilitate bank		
12	transfers, even before ODL came about, and it		
13	applies to any any any utilization of		
14	cryptocurrencies for fiat transfers.		
15	Q And sitting here today, you don't		
16	know what total volume of currency has passed		
17	through ODL for international transfers, do you?		
18	A I think Professor Ferrell mentions		
19	this in his report.		
20	Yeah, it says "reaching a high of		
21	\$410 million in April of 2020."		
22	Q For the record, can you state where		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 208 you're reading from? 1 Paragraph 72 in Professor Ferrell's 2. Α 3 report. 4 And do you know if that reference to Q a high of 410 million refers to -- up to the 5 period by November 2020 that Professor Ferrell 6 7 was discussing in the previous sentence? Sorry, could you repeat the question? 8 Α 9 Yeah. You just read a line 0 10 mentioning a high of \$410 million in April of 11 2020. 12 My question to you is, do you know if 13 that reference to a high is with regard to the period up through November of 2020 that Professor 14 Ferrell was discussing in the previous sentence? 15 16 Α Just reading the sentence, it seems 17 like that is the case, yes. 18 In fact, you're aware that billions of dollars have moved through ODL each quarter in 19 20 recent years; isn't that right? 21 Α I don't have the data ahead of me 22 right now. I don't know.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 209
1	Q	Well, take a look at paragraph 65 of
2	your report	•
3	А	My report?
4	Q	Your report. Exhibit 174 for the
5	record.	
6	А	Mm-hmm. Okay.
7	Q	You mention in the last sentence, for
8	example, th	at Ripple had net sales of 536.99
9	million of	XRP in Q3 and Q4 2022.
10		Do you see that?
11	А	Okay.
12	Q	And you cite an XRP Markets Report as
13	your source	for that one, right?
14	А	Okay.
15	Q	You could have consulted other
16	publicly av	ailable XRP Markets Reports to figure
17	out how muc	h ODL has or how much volume has
18	passed thro	ugh ODL since November of 2020,
19	couldn't you?	
20	А	I could have.
21	Q	Right.
22	А	But

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 210 1 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Let's go off the 2 record here. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 4 record at 2:35 p.m. 5 (Recess.) 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of media number 5. We're on the record 7 at 2:50 p.m. 8 9 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 10 Q Dr. Ammous, could you please turn to paragraph 40 of your report. 11 12 Mm-hmm. 13 0 In paragraph 40 you write: "The XRP 14 Ledger is perfectly capable of operating with the total 100 billion XRP or the currently 15 16 circulating approximately 50 billion XRP or 10 17 billion XRP. There is no need whatsoever for the 18 supply of XRP to increase in order for it to operate since any existing supply will suffice to 19 20 meet any amount of demand because the value of 21 the tokens can appreciate to satisfy the needs of all users for whatever quantity of XRP they 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 211	
1	desire."	
2	Do you see that?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q When you say, "There is no need	
5	whatsoever for the supply of XRP to increase,"	
6	are you referring to the circulating supply, the	
7	total supply, or something else?	
8	A In this situation, it would be the	
9	circulating supply.	
10	Q And when you say, "Any existing	
11	supply will suffice to meet any amount of	
12	demand," what sort of analysis did you do to	
13	reach that conclusion?	
14	A This is a very fundamental point in	
15	economics, something that I have studied	
16	extensively, that I base my book of The Bitcoin	
17	Standard on it, and I I quote other economists	
18	who have discussed this.	
19	And I also if you look at footnote	
20	18, I show how the size of the Bitcoin economy	
21	has grown enormously, whereas the the number	
22	of the Bitcoins in circulation has only grown	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 212
RP
ugh,
ler
d
t
dresses
uld

- 1 very little during the same period.
- 2 Q To your knowledge, would the XRP
- 3 Ledger work if there were only 5 XRP that
- 4 existed?
- 5 A Yeah, if they were visible enough,
- 6 you could keep dividing them down into smaller
- 7 units, and then it would work with 5 XRP.
- 8 Q How many wallet addresses could
- 9 someone set up if there were only 5 XRP that
- 10 existed?
- 11 A You could set up the wallet addresses
- to be denominated and then drops, or you could
- 13 make smaller denominations than drops.
- 14 Q Do you know if doing that would
- 15 require changes to the XRP Ledger code?
- 16 A I presume at a number of around 5
- 17 XRPs, then the drops -- then you're going to need
- 18 to make more drops or split the drops down to
- 19 smaller units.
- 20 Q Suppose there were only 5 XRP that
- 21 existed. On the XRP Ledger code as it exists
- 22 today, how many wallet addresses could you make,

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 213
1	if any?
2	A I don't know.
3	Q Sitting here today, can you say with
4	confidence that a supply of 5 XRP would suffice
5	for the XRP Ledger to function?
6	A If they're divisible, as I mention in
7	the example that I use on the drops, ultimately
8	it's all about the divisibility.
9	Q How many XRP would it take to make a
10	wallet address for every person in the world, do
11	you know?
12	A There is no set number because it
13	depends on the denomination of the XRP. So if
14	each XRP can be divided into 10 trillion units,
15	then 1 XRP is more than enough.
16	Q Do you know if there is a minimum
17	number of XRP that has to be held in order for a
18	wallet address to get set up?
19	A I do not I've never used XRP.
20	Q Okay. And that's not something you
21	investigated before writing your report in this
22	case, correct?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 214
1	A No, but I do not believe that it is
2	even relevant because you could change that
3	requirement as you increase the number of as
4	you increase the number of as you increase the
5	divisibility of the token.
6	Q I just want to make sure the record
7	is clear on that one. I asked you if that's
8	something that's not something you
9	investigated before writing your report, and you
10	said no.
11	Is it your testimony that you did not
12	investigate that question before writing your
13	report?
14	A Yes, I did not investigate that
15	question.
16	Q Okay, thank you.
17	Let's turn now to paragraph 47.
18	At the end of the first sentence in
19	paragraph 47, what you write is: "The only
20	rationale remaining for the increase of the
21	supply is the same rationale governments use for
22	using inflation to finance their operation."

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 215
1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q So there's another reference to
4	increase of the supply. Is that again the
5	circulating supply or the total supply, or
6	something else?
7	A Circulating. I guess in this context
8	it would work for both as well, but for
9	consistency, let's go with circulating.
10	Q What work, if any, did you do to
11	identify all of the possible rationales for why
12	Ripple might distribute XRP before reaching this
13	conclusion?
14	A I've seen a lot of currencies in my
15	life.
16	Q And that's the entirety of the
17	analysis that you did?
18	A Of every single currency I've ever
19	seen, the main purpose for increasing the supply
20	is to finance and profit it's for the profit
21	of the people who are able to increase the
22	supply.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 216
1	Q Did you consider any other possible
2	justifications for increasing a supply?
3	A I have not come across any.
4	Professor Ferrell certainly does not mention
5	another one.
6	Q Do you understand professor
7	Professor Ferrell to be offering an opinion about
8	why Ripple was distributing XRP?
9	A He is offering an opinion on the
10	distribution of XRP not being the driver of XRP's
11	price movement.
12	Q And is it your view that he can't
13	offer that opinion unless he first identifies the
14	reasons why XRP why Ripple was distributing
15	XRP?
16	A Not necessarily, no.
17	Q Let's go to paragraph 22 of your
18	report.
19	In paragraph 22 you say: "As Ripple
20	Labs currently continues to hold approximately
21	50 billion tokens, around half of the total
22	supply, selling that entire supply on the market

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 in a short period of time would devastate XRP's
- 2 price. The fact that Ripple Labs has not
- 3 undertaken such a step shows that the price of
- 4 XRP depends on the actions of Ripple Labs."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Are you offering an opinion that the
- 8 fact that someone has declined to take a step
- 9 that might significantly affect the price of an
- 10 asset means that the price depends on that
- 11 person's actions?
- 12 A I'm not saying that that is the case
- 13 always. Me not crossing the street today is not
- 14 going to affect the price of copper. So I can't
- 15 generalize that.
- 16 Q Well, my question was about declining
- 17 to take a step that might significantly affect
- 18 the price of an asset.
- 19 A Well, it depends on what the step is,
- 20 but, yeah, if the step is selling -- doubling the
- 21 circulated supply, then yeah, being able to take
- 22 that step and not taking that step is affecting

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 218 the price. 1 Let me give you a hypothetical. 2. 0 3 As of last month, November 2023, the 4 investment firm Berkshire Hathaway had more than \$150 billion in cash available. 5 6 Berkshire Hathaway decided to take that 150 7 billion and use it all to buy XRP. That would significantly affect the 8 9 price of XRP, wouldn't it? 10 Α Yes. Is it your opinion that the price of 11 0 12 XRP depends on the actions of Berkshire Hathaway? If they do act with XRP -- if they 13 Α 14 have the XRP, if they buy XRP, then yes. 15 And does the fact that they refrained 16 from taking that step mean that the price of XRP still depends on their actions? 17 18 No. Α In paragraph 22, you offer an opinion 19 20 that the fact that Ripple Labs has not undertaken 21 such a step shows that the price of XRP depends 22 on Ripple's actions.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 219
1	How do you distinguish Ripple from
2	Berkshire Hathaway in that hypothetical?
3	A Because when Berkshire Hathaway
4	doesn't buy the Ripple, the XRPs, they are
5	holding dollars and they're not buying XRPs. So
6	there's no conceivable effect that they have on
7	the Ripple market, the XRP market.
8	On the other hand, in the case of
9	Ripple Labs, if they don't dump their 50 billion
10	XRPs, they are holding 50 billion XRPs. They're
11	not holding U.S. dollar cash. That's the
12	distinction. That's why they are inescapably a
13	player on the market, whereas Berkshire Hathaway
14	is not, because Berkshire Hathaway, if they don't
15	buy, they're holding dollars.
16	Q So if Ripple decided to sell all of
17	its XRP, in your view that would affect the price
18	of XRP on the market, right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And if Ripple decided not to sell all
21	of its XRP, in your view, that would also affect
22	the price of XRP on the market, right?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 220
1	A Yes.
2	Q Now, in that sentence we were just
3	looking at in paragraph 22, you say selling the
4	entire supply on the market would devastate XRP's
5	price.
6	A Yes.
7	Q You're not claiming that the price
8	would go all the way to zero, are you?
9	A I'm not necessarily claiming that,
10	no.
11	Q But and I think we talked about
12	this earlier, but in paragraph 21, you do say:
13	"The entirety of the economic value of XRP
14	depends on Ripple Labs."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q So if Ripple were to sell all of its
18	XRP, and the price didn't go to zero, wouldn't
19	that indicate that there is some economic value
20	that's not dependent on Ripple?
21	A It's a hypothetical thing. Although
22	I would also add that it's not just the behavior

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 of XRP with the -- with the allocation. It's
- 2 also their behavior in general in terms of their
- 3 ability to maintain the protocol. They could
- 4 introduce a bug. They could make an error that
- 5 could make the network inoperable and destroy the
- 6 value that takes place in it.
- 7 Q Do you think Ripple has the
- 8 unilateral ability to make changes to the XRP
- 9 Ledger's code?
- 10 A I lean towards saying yes.
- 11 Q What analysis did you do to figure
- 12 that out?
- 13 A I've looked at digital currencies
- over the past 10 years, and I think the only
- 15 digital currency that has shown that it is
- 16 resistant to a central authority being able to
- 17 change it is Bitcoin. Because when we've had
- 18 people trying to make changes to Bitcoin, what
- 19 followed was years and years of acrimony and an
- 20 inability to check -- to make changes to the
- 21 consensus rules, whereas, we have never heard of
- 22 anything like that with Ripple.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 222
1	So the Ripple network has reinvented
2	itself over the years with all kinds of different
3	rationales and all kinds of different marketing
4	talking points, and we've never heard of any
5	contentious problems with making these upgrades,
6	if you want.
7	So the the dog that doesn't bark
8	here in this case is if it's not possible for
9	Ripple Labs to change things, where is all the
10	acrimony, where is all the contention on who gets
11	to make the changes, and who can or cannot make
12	changes.
13	Q You said in that last answer, "We've
14	never heard of any contentious problems."
15	Who is "we"?
16	A Yeah, that's I probably should
17	have said "I."
18	Q And what investigation have you done
19	to seek out whether there were any disagreements
20	about changes to the XRP Ledger code?
21	A I've researched Ripple for my first
22	paper, I followed it I follow the news on

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 Ripple, and I've never come across anybody
- 2 mentioning acrimony and deadlock and an inability
- 3 to move forward. On the contrary, we always get
- 4 the opposite, which is Ripple is nimble, Ripple
- 5 can make -- can change and adjust.
- 6 Q Just to be clear, you said you
- 7 researched Ripple for your first paper.
- 8 Was that the paper that we looked at
- 9 earlier in Exhibit 184?
- 10 A 183.
- 11 Q Thank you, the paper we looked at in
- 12 183.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. You didn't do any additional
- 15 research after writing that paper, did you?
- 16 A I've read about the cryptocurrency
- 17 markets regularly since then. I follow the news.
- 18 Q Okay. Let's look at paragraph 25 of
- 19 your report.
- A Mm-hmm.
- 21 Q Still discussing what would happen if
- 22 Ripple sold all or a substantial portion of its

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 XRP, what you say in the second sentence here is:
- 2 "Such a sale would completely destroy the
- 3 correlation of XRP with the rest of the digital
- 4 asset market."
- 5 When you say, "It would completely
- 6 destroy the correlation," are you referring to
- 7 going forward after Ripple had sold all of that
- 8 XRP?
- 9 A Referring particularly to the period
- 10 after the sale. So the correlation would be such
- 11 that -- let's say Ripple is highly correlated
- 12 with the rest of the cryptocurrency market, that
- 13 it would explain a significant percentage of the
- 14 variation. But then you would expect that to say
- 15 if the cryptocurrency market on that day went up
- 16 2 percent, you would expect Ripple to move
- 17 something in the range of 2 percent, but such a
- 18 move would probably make Ripple drop by, I'd say,
- 19 80, maybe 90 percent, something like that, in
- 20 which case it's going to be completely flying in
- 21 the face of the correlation.
- 22 Q So I just want to make sure I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 understand fully.
- When you say, "It would completely
- 3 destroy the correlation, "you're talking about on
- 4 the day it happens, not before that, not going
- 5 forward after that?
- 6 A Mm-hmm. Definitely on the day it
- 7 happens, and the short term after that. But
- 8 later on, it might -- it's difficult to predict
- 9 how it's going to play out in the long term.
- 10 Q What testing or analysis have you
- 11 done to figure out whether the hypothetical sale
- 12 that you've posited would destroy correlation in
- 13 the short term following the sale?
- 14 A I've looked at -- there are several
- 15 examples of currencies where this has happened
- 16 where the founding team manages to dump a large
- 17 amount of tokens on the market, and the price
- 18 collapses immediately. You see the price drop by
- 19 90 percent, 99 percent, 99.9 percent, something
- 20 like that.
- 21 And I've also looked at the
- 22 discussions that the Ripple Labs team had amongst

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 themselves about the degree of responsiveness of
- 2 the market to their sales, and how even tiny
- 3 sales were causing prices to decline when they
- 4 were basing the volume of their sales on the --
- 5 what they later discovered was erroneous data
- 6 from coin market gap that overstated the volume.
- 7 So even small margins affect the
- 8 price, I think then that's very good compelling
- 9 evidence for that, and there's, of course, very
- 10 strong theoretical reasons to believe that this
- 11 is the case. That's just the simple logic of
- 12 supply and demand, which is the first thing you
- 13 learn as an economist or one of the first and
- 14 most important things you learn as an economist
- is that supply goes up, price goes down.
- 16 Q So you mention there that there are
- 17 several examples of currency where that happens.
- 18 You don't describe any of those examples in your
- 19 report, do you?
- 20 A I do not, no.
- 21 Q Okay. Sitting here today, can you
- 22 say what other currencies you have in mind as

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 227 those examples? 1 I know Bitconnect is one. 2. That one 3 off the top of my head, I remember that situation 4 happening. 5 I think more recently there was one 6 called Pepe, I think in which something like this 7 happened. 8 0 Any others that you can think of as 9 you sit here today? 10 Α Not off the top of my head, no. 11 0 Okay. In that sentence we were just 12 looking at in paragraph 25, you said, "... 13 selling all or a substantial portion of Ripple's 14 XRP." 15 How do you define a "substantial 16 portion" for this purpose? 17 Α Well, I mean, I guess the term 18 "substantial" here is a little bit of a -- it's almost tautological in the sense that a 19 20 substantial portion is the one that would 21 completely destroy the correlation. would be one that would make Ripple move 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 228 drastically differently from the rest of the 1 2 market. 3 So when you say that: "An analysis should take into account what would happen if 4 5 Ripple Labs were to sell all or a substantial 6 portion of its XRP, "you're saying that 7 "substantial portion" there means an amount sufficient to completely destroy the correlation 8 9 between XRP and the rest of the market? 10 right? 11 Mm-hmm. Α 12 That's a "yes"? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Okay. Dr. Ammous, would you say you approached your assignment in this case with an 15 16 open mind? 17 Α Yes. 18 Were you open to the possibility that Professor Ferrell was correct that XRP's price 19 20 movements could be explained by factors other 21 than Ripple's actions? 22 Yes, certainly. Α

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 229
1	Q You had preexisting views about
2	Ripple and XRP before being retained in this
3	case, didn't you?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And you shared those views publicly,
6	right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Your own personal view is that Ripple
9	is a scam, right?
10	A I've probably said that several
11	times, yes.
12	Q Yeah, let's look at some. I'm going
13	to show you a document we'll mark as Exhibit 185.
14	(Exhibit No. 185 was marked for
15	identification.)
16	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
17	Q Exhibit 185 is a set of tweets from
18	your account. I'm looking at one dated
19	November 25th, 2016. This tweet says: "Ripple
20	is a fucking scam, and only an idiot could
21	believe it will do anything other than raise
22	money for the scammers who made it."

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 230
1 .		Do you see that?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Did that tweet accurately reflect
4	your views	about XRP and Ripple?
5	А	Probably around that time, yes.
6	Q	When did you first form the belief
7	that Ripple	was a scam?
8	А	I would say it was when I studied it
9	for that pa	per, "Can cryptocurrencies fulfil the
10	functions o	f money?"
11	Q	And have you ever changed your mind
12	as to that	belief?
13	А	No.
14	Q	You state here that "only an idiot
15	would buy X	RP," right?
16	А	I do write that there, yeah.
17	Q	Is that still your opinion today?
18	А	No. I'd say that since then, I
19	I've come t	o accept that that's probably not a
20	fair assess	ment.
21	Q	Why have you come to the conclusion
22	that that's	probably not a fair assessment?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 231

When I analyzed this in 2016, I was 1 Α looking at them from the lens of somebody who's 2 3 looking at them as digital currencies, and in my 4 mind, they were all trying to be decentralized digital currencies like Bitcoin. And so in my 5 6 mind, if you were to invest in something like 7 Ripple, then you'd need to ask yourself about the decentralization first. And for me, if you 8 9 didn't grasp that Bitcoin was decentralized, 10 whereas Ripple was not, then clearly you didn't 11 get what was going on. 12 Where I've changed my mind on this is that, now later on, I've come to realize that 13 14 many people who invested in Ripple didn't do so based on the idea that this was going to be a 15 16 better Bitcoin or a faster Bitcoin or a better 17 way of doing decentralized currency, but they did 18 so based on the premise that this is -- this is -- they thought of it as if it is this new 19 20 Uber, this new way that's going to revolutionize 21 banking the same way that Uber revolutionized 22 taxis in the sense of a startup investment.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 232 And so I can see how thinking of it 1 from that perspective might cloud you from 2 3 considering the aspect of decentralization, 4 whereas from that context, having Ripple Labs in charge seems like a plus, just like you want to 5 6 have Uber's admins -- the Uber leadership in 7 charge of the company, you want to have this labs entity in charge of the protocol. 8 9 And what -- I think you just 10 attributed that view to people who purchased XRP; is that right? 11 12 Sorry, your question is not clear. 13 What exactly do you mean? 14 Q Well, you just as subscribed -- well, let's get it this way. Withdrawn. 15 16 In your last answer you said, "I've 17 come to realize that many people who invested in 18 Ripple didn't do so based on the idea that this was going to be a better Bitcoin or a faster 19 20 Bitcoin ..." When you say "invested in Ripple," do 21 22 you mean bought XRP?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 233
1	A Yes.
2	Q What did you do to figure out that
3	that was the viewpoint of people who bought XRP?
4	A Just came across more and more people
5	who who thought of it as an investment in a
6	startup. I think this is this is the thing
7	that I was unaware of as an idea back then.
8	Q You wrote in paragraph 70 of your
9	report I'll give you a minute to turn there.
10	Let me know when you're there.
11	A Yes.
12	Q You wrote in paragraph 70 of your
13	report: "Investors are unlikely to want to store
14	wealth in a digital asset whose value can be
15	controlled by the creators controlling a
16	significant part of the supply."
17	Are you now saying that actually
18	investors wanted to store wealth in a digital
19	asset because the creators had an active role?
20	A So within the context of your last
21	question on this statement, I would say that my
22	assessment of Ripple investors as idiots has

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 softened because I can see the rationale for it
- 2 as if you're investing in it as a payment
- 3 technology, like you're investing in a startup
- 4 that does payments technology.
- 5 But that is distinct from investing
- 6 in XRP as a store of value. And I think this is
- 7 the thing where I predict they are likely -- the
- 8 network is likely to face the serious headwind,
- 9 which is, all right, people might want to buy it
- 10 initially as the kind of token that will go up in
- 11 value because of its use in payments, but that's
- 12 distinct from wanting to hold it as money, as
- 13 people hold gold or Bitcoin.
- 14 Q So your view is that people might
- 15 want to buy XRP because it could be used in
- 16 payments, but might not want to hold it long term
- 17 because it wouldn't function as money or gold or
- 18 Bitcoin. Is that a fair summary?
- MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection.
- 20 Misstates prior testimony.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I think it's -- I mean,
- 22 I think those are likely to coexist as

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 235 motivations, but I do not see the demand for XRP 1 as a neutral monetary asset. 2 3 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: You haven't done any formal surveys 4 Q to figure out the views of XRP buyers, right? 5 6 А No. You haven't done any informational 7 0 interviews with them, right? 8 9 Α No. 10 0 Your belief on what XRP holders thought about XRP just comes from hearing some of 11 12 what they said on the internet; is that right? 13 Α No, it also comes from understanding 14 monetary assets and how monetary assets rise as And historically, we have two kinds of 15 monies. 16 currencies: Market commodities or government 17 currencies. 18 You just said that your view on XRP holders has softened over time. When did it 19 20 soften? 21 I can't point to a particular date, but I would say my initial reaction which you see 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 236
1	in that tweet was was something that I held
2	more strongly back then.
3	Q Well, that tweet is 2016. That was
4	still your view in 2017, right?
5	A Probably, yes. Yeah.
6	Q And 2018?
7	A I'd say so.
8	Q And in 2019?
9	A Yeah.
10	Q It was also still your view in 2020,
11	wasn't it?
12	A I'm a little less certain here. I
13	don't exactly remember what my views were. I
14	didn't publish anything in 2020 about Ripple, so
15	I can't remember exactly what I thought back
16	then.
17	Q When you say you didn't publish
18	anything in 2020 about Ripple, are you talking
19	about academic articles or Twitter or something
20	else?
21	A Academic articles or books.
22	Q The only academic article you've ever

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 237
1 ,	published ak	oout Ripple was the one we looked at
2	in Exhibit 1	.83, right?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	I'm going to mark this exhibit as
5	186.	
6		(Exhibit No. 186 was marked for
7		identification.)
8	BY MR. OPPEN	THEIMER:
9	Q	Exhibit 186 is another tweet from
10	your account	dated August 24th, 2017. It says:
11	"Anyone who	takes Ripple seriously is not worth
12	taking serio	ously."
13		Do you see that?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And did that view soften at some
16	later date?	
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	But sitting here today, you can't say
19	exactly wher	i; is that right?
20	А	No.
21	Q	No, it's not right or, no, you can't
22	say?	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 238
1	A I cannot really say. I just think
2	I've got more empathy to somebody who would have
3	invested in Ripple today.
4	Q When you say "invested in Ripple," do
5	you mean invested in XRP?
6	A Yes. It's hard for me to tell the
7	two apart. I keep getting them mixed up.
8	Q Are you familiar with the term
9	"Bitcoin maximalist"?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What does the term mean to you?
12	A To me it means somebody who believes
13	that the the only digital asset that matters
14	is Bitcoin.
15	Q Are you a Bitcoin maximalist?
16	A I would say so, yes.
17	Q Okay. Isn't it true that you want
18	XRP to fail?
19	A I'm I'm more concerned about
20	Bitcoin's success. I think with XRP and with
21	digital with other digital currencies, I'm
22	mostly indifferent at this point. I don't

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 239
except
in a
coffer
ng much
XRP; is
solution
rect?
any
from

- 1 particularly pay much attention to them, except
- 2 when asked to do so in a professional -- in a
- 3 professional context like now.
- 4 Q So before you were engaged to offer
- 5 an opinion in this case, you weren't paying much
- 6 attention to other cryptocurrencies like XRP; is
- 7 that right?
- 8 A Probably, yes.
- 9 Q Now, you view Bitcoin as the solution
- 10 to problems created by fiat currency, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And in your view, the use of any
- 13 non-Bitcoin digital asset diverts people from
- 14 that solution, right?
- 15 A Well, I -- I can see both points in
- 16 this regard. I've -- I've argued this at a
- 17 certain point that digital -- other digital
- 18 currencies detract from Bitcoin because they
- 19 distract from Bitcoin. But I -- to be fair, I
- 20 think there is -- the opposite effect is also
- 21 true.
- I know many people who got into

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 Bitcoin because they first heard about some of
- 2 the other digital currencies. And the
- 3 difference, of course, is that other digital
- 4 currencies have marketing teams which do a much
- 5 better job of marketing their currencies than
- 6 Bitcoin because Bitcoin doesn't have a marketing
- 7 team. It doesn't have a central authority. It
- 8 doesn't have anybody who can print Bitcoins to
- 9 finance a marketing campaign.
- 10 So a lot of people end up catching
- 11 the marketing for the other currencies, which
- 12 gets them familiar with the concept of digital
- 13 currency, and then gets them to start looking
- 14 into Bitcoin more. So overall, I wouldn't be so
- 15 sure if I would say that other digital currencies
- 16 are net positive or net negative on Bitcoin.
- 17 Q Well, you previously have called them
- 18 negatives, haven't you?
- 19 A Yes. As I said earlier, I used to
- 20 lean to the idea that they are negative, but I
- 21 think now I'm more open-minded to the concept
- 22 that maybe they are positive.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 241
1	Q Roughly when would you say you became
2	more open-minded on that issue?
3	A Difficult to tell, but I might guess
4	sometime maybe 2019, 2020.
5	Q Okay. Let me show you an exhibit
6	we're going to mark as Exhibit 187.
7	(Exhibit No. 187 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
10	Q Exhibit 187 is another set of tweets
11	from your account. If you look at the fourth
12	tweet down on the page, this is dated
13	September 10th, 2021.
14	And the second sentence here says:
15	"When you promote stupid shitcoin Ponzi schemes,
16	you're diverting people away from the solution.
17	The sooner you get in on Bitcoin, the sooner the
18	nightmare ends. Everything else is like farting
19	in the wind."
20	Do you see that?
21	A Yeah.
22	Q Did that accurately state your views

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 242
1	as of September of 2021?
2	A Yeah, I guess.
3	Q Would you say it accurately reflects
4	your views as of today?
5	A I mean I in this particular
6	context, I'm saying that he's if he's
7	promoting other currencies. So the question of
8	actually actively promoting other currencies,
9	yeah, I mean I would lean towards if you're
10	being out there actively promoting the other
11	currencies. Again, though, promoting the other
12	currencies does bring attention to Bitcoin. So
13	I'm I don't feel strongly either way. I'm
14	willing to see the points in both.
15	Q By the way, we've looked at a few of
16	your tweets so far. I've got a question about
17	the profile picture that you have. It's hard to
18	see on that one. So I'll mark this as
19	Exhibit 188.
20	(Exhibit No. 188 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 243 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 1 So the front page of Exhibit 188 is 2 3 your profile on Twitter. The back page is a 4 zoomed-in copy of the picture --5 Α Yes. 6 -- and your photo. Q 7 It looks like you have glowing laser eyes. Can you explain what that indicates? 8 9 Back in 2021, it became a meme Yes. 10 among Bitcoiners that they have laser eyes, and they started putting laser eyes on their profile 11 pics. Somebody made me this picture, and I --12 13 they took my profile picture, they added the 14 laser eyes, and then I uploaded it. 15 And there's this third -- is it an 16 eye? 17 Α Yes. 18 There's a third eye in your picture. What's that indicating to you? 19 20 Α Well, the person who did it did it as 21 I presume he -- the third eye is 22 referred to as the eye of wisdom, foresight, I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 244 quess, in a lot of ancient traditions, and so he 1 2 gave me an extra eye. 3 So this profile picture indicates that you're a -- a wise Bitcoiner. Is that fair 4 5 to say? 6 I presume that was the intent of the 7 graphic design job there. You said that this meme version was 8 0 9 2021. It's December of 2023 now. Have you --10 why have you kept it as your profile picture for 11 that time? 12 A lot of people have kept it. 13 just became -- it became a part of Bitcoin 14 internet culture, I guess. 15 Okay. Now, I think we saw in 16 Exhibit 187 you used the term "shitcoin." When 17 you use that term, you mean anything other than 18 gold or Bitcoin, right? 19 Α Pretty much, yes. 20 Q So in your view XRP is a shitcoin, 21 correct? 22 I would say so, yes. Α

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 245
1	Q And so is the U.S. dollar, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q The Euro?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Ethereum?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Government bonds?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Diamonds?
10	A Diamonds, I would class as shitcoins.
11	I should clarify here that for things like
12	diamonds, it's not the good itself that is
13	necessarily the shitcoin. It's its use as a
14	store of value. So the idea that I'm going to
15	buy a diamond ring because that's going to
16	maintain purchasing powers into the future, I
17	think of that particular use of diamond as being
18	a shitcoin.
19	Q Okay. So diamonds aren't a shitcoin
20	if they're used in industrial drills.
21	A Yes, or if you just buy them because
22	they look pretty.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 246
1	Q Okay. You view cryptocurrencies as a
2	zero-sum game, right?
3	A Mmm. Well, that depends on the
4	context. In certain ways, yes. In certain ways,
5	no. I think again, this is one of those
6	things where I would have been a little bit more
7	leaning towards this conclusion a little bit
8	earlier, but with time I think I've become a
9	little bit more open-minded to the alternative
10	which I mentioned, which is that more
11	cryptocurrency activity in general leads to more
12	eyes and attention to Bitcoin.
13	Q Let's get another exhibit marked.
14	We'll mark it as 189.
15	(Exhibit No. 189 was marked for
16	identification.)
17	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
18	Q Exhibit 189 is another set of tweets
19	from your account.
20	We'll look at the first one here. In
21	this one you write: "Cryptocurrencies are a
22	zero-sum game, and if you're not Bitcoin, you've

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 247
1	already lost	. Copying Bitcoin is not innovation;
2	it's just a	new way of running an old scam, money
3	printing. A	ctual innovations will be built on
4	Bitcoin, not	by people copying it to print their
5	own money."	
6		Do you see that?
7	A	Mm-hmm.
8	Q	And then
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	in the next tweet below that, you
11	write: "The	only way to support innovation is by
12	ignoring shi	tcoins and focusing on Bitcoin."
13	Right?	
14	A	Mm-hmm. Yes.
15	Q	That was your view at the time you
16	wrote this t	weet, right?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Is it your testimony that you've
19	softened thi	s view since?
20	A	Well, I would say in terms of
21	innovations,	the I'd make one exception to
22	stable coins	, Tether in particular. That was one
I		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 248	
1	thing that I have changed my mind upon.	
2	Q In what way have you changed your	
3	mind about Tether?	
4	A So I used to think there are no	
5	innovations anywhere outside of Bitcoin, and then	
6	I think Tether is the first example of an	
7	innovation in the space that is worthwhile that	
8	is outside of Bitcoin.	
9	Q Other than changing that view of	
10	Tether, do you still stand by the opinions you	
11	expressed in Exhibit 189?	
12	A Which ones exactly? There's a lot	
13	there's two tweets with several sentences. Which	
14	one are you referring	
15	Q We'll start with the very beginning.	
16	"Cryptocurrencies are a zero-sum game, and if	
17	you're not Bitcoin, you've already lost."	
18	Is that still your view today?	
19	A No, I don't think they are a zero-sum	
20	game anymore.	
21	Q And when did you change that view?	
22	A I'm thinking around 2020, 2021,	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 249 something like that. And I -- this is -- this 1 isn't like a major milestone where one day I woke 2. 3 up and decided, all right, this is it. 4 But I would say that in 2020 was when I got introduced to several people who got into 5 6 Bitcoin through other digital currencies, and I think that's helped me come to a more open-minded 7 acceptance of the fact that these other digital 8 9 currencies are doing Bitcoin a favor in a sense. 10 Q It is still today your hope that non-Bitcoin digital assets will be destroyed, 11 12 right? 13 Α I don't particularly care. 14 I'm going to show you another exhibit 15 we'll mark as 190. 16 (Exhibit No. 190 was marked for 17 identification.) 18 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: This is a tweet from your account 19 0 20 from May 27th, 2022. The first one in the chain, 21 you write: "There is nothing wrong with being happy to see shitcoins being -- getting 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 destroyed. All shitcoins are inevitably going to
- 2 zero. And the bigger they get, the more people
- 3 will eventually be hurt when they do. The sooner
- 4 they die, the better."
- Is it your testimony that when you
- 6 wrote "the sooner they die, the better," that
- 7 wasn't particularly caring either way whether
- 8 other cryptocurrencies get destroyed?
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 Q You do think that it would be a good
- 11 thing if XRP were destroyed soon, don't you?
- 12 A Mmm. I mean, I guess it depends on
- 13 the context. So I presume this was -- the
- 14 context here was people saying -- yeah, so now I
- 15 remember this. This is May 2022. This was the
- 16 context of the failure of LUNA, which is a --
- 17 which is a coin that was -- it was a pretty high
- 18 profile coin in early 2022. A lot of people made
- 19 a lot of money on it very quickly. Everybody was
- 20 saying this is the most innovative, this is the
- 21 future, and then it fell apart.
- 22 And the context here is that a lot of

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

- 1 Bitcoiners were cheering on its fall and a lot of
- 2 people were saying, No, you can't cheer it on.
- 3 That's not nice. We're all in this together.
- 4 So within that context, if a coin
- 5 like that falls, I don't think it makes sense to
- 6 be haranguing the people that are happy that it
- 7 fell. I think the blame lies with the people who
- 8 sold this catastrophe and ruined the lives of the
- 9 investors in it.
- 10 And so with -- with things like these
- in that context, I don't think there's anything
- 12 wrong with being happy to see them go. But I
- 13 don't particularly care enough -- if you look at
- 14 most of my work, whether it's my books or my
- 15 podcasts, I'm not out there -- going out and
- 16 telling people we must kill the shitcoins, we
- 17 must stop killing the shitcoins.
- 18 In fact, in recent debates in the
- 19 Bitcoin circle about implementing some changes to
- 20 Bitcoin, one of my main disagreements with that
- 21 proposal called Drivechain is the fact that the
- 22 people marketing it are saying that this is

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 252
1	what's going to kill the shitcoins.
2	And for me this is absolutely a red
3	flag because you would not want to make any
4	changes to Bitcoin in order to kill the
5	shitcoins, because Bitcoin matters far too much
6	to be tweaking it to care about shitcoins.
7	Q Your view is that it would be a good
8	thing for shitcoins to get destroyed, right?
9	A Yeah, I wouldn't be crying over them.
10	Q And you include XRP in the set of
11	shitcoins, right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q You've stated that you would like to
14	see all non-Bitcoin digital assets die a gruesome
15	death, haven't you?
16	A I probably have, yes.
17	Q And in fact, you stated that just a
18	few months ago; isn't that right?
19	A I don't recall that.
20	Q All right. Let's mark Exhibit 191.
21	(Exhibit No. 191 was marked for
22	identification.)

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 253
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
3	Q Exhibit 191 has a tweet from you on
4	June 13th, 2013 or I'm sorry, 2023.
5	A Yeah.
6	Q In that tweet you write: "If this
7	pathetic concerned trolling and straw manning is
8	representative of intelligent shitcoiners, then
9	I'm increasingly optimistic about the entire
10	shitcoin industrial complex dying the beautiful
11	gruesome death it deserves sooner than anyone
12	expected." Right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Now, isn't it true that you've
15	publicly accused Ripple of offering unregistered
16	securities?
17	A I do not recall. It was not in any
18	of my academic papers, and it was not in this
19	report.
20	Q Right. But you did do it on Twitter,
21	right?
22	A I don't recall. I've been on Twitter

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

_	
	Page 254
1 ,	for a long time. I've tweeted a lot of tweets.
2	Q I'm aware. Let's go to what we'll
3	mark as Exhibit 192.
4	(Exhibit No. 192 was marked for
5	identification.)
6	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
7	Q Exhibit 192 is a Twitter thread from
8	your account. The date is June 4th, 2022.
9	There is a news article first that
10	says "Inside the Environmentalist's Campaign to
11	Change Bitcoin's Code," and then you have a
12	comment on that in your tweet.
13	Do you see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And what you say is: "Inside XRP's
16	campaign to hire washed-up green prostitutes to
17	cry harder in the hope of shifting crypto
18	regulators' focus from illegal security offerings
19	to green hysteria."
20	Do you see that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What do you mean by "XRP's campaign"?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 255 Well, it's -- as I said earlier, I 1 Α always get XRP and Ripple mixed up because I 2 3 vaguely remember they were basically the same 4 thing when they first came out. It used to be 5 that people used to use Ripple to refer to the 6 token as well. And so I'm -- I'm liable to using it -- using the two terms to refer to each other. 7 So what you meant there was inside 8 Q 9 Ripple's campaign. Is that your testimony? 10 Α Probably, yes. Yeah. What did you mean by washed-up green 11 0 12 prostitutes? 13 Α Greenpeace. So in your view, Ripple was hiring 14 Greenpeace to try to shift regulators' focus; is 15 16 that right? 17 Α I mean it's a tweet. I don't have 18 compelling evidence. Nobody is -- I'm not really presenting this as a court case. But it's my 19 20 impression of what was going on at that point is 21 that, yeah, Greenpeace made money to try and 22 focus on Bitcoin.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 256
1 .	Q And you did believe that at the time
2	you wrote it, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q It's fair to say you're not open to
5	changing your views on XRP, right?
6	A Oh, no, I am open.
7	Q Do you believe it's possible that the
8	XRP Ledger could have a feature that is more
9	important than the principal features you
10	attribute to Bitcoin?
11	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: Objection. Form.
12	THE WITNESS: I mean, that's a
13	difficult question to answer. Is it possible?
14	Like if it has a feature like that, it doesn't.
15	So now is it possible? Well, I mean it depends on
16	what you consider to be within the realm of
17	possibility.
18	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
19	Q Do you think another cryptocurrency
20	could possibly add a feature that's more
21	important than what you view as the the core
22	features of Bitcoin?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Page 25	57
1 A No.	
2 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Why don't we go off	
3 the record here for a bit.	
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the	
5 record at 3:48 p.m.	
6 (Recess.)	
7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the	
8 beginning of media number 6. We're on the record	
9 at 3:59 p.m.	
10 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:	
11 Q We've looked at a decent number of	
12 tweets from your account so far.	
Do you also use Instagram?	
14 A Yes.	
15 Q And what is your Instagram user name?	
16 A @Saifedean.	
17 Q Approximately how much time per week,	
18 let's say on average, do you spend using or	
19 creating content to post on social media sites	
20 like Twitter and Instagram?	
21 A Mmm. Well, I mean, the content	
22 creation part of it is not a very significant	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 258 amount of time. I tweet when I get on -- when I 1 have something to say, I tweet. So it doesn't 2 3 take me a lot of time to tweet. I do spend a lot 4 of time on Twitter because I consume Twitter, so I spend several hours every day reading Twitter, 5 6 but I wouldn't say creating content. 7 Okay. Dr. Ammous, do you believe 0 that most peer-reviewed economic publications and 8 9 academic journals are a form of government 10 propaganda? A very significant portion of them, I 11 Α 12 would say yes. 13 0 Let's look at what we'll mark as Exhibit 193. 14 15 (Exhibit No. 193 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 18 Exhibit 193 is a copy of your 0 Principles of Economics textbook that was 19 20 published this year, correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 I worry that my copy doesn't have Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 259
1	page numbers, which might make this difficult,
2	but oh, I see them. Okay.
3	I'll direct your attention to
4	page 325.
5	In the second paragraph on page 325,
6	you write, starting at the beginning of the
7	paragraph: "The conveyor belt of mainstream
8	economists in the highly rewarded, unreadable
9	papers published over the past few decades
10	contains an inordinate amount of government
11	propaganda masquerading as economic analysis."
12	Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Does that accurately describe your
15	views about academic economists' publications?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Let's mark another exhibit. This
18	will be 194.
19	(Exhibit No. 194 was marked for
20	identification.)
21	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
22	Q Exhibit 194 is a copy of your book

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 260
1	The Fiat Standard. What year was this book
2	published?
3	A 2021.
4	Q I'll direct you to page 155 in this
5	one.
6	A Okay.
7	Q On page 155, the first full
8	paragraph, you write: "All along the content of
9	the journals has continued to deteriorate to the
10	point where it is predominantly unreadable
11	academic masturbation with no link to the real
12	world, which nonetheless adheres to the correct
13	political, grammatical, and methodological
14	guidelines needed to keep up the pretense that
15	actual scholarship is taking place."
16	Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Does that accurately reflect your
19	views today?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And then later in that same
22	paragraph, towards the very end: "Academic

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 261
1	publication has been reduced to a circle jerk
2	which only has consequences for the academic
3	careers of those in the circle."
4	Does that also accurately represent
5	your views today?
6	A Yes.
7	Q You can put that aside for now.
8	It's your view that universities are
9	government propaganda machines, correct?
10	A Well, not exclusively.
11	Q "Over the course of the last several
12	decades, universities have been transformed into
13	propaganda machines bent on the indoctrination of
14	young people."
15	Does that accurately reflect your
16	view of universities?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Now, both of these last two
19	books we looked at in Exhibits 193 and 194, The
20	Fiat Standard and Principles of Economics were
21	published by the Saif House, right?
22	A Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 262
1	Q Did you ever submit either of these
2	books to an independent publisher for review or
3	publication?
4	A No.
5	Q Your first book, The Bitcoin
6	Standard, was published by Wiley, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you ever submit your second book
9	to Wiley for review?
10	A I didn't submit it, but they had
11	offered me to publish it before I had finished
12	it.
13	Q Why didn't you choose to submit that
14	book to Wiley for publication?
15	A Because I've I decided that I
16	wanted to self-publish for a variety of business
17	and intellectual reasons.
18	Q Do you think Wiley would have
19	demanded changes to some of the content if you
20	had asked them to publish it?
21	A I don't think so. I mean, obviously
22	they would have. It's an editor, that's their

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 263

- 1 job is to make changes and suggest changes. But
- 2 I don't think they would have been -- I think it
- 3 would have been stylistic, linguistic. There may
- 4 have been suggestions about things to introduce.
- 5 But I don't think they would have
- 6 been -- I don't think it would have been a
- 7 problem, because my first book, The Bitcoin
- 8 Standard, I got highly constructive feedback from
- 9 my editor. We didn't get into any conflict about
- 10 you should remove this, we can't publish that,
- 11 you have to get rid of this.
- 12 So I wouldn't expect to -- I did not
- 13 expect to have been -- to have run into these
- 14 kind of problems if I had published with Wiley.
- 15 Q And so why did you choose not to go
- 16 with Wiley?
- 17 A It was primarily about owning my own
- 18 intellectual property rights, and just I think
- 19 the -- the business decision here is that I have
- 20 a very -- I have a good readership, people who
- 21 like my book, who are going to buy my book
- 22 regardless of where I publish it from. And I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

			Page	264
1	thought it wo	ould make more sense for me to		
2	capitalize or	n this by integrating doing		
3	vertical inte	egration of the supply chain from	the	
4	writing of th	ne book to the printing, to the		
5	distribution	and fulfillment.		
6	Q	Do you know who Nassim Taleb is?		
7	А	Yes.		
8	Q	Who is he?		
9	А	He's a Lebanese author.		
10	Q	He wrote the original forward for	r	
11	your first bo	ook The Bitcoin Standard, right?		
12	А	Yes.		
13	Q	Do you know if Wiley, your publis	sher,	
14	made publicat	tion of The Bitcoin Standard		
15	conditional o	on Nassim Taleb writing the forwar	rd?	
16	А	No. I don't think it was		
17	conditional,	but it was Nassim Taleb who gave		
18	them my book			
19	Q	Do you know if Wiley requested the	nat	
20	he write the	forward to your book before		
21	publication?			
22	А	They didn't request it formally,	but	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 265
1	I believe they expected it, they thought it would
2	happen.
3	Q And Mr. Taleb has subsequently
4	withdrawn his forward, correct?
5	A No. He did not withdraw it. I
6	removed it.
7	Q You removed it. And that was after
8	he called you "dangerously crankish and
9	conspiratorial," right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Have you ever spoken to Mr. Taleb
12	about those comments?
13	A No, I haven't spoken to him since.
14	Q And do you monitor the reception or
15	reviews of the books that you've published?
16	A To some extent. Obviously I can't
17	monitor it completely. There are thousands and
18	thousands of reviews online.
19	Q So let's start with this one. We'll
20	mark it as Exhibit 195.
21	(Exhibit No. 195 was marked for
22	identification.)

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 266 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 1 Exhibit 195 is a review of The Fiat 2. 3 Standard by Joakim Book published on the AIER. believe that's American Institute for Economic 4 Research website. 5 6 Are you familiar with this review? 7 I've seen it before. Yes. Α 8 0 If you look at page 4 of this review, 9 to give you an example, in the first full 10 paragraph, he writes: "The book reads like a 350-page undersourced rallying tweet full of 11 insults, name calling, and hyperbolic language. 12 13 While entertaining, it's tiresome -- and worth 14 very little. Saif's aggressive tone and 15 outlandish claims undercut his argument and 16 bewilder the reader. He rarely provides 17 references for his claims." 18 And then the next paragraph: "Anyone who endures the full length of the book deserves 19 20 a medal." 21 Have you ever published any response 22 to this review?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 267
1	A No.
2	Q Have you ever had any conversations
3	with this reviewer about it?
4	A I not after it was published.
5	I've spoken to him once before well, I've had
6	an interaction with him on Twitter maybe a
7	couple of interactions on Twitter, and I also met
8	him in person once.
9	Q Did you discuss The Fiat Standard
10	with him?
11	A No.
12	Q This review points out some factual
13	errors that the reviewer claims are in The Fiat
14	Standard. Have you done any work to verify
15	whether those statements that he points out in
16	here are errors in The Fiat Standard?
17	A I remember going through this review
18	rather quickly, and I remember I said to myself
19	that I was going to verify each one of these
20	before I published the second edition of the
21	book.
22	Q And have you done so at this point?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 268
1	A Not yet, no.
2	Q So for example, if you look at page 9
3	of Exhibit 195, after the heading halfway down
4	the page, he writes: "The book is also teaming
5	with errors, and not just about the academic
6	disciplines Saif sets out to denounce, but simple
7	ones that are too numerous to"
8	A I'm sorry, which page is that? You
9	said 9?
10	Q Page 6.
11	A Six. Okay.
12	Q I'm sorry, I think I may have said
13	page 9, but it's page 6.
14	A Okay.
15	Q Halfway down the page under the
16	heading: "The book is also teeming with errors
17	and not just about the academic disciplines
18	Saif sets out to denounce, but simple ones that
19	are too numerous to be random. The Bretton Woods
20	conference is dated 1946, not 1944, and the
21	Glass-Steagall Act to 1934, whereas the act with
22	that name passed in 1932."

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 269
1	Have you verified whether those facts
2	were errors in the book that you published?
3	A No, I have not.
4	Q What work did you do before
5	publishing The Fiat Standard to fact-check it?
6	A I read it, reread it. Hired several
7	editors to go over it and help me with it.
8	I will now that you mention it, I
9	remember that for my third book, Principles of
10	Economics, I hired an editor, a mathematics
11	editor, as I called her, specifically to just go
12	through the book and go through all the numbers
13	that were listed in the book and double-check
14	them, double-check the math, double-check the
15	sources, double-check that everything was
16	correct.
17	Q Okay. I will show you a document now
18	that we'll mark as Exhibit 196. This will be a
19	copy of your book The Bitcoin Standard.
20	(Exhibit No. 196 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 270
1	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
2	Q	When was The Bitcoin Standard
3	published?	
4	А	2018.
5	Q	And you recognize this as a copy of
6	that book?	
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Now, in your report, Exhibit 174, in
9	paragraph 5,	you wrote that this book, The
10	Bitcoin Stan	dard, quote: Is widely hailed as the
11	most importa	nt book on the economics of digital
12	currencies.	
13		Did you read reviews of The Bitcoin
14	Standard bef	ore you wrote that sentence?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Are you aware that academic reviews
17	of The Bitco	oin Standard have been critical of it?
18	А	I don't recall a critical academic
19	review.	
20	Q	Let me mark for you Exhibit 197,
21	which is a r	eview of The Bitcoin Standard written
22	by a profess	or named or a PhD economist named

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 271
1	David Gerard.
2	(Exhibit No. 197 was marked for
3	identification.)
4	THE WITNESS: I'm pretty sure David
5	Gerard is not a PhD economist.
6	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
7	Q Well, I'm ready to discuss that with
8	you. Let's mark Exhibit 198.
9	(Exhibit No. 198 was marked for
10	identification.)
11	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
12	Q Exhibit 198 is a copy of David
13	Gerard's profile from Lawrence University. He's
14	listed as the John R. Kimberly Distinguished
15	Professor in the American Economic System and
16	professor of economics.
17	Do you see that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you believe that this is a
20	different David Gerard?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Which David Gerard do you believe

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 272

- 1 wrote the review that we're discussing in
- 2 Exhibit 197?
- 3 A He is -- he wrote a book -- I think
- 4 he wrote this book called Attack of the 55 Foot
- 5 Blockchain.
- 6 He looks different -- I knew him on
- 7 Twitter. He's a lot more -- he's got a lot more
- 8 body weight than this David Gerard; that's a lot
- 9 less hair. This guy has hair. The guy who wrote
- 10 this article doesn't have hair.
- 11 Q And do you know what the David Gerard
- 12 you know from Twitter, do you know if he has any
- 13 university affiliations?
- 14 A I don't know.
- 15 Q Okay. The David Gerard that you know
- on Twitter, you sent him a copy of your book for
- 17 review before it was published, right?
- 18 A I think so. I'm not sure. It might
- 19 have been after publication. I think so.
- 20 Q Well, I'll show you a Twitter thread
- 21 that may refresh your recollection on this. This
- 22 is going to be Exhibit 199.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 273
1		(Exhibit No. 199 was marked for
2		identification.)
3	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
4	Q	If you look down, the third tweet in
5	this chain -	_
6	A	Mm-hmm.
7	Q	is from David Gerard, April 6th,
8	2018. Do yo	u see that?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Is that the David Gerard we've been
11	discussing?	
12	А	Yes.
13	0	And what he writes there is: "Sure,
		iew copy." And you respond: "Sent.
15	Check your D	
	-	
16		Yep.
17	Q	Does that refresh your recollection
18	that you sen	t him a review copy?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And do you know at the time you did
21	that whether	this book had been published?
22	A	This was April 6th, 2018, so this was

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 274 around the exact same time that the book came 1 The book's official publication date was 2 out. 3 April 24, I believe, and it came out a few 4 days -- a few weeks earlier. But this is before the official 5 6 publication date, right? 7 Α Yeah. Okay. A little farther down on 8 0 9 Exhibit 199, there's also a Frances Cassandra 10 Coppola who says: "Could you send me a review copy too, please?" And you say: "Done." 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Did you also send a review copy to 15 Ms. Coppola? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q After you sent the review copy to 18 Mr. Gerard, did you read it after he published 19 it? 20 Α Did I read what? 21 Did you read his review after he 0 published it? 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 275
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And have you ever published any
3	response to	his review?
4	А	No.
5	Q	Let me show you what we'll mark as
6	Exhibit 1	believe we're on 200 200.
7		(Exhibit No. 200 was marked for
8		identification.)
9	BY MR. OPPEN	HEIMER:
10	Q	Exhibit 200 is a review of The
11	Bitcoin Star	ndard written by Frances Coppola on
12	April 11th,	2018.
13		Have you read this before?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	It's fair to say that Ms. Coppola's
16	review of Th	ne Bitcoin Standard is a critical one,
17	right?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And it's also fair to say that
20	Mr. Gerard's	s review in Exhibit 197 is also
21	critical, ri	ght?
22	А	Yes.
22	A	Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 276
1	Q And you're aware that there have been
2	other critical reviews as well, correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Dr. Ammous, you believe that
5	mainstream economists are part of a fiat cartel;
6	isn't that right?
7	A Yes, I've used the term.
8	Q What do you mean by "fiat cartel"?
9	A Well, a cartel in economics is a term
10	that refers to a conglomerate or a grouping of
11	producers who maintain a monopoly on a particular
12	good and prevent competitors from getting into
13	that good. And I think this is an accurate way
14	of describing the global financial system that
15	rests around a monopoly of money.
16	Q You believe that fiat currencies
17	around the world are controlled by a small cabal
18	of politicians and bankers, right?
19	A I think it's the controls these
20	currencies are controlled by the governments and
21	the central banks.
22	Q And specifically, by a small cabal of

21

22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 277 politicians and bankers, right? 1 I mean I think that's charged 2. 3 terminology. It might -- I may have used it as a 4 kind of rhetorical flourish, but ultimately, yeah, it is a cabal and that it is -- it's a 5 cartel, I mean in that they restrict the entry 6 7 into the market. 8 0 And you don't dispute that you have 9 in fact used that exact term "small cabal of politicians and bankers" before, correct? 10 I don't have exact recollection, but 11 Α 12 I probably have used it, yes. 13 0 And you also think that universities 14 are part of the same fiat conspiracy, right? 15 I wouldn't call it a conspiracy, 16 because conspiracy is something that is hidden. 17 This is out in the open: Universities receive 18 their funding from governments. So universities are part of the same 19 20 fiat cartel; is that right?

What, if anything, did you do to

Yes.

Α

Q

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 278
1	determine tl	nat this fiat cartel exists?
2	A	Well, I wrote a whole book on this.
3	Q	You're referring to The Fiat
4	Standard?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And that book relies on your Austrian
7	economics ba	ackground, right?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And that's what led you to your
10	conclusions	about the existence of the fiat
11	cartel?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	You also think that the fiat cartel
14	is responsil	ole for advocating green energy,
15	right?	
16	A	Well, I wouldn't say responsible for
17	it entirely	, because other parties, other people
18	are part of	this, but it does support it, yes.
19	Q	So the fiat cartel supports
20	advocating q	green energy.
21	A	It supports green energy financially.
22	Q	And in fact, you believe they use it

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 279
ries,
ever.

t
l way

- 1 as a way to destroy grids, impoverish countries,
- 2 and get them ensnared into debt slavery forever.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A I -- I've been growing into that
- 5 conviction recently that it's -- it's a good way
- 6 to keep countries in debt.
- 7 Q And that's your belief as you sit
- 8 here today, right?
- 9 A I mean, I think there's -- there's an
- 10 element of truth to it, definitely.
- 11 O You also believe that concerns about
- 12 climate change are lies that are being pedaled by
- 13 scammers, right?
- 14 A I'm not sure if I've used this exact
- 15 terminology, but generally, yes.
- 16 Q Well, let me show you the tweet where
- 17 you used that exact terminology. This is going
- 18 to be Exhibit 201.
- 19 (Exhibit No. 201 was marked for
- identification.)
- 21 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
- 22 Q This is a tweet from your account

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

_	
	Page 280
1 .	dated March 24th, 2023, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And what you write here is: "If you
4	consume any magazine, TV channel, newspaper or
5	university that tells you we are in a, guote,
6	"climate crisis," quote, "you are willingly
7	choosing to be scammed by corrupt liars who
8	profit from your fear. Have fun staying scared."
9	Right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Let's also mark Exhibit 202.
12	(Exhibit No. 202 was marked for
13	identification.)
14	BY MR. OPPENHEIMER:
15	Q Exhibit 202 is a Twitter postdated
16	June 19th, 2023. Here you write: "Wind and
17	solar electricity scams are a very efficient
18	efficient and effective way for the World Bank
19	and the fiat cartel criminals to destroy grids,
20	impoverish countries, and get them ensnared into
21	debt slavery forever." Right?
22	A Yes.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 281
1	Q	And that's still your view as of
2	today, right	t?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	You haven't softened on that view?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Let's well, you're aware that some
7	people have	raised environmental concerns about
8	Bitcoin due	to the amount of energy that its
9	proof of wo	rk protocol requires, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And it's fair to say that your view
12	is anyone ra	aising such concerns is just a
13	scammer?	
14	A	No.
15	Q	Fair to say your view is that climate
16	change does	n't pose any real threat?
17	A	No.
18	Q	All right. Let's mark Exhibit 203.
19		(Exhibit No. 203 was marked for
20		identification.)
21	BY MR. OPPE	NHEIMER:
22	Q	Exhibit 203 is a Twitter thread.
1		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 282 1 It's got a post from your account on September 25th, 2023, 2:33 a.m. It's the second 2 3 one down on the page. 4 Do you see that? Mm-hmm. 5 Α Yes. 6 And what you write in the first 7 sentence is: "There is no threat from climate 8 change." Right? 9 Α Yes. Is it fair to say that your view is 10 Q that climate change doesn't pose any real threat? 11 12 Well, I would say -- I think I 13 misphrased it here, because I think the climate 14 is always obviously a threat. So, you know, when you're tweeting you just tweet off the cuff. 15 16 But, no, realistically I think the climate is a 17 threat. It can get too cold, people die. 18 can -- you can get a snowstorm, people die. 19 So climate and climate changing is, 20 of course, a threat, but I don't think it is a 21 threat in the exaggerated sense in which our emissions -- anthropogenic emissions are going to 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 283 create irreversible catastrophic impact on earth. 1 Would you also say that you 2. misphrased it when you wrote: "It's all a stupid 3 4 scam with absolutely no basis in fact"? The idea that our emissions are going 5 Α 6 to cause catastrophe and disaster for human beings is the part that I would call. 7 So your view is that the idea that 8 0 9 human emissions are going to cause catastrophe 10 and disaster for human beings, that's a stupid scam with absolutely no basis in fact? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 0 Okay. Now, you also believe that 14 public health responses to the COVID-19 pandemic 15 were also driven by the fiat cartel, right? 16 Α I probably said something along those 17 lines. I don't remember the exact phrasing. 18 That is an accurate statement of your 0 belief, isn't it? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 You've called the World Health Organization criminals, right? 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 284
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And you've called for them to be put
3	to death, h	aven't you?
4	А	I don't recall that.
5	Q	Let's introduce Exhibit 204.
6		(Exhibit No. 204 was marked for
7		identification.)
8	BY MR. OPPE	NHEIMER:
9	Q	Exhibit 204 is a thread of tweets
10	from your a	ccount dated October 11th, 2020. And
11	if you look	at the last full one on the first
12	page of Exh	ibit 204, it's 11:55 a.m.
13		Do you see that one?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	What you wrote there is: "Unless we
16	get a pande	mic crimes tribunal where these
17	criminals h	ang, then it's clear that this
18	criminal or	ganization exists above the law able
19	to enforce	anything on anyone in the world,
20	protected f	rom facing any consequences for its
21	actions."	
22		With that reference to a tribunal

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 285
1	where these criminals hang, were you calling for
2	the hanging of World Health Organization members?
3	A No.
4	Q Were you saying that if they did not
5	receive the death penalty, then that would mean
6	that they don't face any consequences for their
7	actions?
8	A I'm sorry, what was the question?
9	Q Were you saying in that tweet that if
10	members of the World Health Organization didn't
11	receive the death penalty, then that would mean
12	they weren't facing any consequences for their
13	actions?
14	A No, they could face consequences
15	other than the death penalty.
16	Q Okay. You also believe that the fiat
17	cartel has corrupted the food that we eat, right?
18	A The what?
19	Q The food that we eat.
20	A Yes.
21	Q And you've said Bitcoin fixes this,
22	right?

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 28	б
1	A Yes.	
2	Q How would Bitcoin fix the food people	
3	eat?	
4	A Well, this is an extensive discussion	
5	in my book The Fiat Standard. I'm not sure I'm	
6	going to be able to give it a quick summary here,	
7	but I'll just the basic idea is that with	
8	inflationary money, the value the price of	
9	food is constantly going up, which is an	
10	enormously big political problem for governments	
11	and central banks because people watch their	
12	money decrease in value, they watch their food go	
13	up in price, and that makes them unhappy, and	
14	they don't want to vote for the people in power.	
15	So the way around that as I explain	
16	in The Fiat Standard, I think in the 20th century	
17	across the world governments have done this is to	
18	promote cheaper foods as alternatives to the	
19	foods that people are used to. And so if you	
20	substitute away from the expensive foods that you	
21	can no longer afford by convincing yourself that	
22	you're better off eating the cheap industrial	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 287

- 1 waste that you can afford, well, then inflation
- 2 isn't much of a problem if you just convince
- 3 yourself that the new food is as good as the old
- 4 food.
- 5 And so I think governments have
- 6 sought to replace the kind of food that people
- 7 eat in order to understate the effect of
- 8 inflation.
- 9 Q You said that that's discussed in The
- 10 Fiat Standard. Is that also an application of
- 11 Austrian School economic theory?
- 12 A I mean, I think, yes, it's -- it's --
- 13 it is an Austrian analysis.
- 14 Q Is it true that you've stated
- 15 previously that the Impossible Burger causes
- 16 infertility?
- 17 A I don't remember. Yeah, but it
- 18 sounds like something I probably did say. I
- 19 probably cited a study too that mentioned it.
- 20 MR. OPPENHEIMER: Let's take a quick
- 21 break.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 288
1 .	record at 4	:36 p.m.
2		(Recess.)
3		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
4	record at 4	:49 p.m.
5	BY MR. OPPE	NHEIMER:
6	Q	We discussed earlier the reviews of
7	The Bitcoin	Standard by David Gerard and Frances
8	Coppola. Yo	ou also had an exchange with them on
9	Twitter abou	at their reactions to your book,
10	right?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Let's mark this as Exhibit 205.
13		(Exhibit No. 205 was marked for
14		identification.)
15	BY MR. OPPE	NHEIMER:
16	Q	Is Exhibit 205 one of the Twitter
17	exchanges th	nat you had with these two
18	individuals	?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And after they offer some critical
21	feedback, wh	nat you write in your tweet, third
22	from the bot	ttom on the first page, this is
		1 3 .
1		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 289 April 7th, 2018, 6:14 a.m., is: "Sorry to break 1 it to you, but all the garbage you learned in 2. 3 university are not schools like the Austrians are schooled. The Austrian School of economics is 4 sound economics. The brain damage you repeat is 5 state propaganda manufactured by a child rapist." 6 7 Do you see that? 8 Α Yes. 9 Is that still your view today that 0 10 the economics learned in university is garbage, state propaganda manufactured by a child rapist? 11 12 Well, some of it. Α Mainstream economics at universities. 13 0 14 correct? 15 Α In particular, things related to 16 macroeconomics. 17 0 And the field of macroeconomics 18 includes topics like money supply, right? 19 Α Amongst them, yeah. 20 Q Okay. I'm going to show you another 21 Twitter thread. We'll mark this as Exhibit 206. 22 (Exhibit No. 206 was marked for

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 290 identification.) 1 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 2 3 Exhibit 206 is a Twitter thread. The date on the top tweet from you is November 3rd, 4 2023. 5 6 And this is commenting on a news 7 article about the U.S. House of Representatives voting to give Israel funding with regard to the 8 9 Gaza conflict. Your response is: "Bitcoin fixes 10 11 this." 12 You see that? 13 Α Yes. 14 0 Is that an accurate statement of your 15 view that Bitcoin fixes the Arab-Israeli conflict 16 that's been going on for more than 75 years? 17 Α No. It fixes -- in this particular instance, I'm referring to fixes the fact that 18 the U.S. government can finance the conflict so 19 20 easily, because it has a monetary printer that 21 allows it to just give 14.6 billion -- \$14.3 billion without having to have any American 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 291 sacrifice a dime, at least not directly. 1 There would be indirect sacrifices, 2. 0 3 right? 4 Α Yeah. This money creation results in price rises. So eventually it does devalue the 5 wealth that people have, but it's still possible 6 7 to do it in the short term without people feeling it. 8 9 Is there a difference in your view 0 10 between printing money and borrowing money? 11 There are differences, yes. Α 12 When the U.S. voted to send funding 13 to Israel here, was that an exercise in printing 14 money or borrowing money? Well, in this case, it's -- it 15 16 involves both, because it's money given away by 17 the Treasury, and so the Treasury finances its 18 operation by selling bonds. But these bonds are usually purchased by -- or a large amount of 19 20 these bonds are purchased by the Federal Reserve. 21 And your view that Bitcoin fixes 0 22 this, that's based on the principles of Austrian

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

Page 292 School of economics, right? 1 Well, I'd say this is -- yeah, it's 2. 3 not really economic theory per se. It's just 4 understanding how financing government functions. 5 Is it your view that Israel used 6 Jeffrey Epstein to blackmail world leaders into 7 supporting it so that it could commit genocide? I think there's substantive --8 Α 9 substantial evidence to suggest there is an 10 element of blackmail involved in the Jeffrey Epstein operations. 11 12 I'll show you a -- a document that 13 we're going to mark as Exhibit 207. 14 (Exhibit No. 207 was marked for 15 identification.) 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 BY MR. OPPENHEIMER: 18 Exhibit 207 is a Twitter thread. You've got a post here on October 15th, 2023, at 19 20 11:07 a.m. 21 And what you write here is: "If you care about Israelis, why don't you call on Israel 22

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 29	3
1	to stop using pedophile pimps like Epstein to	
2	blackmail world leaders into supporting Israel so	
3	it keeps creating crimes, creating monsters	
4	desperate enough for revenge to be beyond all	
5	logical reproach."	
6	Do you see that?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q What investigation have you done to	
9	figure out that Israel is using pedophile pimps	
10	to blackmail world leaders?	
11	A I cite a book called One Nation Under	
12	Blackmail by Whitney Webb.	
13	Q Any other sources?	
14	A No.	
15	Q Okay. One last thing, if you	
16	turn back to your report, Exhibit 174, at	
17	paragraph 15.	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q This says: "I'm being compensated at	
20	a rate of \$500 per hour for my work on this	
21	case."	
22	Do you see that?	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page 294
1	A Y	es.
2	Q I	s that 500 U.S. dollars per hour?
3	A Y	es.
4	Q W	hy did you agree to get paid in
5	dollars instea	d of Bitcoin?
6	A B	ecause most people in today's world
7	still use the	dollar. And I I use the dollar
8	in my most	of my dealings with people.
9	M	R. OPPENHEIMER: All right. No
10	further questi	ons at this time.
11	M	R. ELKHUNOVICH: No questions here.
12	M	R. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. Thank you,
13	Dr. Ammous.	
14	T	HE WITNESS: Great.
15	T	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
16	videotaped pro	ceeding of Saifedean Ammous. We're
17	off the record	at 4:57 p.m.
18	(A discussion was held off the
19	record.)	
20	M	R. OPPENHEIMER: We discussed off the
21	record, I thin	k Ripple is going to designate this
22	transcript "Co	nfidential."

Case 4:18-cv-06753-PJH Document 341-2 Filed 01/23/24 Page 296 of 341

12/13/2023

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Daga 20E
1	Page 295 Are the plaintiffs designating as well
2	or just Ripple?
3	MR. ELKHUNOVICH: We have no objection
4	to the above designation.
5	MR. OPPENHEIMER: Okay. Great. We
6	can go off the record.
7	(Whereupon, the deposition of
8	SAIFEDEAN AMMOUS, PhD was concluded
9	at 4:58 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 296
1	CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
2	The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter
	does hereby certify:
3	That the foregoing proceeding was taken before
	me remotely via Zoom videoconferencing at the time
4	therein set forth, at which time the witness was
5	duly sworn; That the testimony of the witness and
	all objections made at the time of the examination
6	were recorded stenographically by me and were
	thereafter transcribed, said transcript being a
7	true and correct copy of my shorthand notes
8	thereof; That the dismantling of the original
9	transcript will void the reporter's certificate.
10	In witness thereof, I have subscribed my name
11	this date: December 14, 2023.
12	
13	
14	
15	Lestio A Toda
16	LESLIE A. TODD
17	
18	(The foregoing certification of
19	this transcript does not apply to any
20	reproduction of the same by any means,
21	unless under the direct control and/or
22	supervision of the certifying reporter.)

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 297
1	Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D., c/o
,	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
2	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
	Los Angeles, California 90067-6029
3	
	Case: In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation
4	Date of deposition: December 13, 2023
	Deponent: Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.
5	
6	Please be advised that the transcript in the above
	referenced matter is now complete and ready for signature.
7	The deponent may come to this office to sign the transcript,
8	a copy may be purchased for the witness to review and sign,
9	or the deponent and/or counsel may waive the option of
10	signing. Please advise us of the option selected.
11	Please forward the errata sheet and the original signed
12	signature page to counsel noticing the deposition, noting the
13	applicable time period allowed for such by the governing
14	Rules of Procedure. If you have any questions, please do
15	not hesitate to call our office at (202)-232-0646.
16	
17	
18	Sincerely,
19	Digital Evidence Group
20	Copyright 2023 Digital Evidence Group
21	Copying is forbidden, including electronically, absent
22	express written consent.

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

	Page 298		
1	Digital Evidence Group, L.L.C.		
	1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812		
2	Washington, D.C. 20036		
	(202) 232-0646		
3			
4	SIGNATURE PAGE		
	Case: In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation		
5	Witness Name: Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.		
	Deposition Date: December 13, 2023		
6			
	I do hereby acknowledge that I have read		
7	and examined the foregoing pages		
	of the transcript of my deposition and that:		
8			
9	(Check appropriate box):		
	() The same is a true, correct and		
10	complete transcription of the answers given by		
	me to the questions therein recorded.		
11	() Except for the changes noted in the		
	attached Errata Sheet, the same is a true,		
12	correct and complete transcription of the		
13	answers given by me to the questions therein		
14	recorded.		
15			
16			
17	DATE WITNESS SIGNATURE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	DATE NOTARY		

Case 4:18-cv-06753-PJH Document 341-2 Filed 01/23/24 Page 300 of 341

12/13/2023

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

		Page	299
1	Digital Evidence Group, LLC		
2	1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812		
3	Washington, D.C. 20036		
4	(202)232-0646		
5			
6	ERRATA SHEET		
7			
8	Case: In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation		
9	Witness Name: Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.		
10	Deposition Date: December 13, 2023		
11	Page No. Line No. Change		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	Signature Dat	ce	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 1
A	access 80:15	act 218:13	adheres 260:12	287:1
a.m 1:16 8:14	account 23:17	268:21,21	adjust 223:5	aggregate
24:22 53:16	23:17,20 24:1	acting 141:21	administering	131:12
59:14,18 88:1	24:5,9,10,16	action 14:16,18	2:17	aggressive
88:4 118:13,17	24:17 62:18	35:21 36:2	admins 232:6	266:14
282:2 284:12	183:21 184:20	111:18 119:20	adopt 190:10,16	aggressively
289:1 292:20	184:22 187:5	actions 1:9 90:11	194:11	127:18
Aaron 105:22	187:11,19	92:9 99:4	adopted 138:2	ago 70:15 252:18
ability 42:1	188:7,10	105:10,17	adoption 136:5	agree 26:15 30:2
173:15 221:3,8	191:13 228:4	106:21 107:12	136:11,21	34:4 113:7,13
able 86:22 98:21	229:18 237:10	112:16 113:8	137:7,10,11	132:13 137:20
104:10,18	241:11 246:19	113:21 119:19	138:6,12,17,22	138:1,13,16
108:12 114:16	249:19 254:8	119:21 124:13	adoptions	153:2 181:1
118:5 123:16	257:12 279:22	124:20 125:1	137:13	294:4
125:16 142:5	282:1 284:10	146:10 148:1	advance 165:15	agreement 34:5
146:7 166:7	accountant	149:2 163:2,14	advanced 20:2	51:7,10
168:6 175:10	71:15	169:3 170:12	advice 72:12,15	ahead 123:11
187:19 195:3	accounts 24:7,13	171:9,19	72:18,21	158:6 195:2
200:4 215:21	accredited 53:6	172:10,12,13	advise 64:8	208:21
217:21 221:16	53:20 72:8	217:4,11	297:10	AIER 266:3
284:18 286:6	accuracy 149:21	218:12,17,22	advised 297:6	alienate 139:18
absent 297:21	accurate 10:5	228:21 284:21	advisor 60:3,10	aligned 126:5
absolutely 252:2	18:2,8,15,22	285:7,13	60:15 61:9,12	Allen 10:13 13:5
283:4,11	23:8 29:10	active 139:7	66:20	79:18 105:22
abstract 152:6	42:22 48:4,8	163:22 185:11	advisory 66:3,5	allocated 153:9
academia 34:13	75:14 96:3	233:19	advocating	allocation
academic 22:9	111:9,11	actively 242:8,10	278:14,20	122:18 153:5
33:4,8 73:11	157:20 158:1	activities 51:22	affect 94:10	221:1
80:2,6,11	158:12,16	activity 139:6	98:12,15,17	allow 174:20
155:11 176:11	181:17 276:13	246:11	99:18 110:17	175:1
236:19,21,22	283:18 290:14	actor 170:14	111:3 112:16	allowed 38:14
253:18 258:9	accurately 13:7	actual 247:3	124:6 125:1,16	297:13
259:15 260:11	230:3 241:22	260:15	125:21 163:2	allows 21:18
260:22 261:2	242:3 259:14	add 134:8	163:12 168:22	22:4 24:9
268:5,17	260:18 261:4	220:22 256:20	169:4 217:9,14	141:20 185:22
270:16,18	261:15	added 243:13	217:17 218:8	192:3 290:21
Academy 50:15	accused 253:15	adding 202:2,3	219:17,21	alpha 114:6,9,10
50:18 51:11	achieve 174:4,5	addition 109:17	226:7	114:14 115:10
52:20 53:2,5	achieving 136:5	143:13	affiliate 49:22	117:13,16,19
54:22 55:6,12	136:20 137:7	additional 145:2	affiliated 41:17	167:7 168:2
accept 33:10	138:12,17,22	202:16 223:14	affiliates 49:19	alter 145:18
230:19	acknowledge	address 73:19,21	50:4,8,19	alternative 6:6
acceptance	127:14 298:6	74:2 213:10,18	affiliation 41:3,7	21:4 89:17
249:8	acquired 63:1	addresses 212:8	affiliations	90:2 246:9
accepted 86:13	acrimony 221:19	212:11,22	272:13	alternatives
	222:10 223:2	adds 202:16	afford 286:21	286:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

altogether 97:1 98:11 answer	14:10 210:21 236:19,21
182:17 183:16	,
amendments 105:1 106:19 52:6 9	
	8 107:19 35:4 116:6 117:13
America 58:7 117:16 119:18 108:7	
	7,13,18 228:15 82:20 116:14
	5 116:13 appropriate 261:7
46:22 266:4 125:10 126:12 118:5	** *
	5 222:13 175:4,13 51:17 68:10
	5 256:13 176:18,21 108:15 116:2
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ing 116:1 298:9 167:18,20
Ammous 1:13 141:10,19,22 176:16	
2:1 4:2,7,11,16	**
4:18 5:3,9,20	**
6:3,4,11,14 7:3 157:20 158:12 anthrop	
8:5 9:8,13,15 158:16 168:5,7 282:22	0
22:7 43:22 172:1,6 183:8 anti-sli g	J. F. F. 1
54:21 56:8 183:13,20 189:4	17:5 47:21 111:13 115:1
57:21 59:20 184:20 211:12 anybod	
115:2 117:2,10 215:17 221:11 72:22	·
118:19 159:13 225:10 228:3 95:2 1	,
160:11 178:22 259:11 287:13 223:1	-
206:1 210:10 analyst 71:21 anymor	<u> </u>
228:14 258:7 analyze 96:7 248:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
276:4 294:13 107:18 149:19 apart 23	
294:16 295:8 163:9 172:4 250:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
297:1,4 298:5 analyzed 107:15 apologi	
	20 66:14 Arab-Israeli 230:20,22
amount 34:5 149:18 231:1 66:15	290:15 233:22
78:7 137:11 analyzing 21:19 appeara	
178:7,8,11 112:5,6 149:15 8:18	areas 19:19 61:4 62:10
	ix 78:10 argue 153:5 63:10,16 71:5
211:11 225:17 and/or 296:21 apple 96	
	13,14,14 arguing 105:13 136:11 140:11
259:10 281:8 Angeles 1:14 2:6 applical	
	297:13 155:22 156:11 162:13,22
amounts 184:16 87:4,12,16 applicate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	287:10 arithmetic 187:12,14,15
analysis 30:18 Anne 2:14 applicate	
31:18 35:9 annotations 14:17	arrive 199:18 217:18 224:4
36:1 81:20 10:14 applied	
89:4,9 90:7 announce 104:4	
91:21 93:12,16 164:19 applies	
94:3,12,15,21 announced apply 75	
	296:19 articles 19:1 14:11,12,14,19
96:1,16,20 Annual 39:16 appreci	, , ,
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 3
71:9 74:3	278:6 287:11	87:9 88:3	135:4 154:10	benefitted 85:9
122:19 154:5	287:13 289:4	114:12 115:11	283:4,11	bent 261:13
157:6 235:14	291:22	117:14 118:6	Basket 63:6	Berg 3:13 9:7
235:14 249:11	Austrians 35:9	120:22 125:14	Bates 4:21 131:3	Berkshire 218:4
252:14	289:3	128:6 145:19	131:8	218:6,12 219:2
assignment 13:8	authentic 111:14	157:17 159:10	bear 76:7	219:3,13,14
228:15	author 47:5	160:8 182:12	beautiful 253:10	best 18:2 70:17
assist 82:15,21	264:9	184:16 186:3	began 103:3,8	81:1 84:4
assistant 45:10	authorities	204:22 233:7	103:14	better 23:9 47:4
45:21 46:4,8	176:12	236:2,15 243:3	beginning 4:21	60:13 146:2
associate 46:6,10	authority 143:8	243:9 288:3	8:3 18:5 59:17	158:10 169:21
associated 34:1	221:16 240:7	293:16	118:16 159:10	170:1 231:16
34:2 35:13	authorizing 88:6	backed 44:10	210:7 248:15	231:16 232:19
40:13 144:5	available 56:21	background	257:8 259:6	240:5 250:4,6
146:16 206:19	57:3 201:22	104:17 278:7	begins 91:21	286:22
associates 146:7	209:16 218:5	backup 81:9	behalf 3:3,11	bewilder 266:16
146:13	avatars 135:6	balance 186:20	8:22	beyond 15:21
assume 146:19	Avenue 3:5	bank 191:12	behavior 112:7	74:21 98:13
assumption	297:2	207:11 280:18	128:12 220:22	107:9 147:6
105:7 106:12	avenues 14:18	bankers 276:18	221:2	293:4
assumptions	average 257:18	277:1,10	beings 283:7,10	big 28:5 120:15
82:12 105:4	aviation 73:13	banking 231:21	Beirut 19:9	286:10
attached 4:6 5:2	73:22	bankrupt 67:7	belief 111:18	bigger 194:2,3
6:2 7:2 37:1	avoid 108:12	68:15	125:20 134:17	250:2
298:11	170:21 171:8	bankruptcy 67:9	230:6,12	billed 16:2,5,6,6
Attack 272:4	171:18 172:2	banks 276:21	235:10 279:7	48:14
attempt 95:13	aware 51:9,12	286:11	283:19	billion 153:8
attention 59:3	62:9 65:12	banner 149:4	believe 12:19	173:11,11
124:9 159:14	86:21 87:6	bark 222:7	13:22 25:5	174:7,19 175:8
177:15 179:6	100:17 135:13	base 192:11	27:19 29:21	210:15,16,17
239:1,6 242:12	135:17 162:20	193:12 211:16	35:9 55:15	216:21 218:5,7
246:12 259:3	188:18 208:18	based 13:21	72:2 111:11	219:9,10
attribute 256:10	254:2 270:16	34:20 35:4	125:9,13 142:4	290:21,22
attributed	276:1 281:6	90:2 98:10,14	150:10 152:9	billions 208:18
232:10	axioms 36:1	106:12,13,17	154:1 214:1	biofuels 21:16
August 5:6 11:7		142:17 148:2	226:10 229:21	73:19
11:11,16 26:3	B	154:16,20	256:1,7 258:7	bit 38:2 148:14
27:1 50:13	B 4:5 5:1 6:1 7:1	191:12 231:15	265:1 266:4	149:9 151:5
203:17 205:17	77:8 78:4 79:2	231:18 232:18	271:19,22	159:15 227:18
237:10	80:22	291:22	274:3 275:6	246:6,7,9
Austrian 6:15	B-1 88:11	bases 11:20	276:4,16	257:3
30:8,19 32:19	Bachelor 19:7	basic 35:19 44:8	278:22 279:11	Bitcoin 6:12,14
32:22 33:3,17	bachelor's 37:7	100:3,5 286:7	283:13 285:16	6:16,20 14:8
33:20,22 34:11	back 17:20 19:3	basically 255:3	believed 124:19	25:10 26:6,13
34:19 35:3,14	27:17 30:13	basing 226:4	believes 238:12	61:19 64:8
35:22 154:16	37:1 65:20	basis 12:1 76:5	belt 259:7	65:3 66:20
			l	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 4
68:16,19,21,21	Bitcoiner 244:4	48:1,7 75:21	158:2 161:16	
69:2,6,8,11,14	Bitcoiners	76:3 77:2	161:22 163:14	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{I}}$
70:3 71:1,8	243:10 251:1	154:21 192:4	168:3 177:11	C 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1
70.3 71.1,8	Bitcoins 166:6	192:10,11	broadly 92:8,19	7:1 8:1
107:6 124:2,6	211:22 240:8	236:21 251:14	brought 10:9	c/o 297:1
137:3,8,13,13	Bitconnect	261:19 262:2	brush 84:21	cabal 276:17,22
137.3,8,13,13	227:2	265:15	bucks 70:9	277:5,9
143:9 145:9,9	black 33:16	boppenheimer	191:14,14	calculate 140:2
145.9 145.9,9	blackmail 292:6	3:18	195:1,4,5	193:13 194:16
147:13 165:22	292:10 293:2	border 206:20	bug 221:4	194:20 195:7
166:2,8 192:4	293:10,12	borrowing	buildings 19:20	calculated
192:6 193:4,5	blame 251:7	291:10,14	built 105:4	196:14
193:6,9 199:22	block 60:20	bottom 19:6	206:18 247:3	calculates 114:6
200:1,4,13,16		24:21 29:1	bulk 62:8	calculating
200:1,4,13,16	66:13,15 166:4 166:5	53:15 168:17	bullet 160:18	190:11 192:11
,				192:16 194:12
201:6 211:16	Blockcap 66:16	169:9 172:21 174:10,20	161:4	calculations
211:20 221:17 221:18 231:5,9	66:18 67:5,11 68:11,11	174:10,20 175:5 176:19	bunch 155:7 burden 163:18	101:4
· ·	blockchain	204:14 288:22		California 1:2
231:16,16			Burger 287:15	1:14 2:9,15,16
232:19,20	74:18 204:16 205:5 272:5	bought 69:21	business 9:19	3:6 8:8,12
234:13,18		70:3 135:15	44:5,6,8 58:5	86:19 87:1
238:9,14,15	blue 23:19	172:19 184:16	199:21 262:16 263:19	297:2
239:9,18,19	body 272:8	232:22 233:3	businesses 71:9	call 30:6 31:14
240:1,6,6,14	Bojilov 39:7	box 298:9		277:15 283:7
240:16 241:17	bonds 245:7	Brad 117:3	buy 70:6 186:12	292:22 297:15
242:12 244:13	291:18,18,20	Bradley 3:12 9:4	218:7,14 219:4	called 18:12,18
244:18 246:12	book 5:20 6:4,8	brain 289:5	219:15 230:15	22:8,16 36:17
246:22 247:1,4	6:11 48:10	branches 186:21	234:9,15	36:20 39:14
247:12 248:5,8	78:15,19	break 59:8,11	245:15,21	44:1 60:21
248:17 249:6,9	127:18 211:16	159:4 287:21	263:21	88:11 227:6
251:19,20	259:22 260:1	289:1	buyback 128:1	240:17 251:21
252:4,5 255:22	262:5,8,14	break-even 101:11	buybacks 162:12	265:8 269:11
256:10,22	263:7,21,21	- ·	182:17 183:1,5	272:4 283:21
262:5 263:7	264:4,11,18,20	Bretton 268:19	183:10,16,21	284:2 293:11
264:11,14	266:3,10,19	brief 60:20	184:20	calling 266:12
269:19 270:2	267:21 268:4	67:20 185:19	buyers 132:21	285:1
270:10,13,17	268:16 269:2,9	briefly 32:20	133:5,11	campaign 240:9
270:21 275:11	269:12,13,19	185:18	134:16 135:1	254:10,16,22
275:16 281:8	270:6,9,11	bring 76:6 202:9	135:10,14,19	255:9
285:21 286:2	272:3,4,16	242:12	235:5	cap 179:10
288:7 290:10	273:21 274:1	broad 84:21	buying 70:14	204:10
290:15 291:21	278:2,6 286:5	96:6 149:4	113:2 139:19	capable 94:12
294:5	288:9 293:11	186:16	162:2,7 192:10	210:14
Bitcoin's 74:20	book's 274:2	broader 92:14	200:16,17	Capitalism 5:5
204:15 205:4	books 18:22	94:16,22 95:19	219:5	37:19 38:22
238:20 254:11	47:16,17,19	98:9,12,15,16	buys 186:5	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 5
39:21 40:14,15	203:6,10	276:21 286:11	changed 25:3	178:20 179:2
41:4,18 42:5	208:17 213:22	centric 150:11	199:3,6 230:11	179:10,13,21
capitalize 264:2	217:12 219:8	cents 195:6	231:12 248:1,2	180:3,5,19
care 191:20	222:8 224:20	century 26:9	changes 95:10	181:3,4,5
249:13 251:13	226:11 228:15	286:16	96:18 97:3	210:16 211:6,9
252:6 292:22	229:3 239:5	CEO 58:14	99:14,14	215:5,7,9
career 12:2	255:19 291:15	127:22	123:22,22	circulation
78:20	293:21 297:3	certain 22:5	124:5 212:15	136:15 178:5,8
careers 261:3	298:4 299:8	25:15 44:16	221:8,18,20	211:22
caring 250:7	cases 149:21	49:10 64:20,21	222:11,12,20	citation 84:15
carried 163:18	175:16	123:7 144:6	251:19 252:4	100:7
163:21	cash 218:5	149:21 236:12	262:19 263:1,1	cite 78:14 84:9
carries 186:22	219:11	239:17 246:4,4	298:11	100:1,4 106:12
carrying 191:6	Cassandra 274:9	certainly 216:4	changing 248:9	114:2 121:2
193:2	catastrophe	228:22	256:5 282:19	126:19 130:12
cartel 276:5,8,9	251:8 283:6,9	certificate 296:1	channel 280:4	134:10 176:11
277:6,20 278:1	catastrophic	296:9,16	charge 176:3,10	209:12 293:11
278:11,13,19	283:1	certificates 58:1	232:5,7,8	cited 12:6 84:18
280:19 283:15	catch 139:9	certification	charged 277:2	85:6 106:16
285:17	catching 240:10	72:5 296:18	charges 193:11	111:16 128:3
case 1:5 6:16 8:9	categories	certifications	chart 180:2	131:4 150:15
11:18 12:9,20	108:19	72:2,7,9	chartered 71:20	287:19
13:6,11 15:11	causal 99:3	certified 2:15	cheap 200:2	citing 106:9
15:14,18,21	111:21	3:3 9:1 71:17	201:19 286:22	124:14
17:15,18 21:15	causality 98:18	296:1,2	cheaper 286:18	citizen 86:1
26:19 27:15	164:13	certify 296:2	check 221:20	city 87:7,16
38:7 41:11,12	cause 120:19	certifying	273:15 298:9	civil 43:19
42:17 48:14	122:3,17	296:22	checking 149:20	Civilization 6:7
51:19 54:16	123:22 140:19	chain 249:20	checkmark	claim 160:17
59:5 63:16	160:1 283:6,9	264:3 273:5	23:19 24:14	claiming 21:6
66:7 67:12	caused 96:18	change 97:8,15	cheer 251:2	76:6 220:7,9
72:3 76:2,7	97:3 105:10	98:2 99:17	cheering 251:1	claims 107:16
77:14 80:1,20	122:7,11 123:4	103:13,20	child 289:6,11	117:22 155:19
82:2,12 83:4	causes 95:10	105:11 112:17	Choi 3:22 8:15	266:15,17
86:14,15 89:1	120:9 287:15	120:20 142:21	choice 151:4	267:13
104:2 108:20	causing 170:17	142:22,22	choose 262:13	clarification
129:12 132:2	226:3	143:3,9 145:13	263:15	47:20
133:9,15 143:7	center 5:4 37:19	166:1,3,8,10	choosing 165:11	clarified 30:1
145:5 155:20	38:6,8,22 39:6	170:18 179:1	280:7	clarify 245:11
156:7,20 157:1	39:21 40:14,15	180:3,6,9,10	chose 90:22	Clark 83:4,19
157:10,14	41:3,8,12,15	214:2 221:17	142:6	142:2,9,10
163:11,19,19	41:18,21 42:4	222:9 223:5	circle 251:19	143:15 144:11
165:6,22 166:7	43:10,16	248:21 254:11	261:1,3	150:5,9,15
166:9 174:8	center's 38:8,9	279:12 281:16	circulated	Clark's 83:15
194:7 197:3	central 143:8	282:8,11	217:21	142:15 144:3
198:11,13	221:16 240:7	299:11	circulating	149:8,11,17
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 6
class 3:3 9:1,3	42:5,10 43:6,9	66:14 68:15	conceivable	38:10
63:16 67:12	43:15	126:5 154:4	153:16 219:6	confidence 213:4
245:10	come 30:13	161:11,15,21	concept 32:2,14	confident 140:19
clean 35:1	65:20 78:18	162:2,10,14,19	35:13 118:7	196:9,19 197:2
clear 45:21				/
	98:2 173:21	163:1,6,11,15	240:12,21	Confidential
74:16 83:17	188:21 197:19	163:22 169:12	concerned 39:1	1:12 294:22
100:10 120:2	216:3 223:1	169:13 173:14	56:16 238:19	confidentiality
124:17 131:2	230:19,21	176:6 232:7	253:7	51:7,10
161:1 214:7	231:13 232:17	company's 163:1	concerning 80:2	confirm 145:7
223:6 232:12	249:7 297:7	compare 139:1	80:12	confirms 149:14
284:17	comes 201:10	145:9	concerns 20:17	conflating 202:7
clearer 89:11	202:6 235:11	compared 87:16	279:11 281:7	conflict 263:9
clearly 41:15	235:13	167:7 191:8	281:12	290:9,15,19
59:4 175:19	comfortable	192:1 202:11	concise 40:8	confounding
231:10	84:21	compares 51:19	conclude 127:5	120:19 121:19
clients 126:17	command 22:6	comparison	202:16	Confused 4:12
climate 31:6	comment 6:20	137:3	concluded	conglomerate
279:12 280:6	254:12	compelling	113:19 200:6	276:10
281:15 282:7	commenting	125:12 142:4	201:1 295:8	connection
282:11,13,16	290:6	155:21 163:12	concludes 92:13	38:21 78:22
282:19,19	comments	163:20 164:7	294:15	79:14 80:12
clip 55:15 57:16	102:20 265:12	226:8 255:18	conclusion 90:15	81:9 150:21
57:20 58:9,11	commercial 87:8	compensated	92:16 94:4	conscious 170:14
cloud 232:2	commercially	15:10 68:5	95:10,16 98:8	consciously
co-founder 45:1	197:7	293:19	98:11 100:2	170:21
code 142:21,21	commit 292:7	compensation	126:18 128:2	consensus
143:3,9 212:15	commitment	15:12 38:14,18	147:10 152:11	221:21
212:21 221:9	165:21	38:19 51:19,21	157:21 158:13	consent 297:22
222:20 254:11	commodities	competitors	162:17 173:5	consequences
coexist 234:22	235:16	276:12	211:13 215:13	261:2 284:20
coherent 143:7	commodity	compile 81:1	230:21 246:7	285:6,12,14
coin 179:9 226:6	162:18 176:9	complete 18:1,8	conclusions 12:9	consider 31:12
250:17,18	common 35:8	18:15,22 77:12	96:5 150:5	69:6 77:20
251:4	125:4,14	297:6 298:10	152:7 158:19	79:7 80:1,11
Coinbits 60:6	communication	298:12	207:9 278:10	94:11 139:19
65:1,2,5,12,15	109:11,12,16	completely 25:3	conclusive 177:1	163:17 164:7
65:21	126:17	30:19 224:2,5	condition 14:16	165:9,17
coins 139:17	communications	224:20 225:2	conditional	174:13 202:22
142:6 247:22	109:4,6 110:3	227:21 228:8	264:15,17	216:1 256:16
cold 282:17	171:13	265:17	conduct 9:18	considerations
collapses 225:18	companies 60:4	complex 78:6	75:4 126:12	164:1,9
colleague 9:7	60:10,15,18	253:10	conducted 81:20	considered
Columbia 5:4	154:7,7 167:1	complicated	101:11 131:12	33:18 77:9,13
25:7 29:4,17	company 44:1	185:18	conference	78:2,11,13
29:21 37:14,18	44:13 60:21	computer 56:20	39:16 268:20	79:14 81:2
38:21 41:18	61:5,9 63:10	150:1,14,20	conferences	83:1 129:8
	l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 7
100.12 100.21	0004004100 105.1	174.11.21	11:21 12:15	105.9 200.15
198:12 199:21	contention 125:1 222:10	174:11,21		195:8 200:15
200:1,5 201:4	· -	175:6 233:15	13:13,14,17,18	201:14,15,20
considering	contentious	controls 148:8	19:12 21:4,5	202:3,5,7,7,9
80:19 200:19	222:5,14	148:12,19	25:11 28:19,20	202:10,11,16
232:3	contesting	276:19	31:19 32:9	costs 100:20
consistency	158:18 159:1	conveniently	33:5,6 34:13	102:1 200:14
215:9	context 19:16,21	182:16 183:16	39:22 41:8	counsel 8:5,18
consists 71:8	20:1,16 25:12	convention 42:2	42:11 43:20	9:3 16:17 17:3
conspiracy	114:9 129:7	conversations	47:12 49:15	17:15,18 51:15
277:14,15,16	215:7 232:4	82:19 134:3	65:22 70:5	82:7,11,17,20
conspiratorial	233:20 239:3	267:2	71:15 75:2,22	107:21 109:17
265:9	242:6 246:4	convert 69:2	76:1,14 79:22	111:11 297:9
constantly 286:9	250:13,14,16	conveyor 259:7	87:4 100:8	297:12
constitute 62:2	250:22 251:4	conviction 279:5	102:16 106:21	count 133:17
164:17	251:11	convince 287:2	120:5,10	204:5
constrained	contexts 129:7,9	convincing	154:12 180:4	counted 183:4
162:4	contingent 15:13	125:2,12	184:20 213:22	counter 97:22,22
construct 95:13	continue 64:13	286:21	228:19 239:10	counterevidence
constructed 95:8	continued 260:9	cool 159:5	244:21 258:20	125:12
constructive	continues 91:22	copied 205:11	260:12 261:9	countries 19:22
263:8	139:5 216:20	copies 10:15	265:4 269:16	20:1 85:22
construed	continuing 65:9	copper 217:14	276:2 277:10	86:6 279:1,6
137:12	contract 141:18	Coppola 6:20	289:14 296:7	280:20
consult 61:18	141:21	274:10,15	298:9,12	country 85:19
79:1	contracts 188:18	275:11 288:8	correctly 112:5	85:22
consultant 60:3	contradictory	Coppola's	112:10	counts 17:9
60:9,14 62:15	96:9	275:15	correlated	couple 10:10
consulted 12:5	contrary 103:20	copy 10:18 11:2	224:11	16:20 67:20
66:14 209:15	105:15 170:20	12:14 89:12	correlating	135:3 205:14
consulting 61:22	223:3	205:8,13 243:4	169:11	267:7
consume 258:4	contributors	258:18,22	correlation	course 20:19
280:4	144:1,4	259:22 269:19	166:18,20	33:12 50:2
contact 24:8	control 24:18	270:5 271:12	174:9 224:3,6	52:15,17 78:19
contacted 82:7	94:7,9 140:16	272:16 273:14	224:10,21	118:7 166:22
82:10	142:3 149:5	273:18 274:11	225:3,12	226:9 240:3
contain 18:21	160:22 173:15	274:14,17	227:21 228:8	261:11 282:20
88:22 102:14	177:4,8,11	296:7 297:8	corrupt 280:7	courses 31:6,7,7
166:6	296:21	copying 247:1,4	corrupted	31:11 47:16,18
contained 12:3	controlled 136:7	297:21	285:17	47:19,22 48:2
contains 11:17	140:12 233:15	Copyright	Corruption	48:6 49:8
11:20 12:7,18	276:17,20	297:20	39:14	50:14 51:1,14
139:4 259:10	controlling	core 67:5,6,11	cost 186:21	52:19 54:11
content 108:3	136:8 140:12	126:5 256:21	190:11,12	55:7 58:6
257:19,21	168:3,15,20	Corporatism	192:11,16	court 1:1 8:8,16
258:6 260:8	169:6,19 173:3	39:14	193:13 194:12	8:19 41:12
262:19	173:6,18 174:3	correct 11:11,14	194:13,16	255:19
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 8
				l
courtroom 10:1	5:7 70:3 74:8	186:5 187:16	163:8,16 172:5	261:12
covered 27:12	74:18,22 79:5	187:20 189:5	181:8 184:21	December 1:15
COVID-19	80:5 124:1	189:20 190:13	208:21 226:5	8:13 119:8
283:14	139:14 179:12	190:21 191:1,7	date 8:12 27:4	244:9 296:11
crankish 265:8	203:18 205:9	191:8,9,15	41:14 65:7	297:4 298:5
crash 140:20	207:14 230:9	192:12 193:1	82:3 103:16	299:10
create 283:1	239:6 246:1,21	193:12,12,16	144:10 235:21	decent 257:11
created 119:1,2	248:16 250:8	193:20 194:1	237:16 254:8	decentralization
119:8 141:14	cryptocurrency	194:13 200:8	274:2,6 290:4	145:17 231:8
239:10	69:10,14 70:22	200:16,17	296:11 297:4	232:3
creates 193:18	92:8,14,19	201:9 202:3,16	298:5,17,22	decentralized
creating 194:3	93:1,4 94:16	202:18,20	299:10,22	145:14 200:11
202:3 257:19	94:22 95:20	203:8 204:9	dated 11:7 13:6	231:4,9,17
258:6 293:3,3	96:6 97:5 98:9	207:16 215:18	24:21 26:2,17	decide 24:4
creation 141:16	98:12,15,17	221:15 226:17	53:15 54:8	175:16
142:13 146:21	112:4,8 158:2	231:17 239:10	229:18 237:10	decided 47:3
186:4 201:22	160:21 172:13	240:13	241:12 268:20	218:6 219:16
257:22 291:4	177:12 188:8	currently 9:16	280:1 284:10	219:20 249:3
creators 136:8	223:16 224:12	11:13 210:15	dates 41:3 45:22	262:15
140:12 233:15	224:15 246:11	216:20	67:18 140:2	decidedly 139:5
233:19	256:19	cut 33:13 79:11	David 6:13,17	deciding 106:16
credentials	crytopcurrenc	198:5	271:1,4,12,20	decision 165:12
12:18	69:16	CV 12:14,17	271:22 272:8	263:19
credible 165:21	CSR 1:19 296:15	17:20 18:1	272:11,15	decisions 106:15
credibly 164:19	cuff 282:15	37:1 40:4,6,8	273:7,10 288:7	112:12 162:5
crimes 284:16	culture 244:14	41:2,11,16	Davidson 4:16	163:21 164:8
293:3	currencies 78:6	42:9 43:8	4:17 58:1,12 58:14	164:14
criminal 284:18	78:16 104:5,13	44:21 45:13		decisive 176:16
criminals 280:19	104:18 134:5	73:3 86:8	day 36:10 129:7	decline 122:3,8
283:22 284:17	137:15 142:20	204:2	142:20 151:7	122:12 226:3
285:1	145:15 186:19		164:20 165:1,1	declined 217:8
crisis 280:6	190:8 191:4	D 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	165:13 224:15	declining 217:16
critical 6:21	200:13 201:5,9	D-E-I-S-T 28:18	225:4,6 249:2	decrease 143:1
270:17,18	202:8 203:9	D.C 1:21 3:16	258:5	286:12
275:16,21 276:2 288:20	215:14 221:13 225:15 226:22	298:2 299:3	day-to-day 69:3	decreased 102:1 deductions
criticism 161:20	231:3,5 235:16	daily 131:13	days 70:13 274:4 deadlock 223:2	35:18
critique 112:2	235:17 238:21	162:7 165:12		deeper 97:21
-		damage 289:5	dealings 294:8	_
183:4,7 cross 64:4	239:18 240:2,4	dangerously	death 252:15 253:11 284:3	defendant 3:11 8:6 9:6
cross 64:4 cross- 206:19	240:5,11,15	265:8		defendants 87:6
crossing 217:13	242:7,8,11,12 249:6,9 270:12	data 35:11,11,11	285:5,11,15 debatable 34:10	defendants'
cry 254:17	276:16,20	60:5 64:6,6,16	debates 251:18	13:17
crying 252:9	currency 44:10	81:6,9,17,19	debt 6:5 279:2,6	define 14:13
crypto 254:17	69:3 102:6	106:17 131:13	280:21	99:11 128:22
cryptocurrenc	105:5 143:7	150:8,11 163:5	decades 259:9	129:12 159:19
-J procurrences	100.0 110.7			127.12 107.17
		·		·

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 9
169:14 227:15	213:13 217:4	280:19	dia 250.4 6	252.14.270.11
definitely 26:7	217:10,19	destroyed	die 250:4,6 252:14 282:17	252:14 270:11 297:19,20
27:2 133:17	217.10,19 218:12,17,21	249:11 250:1,8	282:18	297.19,20
171:20 205:19	220:14 246:3	250:11 252:8	difference 47:9	dime 36:10,10
225:6 279:10	250:12 256:15	details 51:21	87:18 144:20	291:1
definition 129:6		162:16 196:10	173:9,12 240:3	dinars 71:12
130:4 136:16	deploy 186:18 deponent 297:4	detectible 113:9	291:9	direct 87:3,8
degree 20:5 22:4	297:7,9	deteriorate	differences	119:14 124:8
34:14 37:7,11	deposed 10:7	260:9	291:11	132:3,16
53:6,20 54:17	deposition 1:13	determine 80:14	different 34:7	166:13 177:14
55:9 57:22	2:1 4:7 5:3 6:3	96:17 97:2	119:13 120:4	179:6 182:13
58:3,4,5 72:4	7:3 8:4,10 16:9	101:7,22 111:7	121:6 140:3,5	189:15 259:3
142:3 150:2	16:16 17:4,7	111:20 119:12	148:15 164:1,9	260:4 296:21
226:1	17:11 79:18	120:3,9 121:5	169:5 177:6	direction 99:4
degree' 58:7,8	85:13 87:7,10	121:11 126:13	193:12 222:2,3	170:4
58:18	87:12,15 295:7	133:5 138:20	271:20 272:6	directions 146:2
degrees 18:9	297:4,12 298:5	139:10,20	differently 228:1	directive 127:15
20:11,18 22:18	298:7 299:10	141:20 172:1	difficult 12:1	directly 12:5
32:8,11 36:17	describe 13:7	174:10,20	34:3 93:7	78:11 84:13,18
54:12,22 55:11	43:8 50:21	175:5 180:10	133:17 145:13	85:6 113:1
58:22	155:4,12 185:8	278:1	145:18 163:3	120:15 291:1
Deist 4:11 28:17	226:18 259:14	determined	164:3,12 197:9	director 55:5
delicious 96:13	described	105:6	225:8 241:3	disagree 33:11
demand 127:3	123:17 150:11	determining	256:13 259:1	33:12 52:3
210:20 211:12	describes 156:8	154:4	digital 1:20 8:17	155:6,9
226:12 235:1	156:20	detract 239:18	14:2,6,8,11,12	disagreeable
demanded	describing 28:9	devalue 291:5	14:14,19,19	155:7
262:19	125:20 149:1	devastate 217:1	15:4 44:10	disagreements
denominated	160:12 276:14	220:4	60:4,15 61:4	222:19 251:20
190:12,21	deserves 253:11	develop 21:18	74:2 78:6,16	disaster 283:6
191:15 194:13	266:19	developed 20:2	103:9,15 104:5	283:10
212:12	design 195:18	149:14	104:12,18	discern 98:22
denomination	244:7	developing	105:5 122:19	disciplines 268:6
213:13	designate 294:21	19:19,22	134:4 136:6,11	268:17
denominations	designating	development	137:15 140:11	discount 50:3
212:13	295:1	19:12,14,16,17	142:20 143:6	discovered 226:5
denounce 268:6	designation	19:18 20:8,9	145:15 187:5	discuss 141:16
268:18	295:4	20:14,16,22	187:11,13,15	152:5 185:3
dependent	designed 51:22	27:18 39:15	201:5 221:13	267:9 271:7
167:13 220:20	96:17	118:2 146:8	221:15 224:3	discussed 17:14
depending 166:4	desire 211:1	developments	231:3,5 233:14	17:17 65:3
depends 21:13	desperate 293:4	112:13	233:18 238:13	107:3,5 134:19
33:9 129:6	destroy 221:5	diamond 245:15	238:21,21	158:3 211:18
140:2 142:8	224:2,6 225:3	245:17	239:13,17,17	287:9 288:6
146:10 189:7	225:12 227:21	diamonds 245:9	240:2,3,12,15	294:20
194:18 195:12	228:8 279:1	245:10,12,19	249:6,8,11	discusses 32:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 10
32:15 80:6	170.14 21	dollors 71.11 12	duly 0.0 206.5	East 43:10,16
	179:14,21 184:8	dollars 71:11,12	duly 9:9 296:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
discussing 55:16		186:9,11	dump 219:9	easy 80:14 151:8
65:18,18	District 1:1,2 8:7	191:11,18,22	225:16	175:14,16
106:14 134:11	8:8	192:12,19	duty 171:4	180:22
152:2 208:7,15	dive 32:20	208:19 219:5	173:14	eat 285:17,19
223:21 272:1	diverting 241:16	219:15 294:2,5	dying 253:10	286:3 287:7
273:11	diverts 239:13	dominant 42:2	dynamism 39:8	eating 286:22
discussion 55:2	divided 213:14	door 40:16	73:14	econometric
142:1 154:14	dividing 212:6	double-check		31:17 89:4,9
171:21 286:4	divisibility 213:8	269:13,14,14	E 3:1,1 4:1,5 5:1	96:20 107:14
294:18	214:5	269:15	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	112:2 150:12
discussions	divisible 213:6	doubling 217:20	5:1 6:1,1 7:1,1	157:20 158:11
134:20 225:22	Division 1:3 8:9	doubt 63:5,7	8:1,1	158:15 172:6
disentangle	DMs 273:15	206:21	e-mail 111:15	econometrically
163:13 164:13	document 1:8	dozen 36:10,10	120:12,18	91:16 92:5
dismantling	11:5 23:11	Dr 5:9 8:5 9:13	121:2,10,16,18	93:17 95:9,15
296:8	25:19 77:19	22:7 43:22	130:11,14	95:21 96:16
dismissal 84:22	91:20 115:17	54:21 56:8	273:14	97:1 99:2,21
disproven	117:18 126:19	57:21 59:20	e-mails 107:2,2	econometrician
173:20	131:3,4 141:15	115:2 117:2,10	107:10,15,17	31:13,15
dispute 277:8	189:2 197:1	118:19 159:13	108:3,14 111:8	econometrics
dissertation 21:3	203:12 205:2	160:11 178:22	112:9 130:9	30:17,21 100:3
21:7,21	206:7 229:13	206:1 210:10	134:9 172:5	100:6 104:9,14
distinct 169:7	269:17 292:12	228:14 258:7	earlier 27:12	104:16
181:19 182:4	documents	276:4 294:13	29:16 32:20	economic 14:20
234:5,12	10:10 77:17	draft 204:1	38:2 48:12	19:16,18 20:15
distinction 162:1	78:2 79:1,13	drastically 48:10	103:15 119:17	21:17,21 22:5
162:9 166:2	80:19 106:16	228:1	139:14 158:3	25:10 26:7,13
178:6 192:22	108:15,19	draw 94:4 207:9	159:15 177:21	39:7,8,15,16
219:12	110:11,16	drills 245:20	204:2 207:9,10	100:1 146:9,22
distinguish 99:7	111:2 124:15	Drivechain	220:12 223:9	147:6,16 148:9
161:19 219:1	128:3	251:21	240:19 246:8	154:20 155:20
Distinguished	dog 222:7	driven 164:1,9	255:1 274:4	157:15 202:11
271:14	doing 39:12	283:15	288:6	220:13,19
distinguishing	67:22 100:12	driver 92:13	early 88:14	258:8 259:11
147:1	115:16 116:14	172:12 216:10	139:15 199:22	266:4 271:15
distract 239:19	125:19 131:17	driving 98:21	250:18	287:11 292:3
distribute	152:21 170:20	167:19	earn 68:20	economics 4:12
215:12	194:8 199:8	drop 224:18	earned 19:9	5:21 14:2,5,7
distributed	200:19 201:8	225:18	20:19	14:11,12,14,15
184:15	212:14 231:17	drops 212:12,13	earnings 68:21	15:1,1,3 21:13
distributing	249:9 264:2	212:17,18,18	earth 283:1	21:14,18 22:3
216:8,14	dollar 129:21	213:7	ease 206:18	22:16 23:3
distribution	136:13 191:12	dry 33:14	easier 89:12	27:19 29:5,14
216:10 264:5	192:19 219:11	due 139:11	197:14	29:19 30:3,7,9
distributions	245:1 294:7,7	281:8	easily 290:20	30:14 31:10
		<u> </u>	l	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page II
32:20 33:1,4,8	127:17 137:14	151:12,16,19	engages 162:12	141:2,6,11,14
33:20 34:16	170:8 185:6,22	171:10 174:12	engineering 19:7	141:17 142:5,7
35:3,14 37:12	efficiency 32:16	234:19 256:11	19:8	142:16 143:4
44:1,3,12	32:17 101:16	294:11 295:3	enormous 34:5	ESQUIRE 3:4,4
45:11,21 46:4	efficient 280:17	embryonic 44:4	125:7	3:12,13
46:5,6,9,10	280:18	emerged 198:8	enormously	essentially 194:6
74:20 75:21	effort 80:18 81:1	emissions 282:22	211:21 286:10	205:5
76:10 78:6,15	110:15 111:1	282:22 283:5,9	ensnared 279:2	establish 76:18
154:16 211:15	115:15 169:22	empathy 238:2	280:20	202:14
258:19 261:20	170:1 196:12	empirical 34:20	entail 38:13	established
269:10 270:11	198:7	35:4,9 102:1	entails 195:18	48:12 132:21
271:16 276:9	efforts 92:15,22	107:9 157:10	entertaining	141:3
278:7 289:4,5	93:20 96:6,18	161:14 162:22	266:13	establishing
289:10,13	97:3,6 117:21	employee 111:17	entire 102:15	134:13
292:1	118:2 143:14	111:20 112:1	122:19 146:9	estimate 48:17
economies 20:3	143:18 167:1	119:15,15	146:22 193:17	estimate 48:8
economist	eight 73:9,15,18	120:8	194:6 216:22	96:4 123:19
125:15 154:6	either 69:22 99:4	employees 111:8	220:4 253:9	estimating 97:11
154:11 226:13	123:9 144:5,10	employing 31:22	entirely 34:4	estimation 90:22
226:14 270:22	145:6 151:9	32:6	44:16 75:15	ETH 107:6
271:5	188:6 201:2	employment	88:10 188:22	Ethereum 14:8
economists	242:13 250:7	45:5,8	199:12 278:17	69:18,22 70:6
33:18 34:12,19	262:1	endogeneity	entirety 215:16	70:12,14 245:5
39:6 155:3,5,6	electricity	99:13 105:7	220:13	Euro 245:3
155:11 211:17	280:17	159:16 170:7	entity 112:22	evaluate 149:10
259:8 276:5	electronic 55:22	183:13 184:22	125:5 176:3	150:5
economists'	56:4	endogenous	232:8	evaluated 157:1
259:15	electronically	92:11,17 97:6	entry 45:13	event 32:3,6
economy 211:20	297:21	99:9,11,17,20	277:6	75:10
edition 267:20	element 279:10	160:21	environmental	events 38:9
editor 262:22	292:10	ends 194:4 202:4	20:17 281:7	107:4,7
263:9 269:10	Elkhunovich 3:4	202:12 241:18	Environmenta	eventually 250:3
269:11	8:21,21 21:9	endures 266:19	254:10	291:5
editors 269:7	42:6 51:15	energy 21:4 31:8	episode 58:12	Everybody
Edmund 39:6	52:7,12 56:3	278:14,20,21	Epstein 292:6,11	250:19
education 18:4,7	56:10,14,22	281:8	293:1	evidence 1:20
19:3 25:1,11	57:5,13 59:10	enforce 284:19	equal 78:12	8:17 105:13
26:8,14 36:21	103:17 104:3	engaged 26:19	148:3	124:22 163:13
educator 47:5	107:19,22	27:5,14 133:9	errata 297:11	163:20 164:8
effect 107:12	108:5,21 109:8	239:4	298:11 299:6	171:12 203:6
113:3,15,21	109:13 110:6	engagement	erroneous 226:5	226:9 255:18
172:20 219:6	110:12,18	26:22 27:3	error 221:4	292:9 297:19
239:20 287:7	113:10 114:16	63:20 64:1	errors 267:13,16	297:20 298:1
effective 170:22	115:3,14 116:7	68:4,12 86:14	268:5,16 269:2	299:1
280:18	116:11,18,22	engagements	escrow 118:22	exact 25:12,16
effectively	117:3,5,8,17	62:1	119:7 120:16	25:18 36:7
-		<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 12
53:8 55:3,4,4	exercise 168:8	266:2 268:3	157:7	
104:12,13	291:13	269:18,20	expected 135:19	face 224:21
115:6 118:8	exerting 173:18	270:8,20 271:2	253:12 265:1	234:8 285:6,14
144:2 146:18	exhibit 10:19,20	271:8,9,12	expensive	Facebook 135:7
274:1 277:9,11	10:21 11:2,17	272:2,22 273:1	201:17,18	
279:14,17	12:11,12,14	274:9 275:6,7	286:20	facilitate 190:7 200:22 207:11
283:17	17:20,21 23:12	275:10,20	experience 13:22	facing 284:20
exactly 16:21	23:13,16 24:17	279:18,19	18:12,14,15	285:12
24:2,15 26:21	25:19,20 26:1	280:11,12,15	44:22 45:16	fact 22:12 47:6
40:18,19 56:20	28:11,13,16	281:18,19,22	104:4,12	55:10 98:14
61:15 85:2	29:1 37:2	284:5,6,9,12	expert 11:17	106:13,22
114:1 119:15	53:10,11,14	288:12,13,16	13:4,5,16,17	111:9 119:21
158:4,7 206:4	54:1,2,3,6,16	289:21,22	26:19 27:15	130:11 131:22
232:13 236:13	55:18,21,22	290:3 292:13	48:13 52:1	130.11 131.22
236:15 237:19	56:1 57:6,6,11	292:14,18	59:21 81:6	137:5 138:10
248:12	57:17 59:21	293:16	109:17 129:11	139:16 146:6
exaggerated	73:4,4 77:8,8	Exhibits 4:7 5:3	142:2 195:17	166:18 188:21
282:21	77:20 78:4	6:3 7:3 59:1	expertise 76:6	208:18 217:2,8
examination 4:2	79:2 80:22	184:8 261:19	154:3,10	218:15,20
9:11 296:5	88:15 89:14,15	exist 56:16 57:7	experts 83:3	249:8 251:18
examined 9:10	100:21 101:12	154:7 205:17	explain 185:13	251:21 252:17
298:7	118:20 124:10	existed 135:15	185:17 224:13	277:9 278:22
example 153:6	130:18,20	206:3,5 212:4	243:8 286:15	283:4,11
161:18,20	131:1,2 132:4	212:10,21	explained 55:7	290:18
162:2 198:14	156:5 160:16	existence 109:10	160:20 228:20	fact-check 269:5
209:8 213:7	179:5,13,17	183:10 278:10	explains 114:11	factor 31:19,22
248:6 266:9	180:15,18	existing 210:19	exposed 189:5	75:7 81:20
268:2	181:1 182:13	211:10	express 297:22	89:10 90:15,18
examples 197:18	184:5 185:2	exists 85:9 178:8	expressed	90:22 91:4,14
225:15 226:17	203:12,13,16	178:11 212:21	102:11 111:8	91:16 92:5
226:18 227:1	206:7,8,11	278:1 284:18	206:2 248:11	93:4,4,11,12
exams 55:8	207:5 209:4	exogeneity 105:7	extend 14:17	93:16 94:12,15
exception 247:21	223:9 229:13	170:7	extensive 286:4	94:17,21 95:1
exchange 186:22	229:14,17	exogenous 92:9	extensively	95:3,7,14,19
187:6,12,14,15	237:2,4,6,9	92:13 98:19	31:16 201:14	95:20 96:1,2,4
188:8 288:8	241:5,6,7,10	99:15,16	211:16	96:8,8,16 97:1
exchanges 14:22	242:19,20	159:18,19,21	extent 76:4	97:4 98:9 99:2
102:21 103:4,9	243:2 244:16	159:22 160:13	265:16	99:3,6,14,15
103:15 288:17	246:13,15,18	161:9	extra 244:2	99:15,20
exclude 93:19	248:11 249:14	expect 224:14,16	extreme 175:16	100:12 105:1
120:18 121:18	249:16 252:20	263:12,13	extremely	132:8 139:12
excluded 34:12	252:21 253:3	expectation	185:18	158:3 159:18
93:17 95:19	254:3,4,7	132:21 134:14	eye 243:16,18,21	159:20,21
exclusively	258:14,15,18	expectations	243:22 244:2	160:13 161:9
154:18 261:10	259:17,19,22	133:5 156:6,8	eyes 243:8,10,11	167:11 184:22
executive 55:5	265:20,21	156:21,21	243:14 246:12	
	ı	ı	1	1

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 13
factors 91:4,8,15	footon 151.20	120.10 120.9	6.1	find 41:22 46:17
92:3,17 93:18	faster 151:20 231:16 232:19	129:19 130:8 131:17,22	fiduciary 171:4 173:14	63:19,22 70:16
96:21 97:9,14	Fault 6:9	131.17,22	field 12:2 14:15	91:18 97:4
99:8,11,16,16	favor 249:9	147:15 148:7	14:17 20:15	98:1 103:19
99:18,20	fear 280:8	148:12,17	129:12 159:20	114:18 115:4,4
120:19 121:19	feasible 200:21	153:19 155:19	289:17	128:21 148:20
158:20 159:21	201:2 202:17	156:4,10,19	fields 31:2 78:5	155:9 164:12
160:21 162:20	feature 201:13	160:12 168:19	fifth 8:11 73:12	168:9 170:11
228:20	256:8,14,20	177:17 178:19	146:3	198:7,15
facts 82:12	features 256:9	179:1,9 180:18	Figel 3:14 9:5	fine 114:21
171:17 202:22	256:22	181:14 182:8	figure 67:14	finished 262:11
269:1	February 28:18	182:16 183:1,4	106:20 107:11	firm 9:7 218:4
factual 106:11	28:19 29:11	184:5,19	141:10 196:12	first 9:9 28:22
112:15 267:12	Fed's 6:9	196:16 207:18	199:2 209:16	31:15 40:1
fail 238:18	federal 52:4	208:6,15 216:4	221:11 225:11	49:7 50:11
failed 183:1	291:20	216:7 228:19	233:2 235:5	61:2 82:1,6
fails 125:13	fee 50:3 191:11	Ferrell's 10:13	293:9	90:19 93:19
failure 250:16	191:20 192:17	31:17 89:4,13	figures 130:12	103:3 127:1
fair 33:3,7,21	192:20 193:11	90:6,10 91:14	131:13	128:8,8 131:2
34:18 35:2,19	195:20 196:1,6	93:12 101:3	file 55:22 56:4	135:4 153:8
47:14 62:19	196:20 197:13	104:22 107:16	filed 8:7	155:18 168:18
71:3,7 88:21	feedback 263:8	112:2 114:6	files 79:10 80:16	177:2,16
123:2 152:3,9	288:21	115:11,13	81:6,9,18	178:17 186:18
155:2,10 183:3	feel 242:13	117:14 124:22	final 16:5 197:9	214:18 216:13
230:20,22	feeling 291:7	147:19 158:2	finally 127:21	222:21 223:7
234:18 239:19	fees 48:13 50:5	158:15,19	finance 32:9	226:12,13
244:4 256:4	193:8,19	160:16 167:11	152:12,17,21	230:6 231:8
275:15,19	195:11,12,14	167:21 170:19	153:12,17,21	240:1 246:20
281:11,15	196:13 200:14	172:8 179:5	214:22 215:20	248:6 249:20
282:10	Feinstein 83:5	181:2,8 183:8	240:9 290:19	254:9 255:4
fall 125:14 251:1	83:22	183:20 198:10	finances 291:17	260:7 262:5
falls 251:5	fell 250:21 251:7	208:2	financial 50:17	263:7 264:11
familiar 141:2	fellow 45:1	fiat 6:5,10 26:8	50:21 61:4,5	266:9 282:6
238:8 240:12	Ferrell 13:6,12	26:14 69:2	62:14 70:21	284:11 288:22
266:6	15:2 27:9	75:21 76:9,20	71:5,17,20	five 195:1,4,5
family 70:18	32:15 79:18	207:14 239:10	72:21 74:21	fix 286:2
72:19	81:5,18 89:16	260:1 261:20	154:8 169:12	fixes 285:21
FAQ 189:2	90:18 91:8	266:2 267:9,13	169:14 186:1,6	290:10,15,17
FAQs 196:16	92:4 93:2 95:8	267:16 269:5	186:10,13	290:18 291:21
far 56:16 150:13	95:19 96:22	276:5,8,16	187:18 188:2,4	flag 252:3
154:2 199:11	100:11,15	277:14,20	188:9,17	fleetingly 134:20
242:16 252:5	101:22 102:5	278:1,3,10,13	197:21 276:14	flew 17:8
257:12	105:14,22	278:19 280:19	financially	flights 87:3,8
farther 274:8	113:14,19	283:15 285:16	278:21	flourish 277:4
farting 241:18 fast 200:1	115:7 117:22 125:11 128:9	286:5,16 287:10	financing 153:7 292:4	fluctuate 191:5,8 fluctuates
1451 200.1	145.11 140.9	207.10	272. 4	nuctuates
•				

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 14
190:13,22	forgotten 41:10	Friedrich 33:19	248:16,20	298:10,13
191:1 192:6	form 21:9 30:14	34:1	gap 226:6	gives 199:14
194:14	42:6 76:5	friends 72:19	garbage 289:2	Glass-Steagall
fluctuating	78:14 103:17	front 120:12	289:10	268:21
192:21 193:21	104:3 113:10	126:15 130:14	Gaza 290:9	global 276:14
194:1	117:17 134:17	196:10 200:18	general 34:18	glowing 243:7
fluctuation	135:11 171:10	243:2	35:2,18 61:17	go 52:22 54:11
192:22	174:12 197:9	fucking 229:20	90:10 134:6	86:11 87:20
flying 224:20	230:6 256:11	fulfil 5:7 74:8,22	152:2 155:7	114:12 118:10
focus 254:18	258:9	79:5 80:5	203:7 221:2	127:8 133:21
255:15,22	formal 235:4	139:14 203:18	246:11	135:22 143:10
focusing 247:12	formally 264:22	205:9 230:9	generalize	145:19 151:1
follow 77:22	formation 113:6	fulfillment 264:5	217:15	151:10,14,16
124:5 138:8	formed 203:5,7	full 54:12 56:9	generally 72:21	158:6 159:4
222:22 223:17	206:2	57:22 260:7	155:11 279:15	160:2 165:7
followed 19:11	forming 77:21	266:9,11,19	generic 137:10	204:22 210:1
104:21 165:16	78:2 79:22	284:11	genocide 292:7	215:9 216:17
221:19 222:22	81:2,9 82:13	fully 53:5,20	Gerard 6:13	220:8,18
followers 53:5	83:9 149:12	197:8,17 225:1	271:1,5,20,22	234:10 251:12
following 89:19	forms 14:19	fun 280:8	272:8,11,15	254:2 257:2
113:18 225:13	forth 87:10	function 213:5	273:7,10	263:15 269:7
follows 9:10	296:4	234:17	274:18 288:7	269:11,12
123:6	Forty-one 15:19	functional 197:8	Gerard's 6:17	286:12 295:6
food 285:17,19	Forum 39:16	197:17	271:13 275:20	Godfrey 3:5
286:2,9,12	forward 77:7	functions 5:8	getting 46:18	8:22 9:3 297:1
287:3,4,6	223:3 224:7	74:9 75:1 79:5	51:20 58:4	goes 41:7 137:19
foods 286:18,19	225:5 264:10	80:5 139:15	106:18 238:7	165:9 226:15
286:20	264:15,20	173:14 203:18	249:22 276:12	226:15
foolproof 24:15	265:4 297:11	205:9 230:10	giant 139:16	going 23:11
Foot 272:4	fostered 132:20	292:4	GitHub 144:2,5	41:13 46:21
footnote 106:2,3	found 46:20	fund 63:6 68:22	give 10:4 34:22	47:7 51:15
111:16 120:22	Foundation	69:1	60:13 89:12	53:9 54:1
143:14 211:19	38:16 39:9	fundamental	114:8 134:15	55:14,19 57:16
forbidden	founding 225:16	211:14	134:22 135:3	57:17 59:7,9
297:21	four 17:1 74:17	funded 197:21	146:1 151:4	70:8 89:12
forces 38:10	four-year 166:5	funding 36:15	158:10 185:19	94:5 96:12
foregoing 296:3	fourth 241:11	146:20 277:18	186:15 218:2	108:6,22
296:18 298:7	framework	290:8 291:12	233:9 266:9	109:22 112:10
foreign 38:7	89:20	further 294:10	286:6 290:8,21	115:11 117:14
40:2 186:22	Frances 274:9	future 138:22	GiveBitcoin	125:7 139:17
foresight 243:22	275:11 288:7	245:16 250:21	60:21 67:17,19	140:9 151:13
forever 279:2	Frederick 3:14		given 13:8 41:19	151:19 160:5
280:21	9:5	G	66:9 70:16	164:20,22
forgeries 111:12	free 54:12 57:22	G 8:1	72:18 97:21	165:16 166:4,6
forget 55:3,4	frequencies	gain 169:18	175:6 190:12	176:15 186:8,9
144:2	132:9	game 246:2,22	194:12 291:16	186:14 188:6,7
			l	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 15
105.2.10	260.12		Harrals 22.10	250.9 262.9
195:3,19	260:13	guessing 15:22	Hayek 33:19 34:1	259:8 263:8
203:11 212:17 217:14 224:7	grant 38:16 39:9	62:3 65:8 82:4	- :	hire 254:16
	granting 54:17	guidelines	head 16:22 27:6	hired 164:4
224:20 225:4,9	grants 38:10	260:14	60:19 84:7	269:6,10
229:12 231:11	54:22 58:22	guy 272:9,9	150:17 184:1	hiring 105:20
231:15,20	graph 179:20 180:14 181:11	guys 56:8 57:21	227:3,10	255:14
232:19 237:4	graphic 244:7	Gylfi 39:7	headache 194:4	historically
241:6 245:14 245:15 249:14	0 1	H	headed 203:16 header 88:18	235:15 hit 127:18
	grasp 231:9	H 4:5 5:1 6:1 7:1	161:5	hold 56:10 66:10
250:1 251:15	gray 33:15 84:13 Great 117:8	hailed 270:10		
252:1 255:20	294:14 295:5	hair 272:9,9,10	heading 116:3	72:1,22 87:7
263:21 267:17 267:19 272:22		half 125:5	161:2 268:3,16	117:5 186:20 216:20 234:12
279:17 282:22	greater 166:22	216:21	headquartered 61:5	
283:5,9 286:6	green 254:16,19 255:11 278:14	halfway 146:1	headwind 234:8	234:13,16 holder 173:8,9
		268:3,15	health 283:14,21	holders 122:17
286:9 289:20 290:16 292:13	278:20,21	hand 123:12	285:2,10	123:4 134:14
	Greenpeace	188:7 193:22	heard 55:2 92:18	
294:21	255:13,15,21	194:2,2 219:8	197:19 221:21	235:10,19 holding 134:5
gold 44:11 71:4 71:6,8 176:7,7	grids 279:1 280:19	handling 56:15	222:4,14 240:1	189:5 201:10
234:13,17	Griffin 89:19	hang 57:2 71:4	hearing 235:11	219:5,10,11,15
244:18	90:2	284:17 285:1	heavily 143:14	holdings 132:22
good 9:13 74:19	grounded 35:10	hanging 285:2	150:11 197:20	135:20 138:4
125:17 158:9	Group 1:20 8:17	Hansen 3:14 9:5	197:22	138:21
159:4 189:7,9	297:19,20	happen 40:17	held 2:1 8:10	holds 136:3
198:6,12 226:8	298:1 299:1	134:3 223:21	42:20 43:1	137:6 138:11
245:12 250:10	grouping 276:10	228:4 265:2	87:12,15	139:12
252:7 263:20	growing 137:11	happened	129:11 138:13	homogeneity
276:12,13	279:4	225:15 227:7	139:17 190:6	34:9
279:5 287:3	grown 139:3,4	happening	213:17 236:1	honest 41:13
governing	211:21,22	175:20 227:4	294:18	48:3
297:13	growth 181:18	happens 164:21	help 269:7	honestly 65:7
government	182:11	164:22 170:2	helped 78:14	151:8
36:15 235:16	gruesome	225:4,7 226:17	249:7	hope 249:10
245:7 258:9	252:14 253:11	happy 59:8	helpful 156:16	254:17
259:10 261:9	guarantee 189:4	151:10 249:22	helps 102:8	host 51:1
290:19 292:4	189:7,9,12	251:6,12	hesitate 297:15	hosting 51:14
governments	guarantees	haranguing	hidden 277:16	hot 56:5,12
214:21 276:20	188:19	251:6	high 206:19	57:15
277:18 286:10	guess 37:10 40:3	hard 148:20	207:20 208:5	hour 15:10 59:7
286:17 287:5	72:4 73:9	238:6 242:17	208:10,13	293:20 294:2
grad 37:8,9	153:4 194:18	harder 254:17	250:17	hours 15:19,22
graduate 22:3	215:7 227:17	Hardest 151:7	highly 48:10	17:2 48:15
37:4,13	241:3 242:2	Hathaway 218:4	58:7 140:19	110:1 258:5
graduated 37:17	244:1,14	218:6,12 219:2	166:9 185:16	House 76:13,18
grammatical	250:12	219:3,13,14	198:15 224:11	76:22 77:3
	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	I	I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 16
261:21 290:7	290:1 292:15	implementing	112:6	245:20 253:10
huge 173:12	identified 66:13	251:19	increase 171:3	286:22
human 6:6 14:16	93:18	importance	178:4 210:18	industrialized
14:18 35:19,20	identifies 216:13	134:13	211:5 214:3,4	20:2
36:2 283:6,9	identify 110:10	important 97:10	214:4,20 215:4	inescapably
283:10	168:2 215:11	97:13 162:8	215:21	219:12
hundred 165:1	idiot 229:20	197:5 226:14	increased 101:7	inevitably 250:1
196:8,18 197:2	230:14	256:9,21	181:10	inference 142:17
hurt 250:3	idiots 233:22	270:11	increases 180:11	infertility 287:16
hyperbolic	ignores 182:16	importantly	180:19 181:3	inflation 128:11
266:12	183:16	98:16	increasing 181:6	128:18,20
hypothesis 125:3	ignoring 170:6	impossible	215:19 216:2	177:19,22
155:21 157:15	247:12	161:14 193:13	increasingly	178:3,19 180:8
hypothetical	illegal 254:18	287:15	253:9	181:15 214:22
97:22 173:2,8	illustrates	impoverish	incurred 48:12	287:1,8
218:2 219:2	183:11	279:1 280:20	independent	inflationary
220:21 225:11	imagine 11:22	impression	47:5 92:8	286:8
hysteria 254:19	97:20	134:6 255:20	120:3 150:4	inflows 184:10
	immediate 70:18	improved	164:6 167:12	influence 146:8
I	immediately	101:17 102:2	262:2	168:15,20
idea 44:4,7,8	225:18	inability 221:20	independently	169:6,19 170:3
63:18 153:16	immutable	223:2	149:6,10	173:3,6,18
199:21 203:8	145:14	inaccurate	161:10 174:14	174:3,11,21
231:15 232:18	impact 75:4	181:13,16	indicate 23:20	175:6
233:7 240:20	90:11 98:22	inappropriate	220:19	influenced
245:14 283:5,8	99:7 105:18	98:10	indicated 110:16	147:22 199:20
286:7	106:5 107:4	inaudible 58:5	111:2 113:14	influential 83:15
ideas 149:14	113:8 119:19	include 40:4,5	indicates 86:8	118:2
207:11	121:4,5,11	71:4,6 92:4	114:3 243:8	information
identical 205:5	125:7,18 127:4	94:15,21 98:10	244:3	63:3 103:20
identification	127:6,11,16	99:2 121:12	indicating	149:21 201:16
10:19,22 23:14	128:10,13,19	149:3 252:10	243:19	201:16,18,19
25:21 28:14	128:22 130:7	included 38:8	indicator 169:22	202:8,9 203:10
53:12 54:4	167:1 177:18	91:8,9 93:3	170:1	informational
56:2 130:21	177:21 283:1	96:22 121:14	indifferent	235:7
203:14 206:9	impacted 124:14	includes 12:3,4	238:22	informed 119:22
229:15 237:7	impacting	83:2 91:14	indirect 291:2	initial 153:5
241:8 242:21	124:20	99:20 289:18	individual 155:9	165:8 235:22
246:16 249:17	impediment	including 15:11	166:19 167:1,6	initially 174:18
252:22 254:5	138:5	60:5 83:4	individuals	175:7 206:18
258:16 259:20 265:22 269:21	impersonate	93:11 297:21	186:1 188:3	234:10
	. 24:7	inclusion 91:15	190:9 194:10	initiative 128:1
271:3,10 273:2 275:8 279:20	impersonator	158:2	288:18	innovation
280:13 281:20	24:7,11,13	income 48:6,18	indoctrination	247:1,11 248:7
284:7 288:14	implementation	48:21 62:2,4	261:13	innovations
207./ 200.14	197:19	incorrectly	industrial	247:3,21 248:5
L				

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 17
innovative	intelligent 253:8	221:4 263:4	38:19,19 134:2	17:8 85:21
250:20	intend 11:13,15	284:5	146:21 197:22	86:2 87:4,8
inoperable 221:5	11:18 137:17	introduced 83:3	292:10	Jordanian 43:19
inordinate	intended 13:11	206:17,22	involvement	71:12 86:12
259:10	126:10,13	249:5	17:17	journal 73:12
inside 254:10,15	intending 90:5	introduction	involves 31:18	journals 73:7
255:8	105:16 127:6	92:6,7 189:20	186:21 291:16	258:9 260:9
Insights 5:10	183:7	intrusive 51:22	irreversible	July 13:6 82:5,9
insignificant	intent 103:2	intuitively	283:1	June 53:15
202:10	170:17 244:6	185:20	Israel 290:8	61:15 65:13
Instagram	intentionally	invalid 91:16	291:13 292:5	82:4 253:4
257:13,15,20	126:5 127:4	92:5 93:12	292:22 293:2,9	254:8 280:16
instance 29:20	interaction	99:21	Israelis 292:22	jury 10:1
33:19 290:18	267:6	invalidates	issue 33:14 35:7	justifications
Institute 22:21	interactions	183:12	35:8 87:10	216:2
23:2 28:18	267:7	inventory	201:6,6,6,8	Justin 3:13 9:7
45:2 266:4	interbank	187:22 188:13	241:2	Justin 3.13 9.7
institution 186:6	205:22	188:16	issuer 85:19	K
186:11,13	interest 62:14	invest 70:21	issues 61:17 76:7	keep 59:8 96:3
187:4,10,18	interest 02.14	231:6	160:3	109:22 115:20
188:9 196:5,20	202:2	invested 231:14	item 44:22	151:13 188:6
institutions	intermediary	232:17,21	iterations 207:10	212:6 238:7
188:3,5,12,17	202:1	238:3,4,5	literations 207.10	260:14 279:6
188:19 189:3	Intermediation	investigate 99:5	J	keeps 293:3
190:10 194:10	74:21	214:12,14	January 24:21	Kellogg 3:14 9:5
197:22	internal 126:16	investigated	jberg@kellogg	kept 244:10,12
instruct 108:6	171:12	213:21 214:9	3:19	kill 251:16 252:1
108:22 110:1,6	international	investigating	Jeff 4:10,16,17	252:4
110:12	200:22 202:14	172:8,11	28:17 58:1,12	killing 251:17
instructing 52:6	207:17	investigation	58:14	Kimberly
52:7	internationally	222:18 293:8	Jeffrey 292:6,10	271:14
instruction	85:12	investing 234:2	Jeremy 83:4,15	kind 123:19
110:5	internet 235:12	234:3,5	83:19	134:6,13
instructions	244:14	investment 69:7	jerk 261:1	186:16 190:5
108:3	interprets 91:17	69:9 72:12,14	Jinah 3:22 8:15	234:10 263:14
instructor 42:10	interrupted	72:18 198:2	Joakim 6:8	277:4 287:6
42:13 43:5	116:16	218:4 231:22	266:3	kinds 14:18
insufficient	interval 132:13	233:5	job 31:15 240:5	222:2,3 235:15
105:15	132:14	investments	244:7 263:1	King 2:5 8:10
insults 266:12	intervening	62:12	Joel 83:5 84:3	knew 272:6
integrating	162:6	investors 136:5	John 271:14	know 16:21 17:9
264:2	interview 4:10	140:10 233:13	joined 9:6 37:18	24:10 27:8
integration	4:15,17 28:17	233:18,22	38:6 39:21	31:10 36:8
264:3	55:16	251:9	40:2	40:5,18,21
intellectual 42:1	interviews 235:8	involve 200:13	joke 243:21	41:1 49:2
262:17 263:18	introduce 55:15	involved 21:21	Jordan 9:17	50:10 51:6
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 18
62:22 63:4,9	139:11,17	Lawrence 6:18	Ledger's 221:9	life 25:3 52:1,2
63:15,17 64:16	142:12 144:6	271:13	leeway 51:16	215:15
64:20,21 67:11	146:7,10,16,20	lawyers 110:3	left 46:22 47:1,3	likelihood 123:9
67:13 72:7	149:3 159:17	lead 3:3 8:22	47:10 127:19	123:16
74:14 78:18	160:12 161:8	99:14	legal 86:5	line 54:11 92:1
88:12 114:19	164:14,15	leaders 292:6	legally 86:9	127:21 146:4
120:7,17 121:9	168:15,19	293:2,10	length 266:19	168:17 169:9
120.7,17 121.9	169:17,18	leadership 232:6	lens 231:2	172:21 174:10
135:4,6,15	171:7,13,17	leads 173:5	Leslie 1:19 2:14	174:20 175:5
140:21 144:13	173:10,13	246:11	8:16 296:15	174.20 173.3
145:15 153:14	173.10,13	lean 162:17	let's 10:17 15:6	176:19 208:9
155:6 165:22	183:11 185:12	196:11 221:10	17:20 18:4	299:11
166:3,7 197:16	189:22 197:21	240:20 242:9	19:3 20:21	lines 45:12
198:16 205:19	198:1,3 216:20	leaning 246:7	23:9 25:17,17	283:17
206:4 207:16	217:2,4 218:20	learn 29:18	28:11 29:22,22	linguistic 263:3
208:4,12,22	217.2,4 218.20	30:12 82:1	37:1 56:11	link 56:19,19
212:14 213:2	222:9 225:22	103:14 144:16	59:10 61:1	57:10,17
213:11,16	228:5 232:4,7	226:13,14	73:2,18 83:16	170:12 172:9
227:2 233:10	297:3 298:4	learned 22:15	87:20 108:10	260:11
239:22 264:6	299:8	23:5 27:19	118:10 128:6	liquidating
264:13,19	Labs' 96:6	28:3 29:17,20	130:18 131:1	122:19
272:11,12,12	112:16 127:22	30:3 84:14,17	135:22 143:10	liquidators
272:11,12,12	128:1 138:21	85:5 289:2,10	145:19 151:1	127:15
273:20 282:14	147:22 168:16	learning 29:5,13	151:16 159:4	liquidity 5:10
knowledge 18:2	168:17 169:9,9	leave 44:18 47:2	160:2 162:19	102:2 200:9
70:17 84:4	170:9 175:4,5	170:15	166:16 168:11	201:22 206:12
142:11 212:2	176:18,19	Lebanese 45:2	172:15 180:21	206:14
known 32:3	177:4 182:17	45:11,13 46:13	182:12 186:8	list 18:8,15,22
	182:18,19	46:22 264:9	191:17 207:7	19:7 20:7 64:5
L	207:10	Lebanon 86:9,10	210:1 214:17	65:1 73:2,13
L.L.C 298:1	Labs's 142:3	86:11	215:9 216:17	74:5,7,12 77:9
L.L.P 297:1	laid 97:18	lecturer 43:9,12	223:18 224:11	77:12 78:18
lab 139:7	language 266:12	46:3	229:12 232:15	80:22 81:1
labs 1:5 3:11 8:6	large 136:4	led 278:9	246:13 252:20	83:1 144:10,14
9:6 92:10,15	137:6 138:11	ledger 80:3,12	254:2 257:18	144:18 145:3
92:22 93:20	143:20 225:16	141:20 143:13	258:13 259:17	listed 38:5 44:22
94:10 97:7	291:19	143:19 146:9	265:19 271:8	45:21 60:11,16
98:12 103:8,14	larger 138:14	147:1,2,6,11	280:11 281:6	61:2 73:10
107:3 112:3	200:8	147:12,17,22	281:18 284:5	74:15 75:18
122:1 123:12	largest 204:9	148:3,8,19,21	287:20 288:12	76:13 77:17,19
127:2,9,13	laser 243:7,10,11	149:5,5 153:6	level 22:3,5 99:8	78:3 79:1,4,4,6
128:11,12,16	243:14	196:7,21	145:16 193:19	80:8 98:3
128:17 130:6	latest 69:22	210:14 212:3	liable 255:6	269:13 271:14
132:19,22	launch 50:11	212:15,21	liars 280:7	lists 41:2
136:3 137:6,20	launched 197:6	213:5 222:20	lies 162:8 251:7	Litecoin 69:18
138:11,13	law 284:18	256:8	279:12	69:22 70:6,11
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	1

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 19
50.10	1.51.15.15		1550100505	044 6040 40
70:13	151:15,17	lose 176:6,7	155:2,10 259:7	241:6 242:18
literature 80:2	286:21	losing 176:8	276:5 289:13	246:14 249:15
80:11 100:1	look 12:11 26:1	loss 174:1	maintain 187:22	252:20 254:3
150:15	28:22 45:12	losses 122:17	188:13,15	258:13 259:17
litigation 1:6 8:7	54:7 89:15	123:4	221:3 245:16	265:20 269:18
11:3 26:20	94:2 97:16,21	lost 70:14,15	276:11	270:20 271:8
297:3 298:4	106:1 115:17	73:14 156:12	maintains 144:8	275:5 280:11
299:8	118:20 123:11	247:1 248:17	162:10	281:18 288:12
little 32:21 34:7	126:2 140:1	lot 24:6 27:21,22	maintenance	289:21 292:13
38:2 59:10	145:9 163:4,8	28:6 30:17	143:20	marked 10:21
60:13 148:14	164:5 165:3	31:1,8 33:15	major 33:22	11:16 23:13
149:9 151:5,15	167:18 174:9	33:17 34:9	249:2	25:20 28:13
151:16 155:21	175:22 181:21	68:21 87:9	majority 144:4	53:11 54:3
161:2,2 189:15	197:10 198:18	139:6,18	makers 194:4	56:2 89:13
202:8 212:1	204:13,22	150:12 215:14	making 6:15	130:20 203:13
227:18 236:12	206:16 209:1	240:10 244:1	35:18 79:11	206:8 229:14
246:6,7,9	211:19 223:18	244:12 248:12	106:15 115:15	237:6 241:7
266:14 274:8	229:12 241:11	250:18,19,22	189:12 202:11	242:20 246:13
live 9:16,20	245:22 246:20	251:1 254:1	222:5	246:15 249:16
52:21,22 86:10	251:13 258:13	258:3,3 272:7	man 198:12	252:21 254:4
lived 86:8	266:8 268:2	272:7,8	manage 26:8	258:15 259:19
lives 251:8	273:4 284:11	low 206:19	173:16	265:21 269:20
living 47:15,17	looked 79:10	LUNA 250:16	management	271:2,9 273:1
LLC 299:1	130:2 131:17	lunch 151:6	19:12,14,15	275:7 279:19
LLP 2:5 3:5	145:15 172:5	159:8	62:10 63:10,16	280:12 281:19
load 34:16	196:9 198:10		174:1 185:11	284:6 288:13
located 8:11	207:4 221:13		managerial	289:22 292:14
locations 44:11	223:8,11	M 1:21 3:15	162:4	market 4:19
lock 143:2	225:14,21	298:1 299:2	manages 225:16	32:15,17 45:2
locked 141:6,12	237:1 242:15	MA 20:7	managing 176:9	65:19 92:8,14
141:12	257:11 261:19	machines 261:9	manner 197:7	92:19 93:1,4
logic 124:4	looking 93:21	261:13	197:17	94:16,22 95:20
163:10,16	107:10 129:19	macroeconomic	manning 253:7	96:7 97:5 98:9
226:11	137:14 156:9	4:20 30:7	manufactured	98:12,16,17
logical 35:18	157:1 161:2,7	macroeconomi	289:6,11	102:2 105:6
293:5	169:3 190:10	289:16,17	manufactures	106:18 112:4,8
London 37:11	194:10 199:13	magazine 280:4	127:3	112:13 113:1,4
long 23:22 52:5	220:3 227:12	magnitude	March 6:8 280:1	119:22 127:3
70:15 73:1	229:18 231:2,3	106:16 113:3	margins 226:7	127:15,17
88:12 109:20	240:13	main 112:11,12	mark 10:18	156:6,8,20,21
130:1 160:20	looks 10:9 11:6	172:11 199:19	23:12 25:19	157:6 158:3
225:9 234:16	205:16 243:7	215:19 251:20	28:12 53:9	160:21 161:10
254:1	272:6	mainstream	54:1 55:18,22	161:16,22
long-term 90:11	Los 1:14 2:6,9	29:5,14,19	130:18 170:15	162:4,5,6,7,20
168:22	3:6 8:12 87:4	30:2 33:4,8,14	203:12 206:7	162:21 163:12
longer 27:2 41:7	87:12,16 297:2	33:18 34:13,16	229:13 237:4	163:14,22
	•	1	•	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 20
164051010	41 4	207.12	67.17.200.7	1767
164:2,5,10,10	mathematics	287:12	67:17 209:7	mining 176:7
164:12,14,15	269:10	means 14:7 50:1	213:6 216:4	minor 205:14
164:22 165:19	matter 8:6 94:7	77:20 146:9	226:16 269:8	minute 19:4
166:12,20,21	102:1 112:4,6	184:15 217:10	mentioned 25:16	108:11 156:13
167:8,12,19	112:15,19	228:7 238:12	32:19 119:17	233:9
168:3 172:13	157:11 185:5	296:20	144:3,7 184:3	minutes 57:18
177:4,7,12	297:6	meant 255:8	204:1 246:10	57:19 166:3
179:10 183:12	mattered 51:18	measurable	287:19	misconception
185:12 186:4	matters 111:22	128:13	mentioning	35:8
194:4 197:8 202:4 204:10	112:7,14 120:1	measure 32:17	182:11 208:10	Mises 22:21 23:1
	238:13 252:5	128:9,10 136:10 137:16	223:2	23:2 28:18 34:2
216:22 219:7,7	maximalist 238:9,15	177:17,18	mentions 90:1 207:18	- '
219:13,18,22 220:4 224:4,12	maximize 154:7	177.17,18	mere 183:10	Mises.org 22:17 22:20
224:15 225:17	mean 11:22 14:5	180:13 181:14	met 16:17,19,20	misphrased
226:2,6 228:2	14:9,10 31:4	181:20 182:5	267:7	282:13 283:3
228:9 235:16	33:2,9 34:9	183:1	methodological	misses 170:13
277:7	49:21 56:14	measured	260:13	missing 74:5,6
marketing 139:6	68:20 69:2	128:18 129:19	methodology	Misstates 234:20
222:3 240:4,5	78:5 82:17	130:7 156:6,8	104:1,11,21	mistake 201:12
240:6,9,11	83:13 84:12	156:22 183:20	104:1,11,21	201:14
251:22	91:6 93:6 94:2	measurement	134:2 149:11	mistaken 59:4
markets 202:11	96:5 97:16	183:22	199:17	misunderstan
209:12,16	99:10 100:3	measuring	metric 94:5	202:6
223:17	103:19 107:1	137:15	117:19 136:13	mixed 238:7
marking 56:17	109:20 113:2	mechanical 19:8	182:4	255:2
57:5	121:13 129:22	mechanism	metrics 92:7,18	Mm-hmm 13:2
marks 59:16	134:18 135:3	205:21	181:19	15:8 17:22
159:9 210:6	136:21 137:3,9	medal 266:20	Mexican 186:10	18:6,20 19:5
masquerading	153:4 154:11	media 8:4 59:17	191:18	19:13 20:10
259:11	164:11 166:22	118:16 159:10	Michael 3:4 9:2	26:5 29:7,9
massive 140:19	168:8 169:8	210:7 257:8,19	middle 43:10,16	31:20 41:5
master's 20:4,11	172:4 178:2	meet 16:15	175:11,15	42:15 53:17
20:18 37:11	185:15 187:13	210:20 211:11	milestone 249:2	54:9,14,20
masturbation	188:3 189:1	meeting 17:3	million 153:8	60:1 73:5,17
260:11	197:4 218:16	member 38:7,7	165:1 207:21	77:11 81:13
material 12:5	227:17 232:13	40:2 67:12	208:5,10 209:9	89:5 93:13
78:11,13,13	232:22 234:21	members 63:16	mind 64:4 98:2	102:13 106:6
149:22	238:5,11 242:5	70:18 106:10	226:22 228:16	114:7 117:11
materials 77:9	242:9 244:17	107:3 171:13	230:11 231:4,6	124:11 126:3
77:13 81:1	250:12 254:22	285:2,10	231:12 248:1,3	131:9,19
83:1	255:11,17	meme 243:9	mine 176:7	138:15 140:7
math 16:1 101:3	256:12,15	244:8	minimize 127:15	140:14 145:21
269:14	257:21 262:21	memory 197:1	170:22	146:5 148:5
mathematical	276:8 277:2,6	mental 21:19	minimum	155:17 172:17
34:20 35:4	279:9 285:5,11	mention 40:10	213:16	178:1 189:18
	<u> </u>	l	l .	<u> </u>

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 21
190:15 191:3	201.10 10 14	multidiacinlin	nogotivog 240.19	non-zero 113:15
190:13 191:3	291:10,10,14 291:14,16	multidisciplin 31:5	negatives 240:18 neither 30:18	113:20
191:19 198:21 199:16 205:2	MoneyGram	multiplied	98:19,20	
207:3 209:6	198:2,15,16	136:14	neoclassical 30:6	noncommunic 109:9
210:12 223:20	198:2,13,16	mute 35:11	30:15,19	
		mute 33:11	net 71:8 183:20	noncommunic 109:9
225:6 228:11	monies 235:15 monitor 8:14	N	184:9,14 209:8	
247:7,14 273:6 282:5		N 3:1 4:1,1 5:1,1	240:16,16	nonprofessional 72:18
Mmm 16:4	265:14,17 monopoly	6:1,1 7:1,1 8:1	network 196:2,3	nonsense 22:9
44:16 53:7	276:11,15	N.W 3:15	197:13 221:5	normative 93:7
59:2 134:18	monsters 293:3	name 8:15 9:14	222:1 234:8	Northern 1:2 8:8
		55:3,4 79:13		
246:3 250:12	month 16:5	135:1,4 257:15	neutral 200:10 235:2	Nos 56:1
257:21	181:22 182:1,2	266:12 268:22		NOTARY
model 31:19,22	182:4 218:3	296:10 298:5	never 31:21 32:5	298:22
89:10 90:15,19	monthly 130:2	299:9	32:16 39:10	note 80:18
91:1,5,14,16	130:12 131:12	named 270:22	63:3 70:3 90:1	noted 57:14
92:5 93:5 96:2	132:9,14 180:3	270:22	142:15 197:6	298:11
96:3,4 99:6,20	184:8,9	names 134:15	198:5 213:19	notes 82:18
105:2,8 132:1	months 16:21	135:5,10	221:21 222:4	189:1 296:7
132:8 161:15	40:22 80:17	146:18	222:14 223:1	notice 2:14
161:21 162:13	86:11 129:2,2	Nassim 264:6,15	new 14:20 61:5	noticing 297:12
162:22 167:11	129:3 252:18	264:17	70:7 151:4	noting 297:12
193:3	morning 9:13	Nation 293:11	166:4,5,6	notion 35:17
modeling 34:21	motivations	native 200:15	193:19 231:19	November 5:11
35:5	235:1	nature 44:6	231:20 247:2	206:15 208:6
models 75:7	mouth 115:13		287:3	208:14 209:18
105:4	move 52:2,8	necessarily 25:15 84:15	news 222:22	218:3 229:19
Modernity's	223:3 224:16		223:17 254:9	290:4
73:14	224:18 227:22	145:4 165:7,20	290:6	null 125:3
monetary 152:2	moved 208:19	176:16 177:5	newspaper	number 8:4
152:6 154:15	movement	193:15 216:16	280:4	59:17 131:3,8
235:2,14,14	159:22 160:1	220:9 245:13	nice 251:3	136:14 143:21
290:20	162:8 168:4	need 36:14 58:6	nightmare	144:3,7 159:10
money 5:8 74:9	216:11	86:14 93:14	241:18	166:6 210:7
75:1 79:5 80:5	movements 93:1	96:22 115:4	nimble 223:4	211:21 212:16
139:15 176:6,7	93:3 94:16,22	163:8,9,17	Nobel 33:20	213:12,17
176:8 186:2,7	95:20 161:10	170:8 186:20	node 144:9,14	214:3,4 257:8
193:7,10 195:4	161:12,16,22	195:4 210:17	144:17,17	257:11
200:2 201:20	163:14 164:2	211:4 212:17	145:2	numbered 204:4
203:18 205:10	164:10 172:12	231:7	nodes 143:21,22	numbers 48:3,4
229:22 230:10	228:20	needed 260:14	144:7,9,13	48:6,9 121:12
234:12,17	Moving 44:21	needs 187:5,11	145:2,10 196:3	181:9 200:18
247:2,5 250:19	MPhil 20:8	187:18 210:21	nods 129:4	259:1 269:12
255:21 276:15	MSc 19:11 20:4	negative 127:11	non-Bitcoin	numerical
286:8,12	mtayag@sus	127:19 240:16	239:13 249:11	119:18 123:19
289:18 291:4	3:8	240:20	252:14	numerous 268:7
	<u> </u>	ı	ı	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 22
268:19	186:19 187:3,9	111:12 122:6	110:6 111:6	online 22:10,13
NW 1:21 298:1	187:21 188:1	122:10 123:3	118:10 123:21	33:2 47:15,17
299:2	188:13,19,20	124:18,21	124:11 129:16	47:18,19,22
NYDIG 60:5	188:22 189:2	135:18,21	130:3 132:10	49:8 50:14
61:2,3,4,8,13	189:16 190:4,5	147:16 148:7	132:12 133:21	53:4 54:17
61:18,20 62:7	190:17 192:15	148:11,16,18	135:9 137:4	62:17 65:21
62:9,12,17,22	192:18 194:15	152:18 153:20	138:19 143:10	133:18 134:19
63:6,15	195:11,14,17	156:11,19	148:6 151:18	134:21 135:6
	196:5,6,14,16	157:5,9,13	152:1,10	265:18
0	197:6,16,19	158:14 161:13	154:14 155:14	onus 125:11
O 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	198:8,12,13,17	177:10 185:4	158:18 159:2	163:10
8:1	199:3,15 203:1	196:13 216:7,9	161:6 171:16	open 59:21
Oakland 1:3 8:8	203:10 205:17	217:7 253:15	177:2 180:21	127:18 198:6
oath 2:17 9:22	206:3,5,17,22	offerings 254:18	182:12 185:1	228:16,18
135:14	207:12,17	offers 53:5	199:10 202:22	256:4,6 277:17
object 51:16	208:19 209:17	100:15,20	204:6,13,17	open-minded
56:22 57:5,8	209:18	102:5	207:7 209:6,11	240:21 241:2
116:10,20	ODL's 101:16	offhand 174:15	209:14 213:20	246:9 249:7
objection 21:9	oelkhunovich	174:17	214:16 223:14	operate 49:14
42:6 52:5,13	3:9	office 2:6 297:7	223:18 226:21	210:19
103:17 104:3	offer 11:18 13:4	297:15	227:11 228:14	operated 142:12
108:5,21	13:15 14:1	offices 2:2	238:17 241:5	144:14,18,21
113:10 116:7	44:9 49:17	official 274:2,5	244:15 245:19	144:22 197:10
116:21 117:17	50:14 56:8	officially 144:20	246:1 258:7	197:17
171:10 174:12	57:22 89:1	144:21	259:2 260:6	operates 145:2
234:19 256:11	90:5 103:2	officiated 2:16	261:18 268:11	190:18
295:3	105:17 136:16	oh 130:2 161:1	268:14 269:17	operating 197:8
objections 296:5	152:11 167:20	256:6 259:2	272:15 274:8	210:14
objective 112:15	216:13 218:19	oil 162:20,21	283:13 285:16	operation
obligation 57:4	239:4 288:20	163:2,12,14	289:20 293:15	148:19,21
obstacle 189:21	offered 13:12	164:5 176:5,6	294:12 295:5	153:8,18
obtained 18:9	15:3 38:17	Okay 10:9,17	old 134:20 247:2	162:16 193:17
obtaining 22:4	53:19 72:3	16:8 27:11,17	287:3	214:22 291:18
22:17	82:18 83:9,19	37:13 41:2	Oleg 3:4 8:21	operations 65:13
obviously 33:10	83:21 84:2,22	45:20 52:10,12	56:18	65:16 152:13
262:21 265:16	87:7 142:9,11	53:4,9 56:22	omitted 204:2	152:17,22
282:14	154:1 262:11	57:13,14 59:6	on-demand 5:10	153:13,22
occur 109:15	offering 13:11	59:12 61:1	206:12,14	163:7 292:11
occurred 195:9	21:8 90:17,21	71:7 73:15	once 133:1	opine 104:22
October 54:8	91:3,7,13	79:22 80:7	135:20 267:5,8	123:21
284:10 292:19 ODI 100:16 10	93:10 95:6	87:19 88:15	ones 60:10,16	opined 101:15
ODL 100:16,19 101:7 102:1	96:15,19 98:1	96:21 98:8	61:1 83:12	opining 101:21
	100:11,14	102:4,18 103:7	202:20 248:12	157:3
127:3,7,10,16 182:19 185:3,5	101:2,6,18	104:1 105:16	268:7,18	opinion 12:1
185:9,10,13	102:3 103:5	106:1,19	ongoing 61:10	13:4 15:3
103.9,10,13	105:9,12 106:7	107:17 108:2	67:2	21:15 76:2
	=	-	-	-

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 23
84:19 90:10,17	Oppenheimer	opposed 52:1	42:2 94:6,9	53:1 62:20
90:21 91:3,7	3:12 4:3 9:4,4	139:12	160:22 248:5,8	66:2,5,8 68:4
91:13,18 92:4	9:12 11:1	opposite 170:21	over-the-coun	68:11 193:20
92:11 93:2,10	21:10 23:15	173:21 223:4	106:4	194:21,22
95:6,18 96:15	25:22 28:15	239:20	overall 62:4 73:6	194.21,22
,	42:8 52:3,10		240:14	196:1 294:4
96:19 99:19	52:16 53:13	optimistic 253:9 option 49:18		
100:11,14,16	54:5 55:17	297:9,10	overlap 34:5	pandemic 283:14 284:16
100:20 101:2,6 101:19 102:3,5		,	overstated 226:6 overview 88:22	paper 4:19 5:6
101:19 102:3,3	56:5,12,18	options 66:9,10	185:19	73:10 74:22
′	57:2,12,14	68:6,7,13 151:13	owned 62:9 63:1	78:10 74:22 78:12 79:3
103:2,5,13,21	58:10 59:6,12 59:19 87:20	order 96:22		80:4,6,10
105:9,12,17		98:19 104:21	63:6 137:20	, ,
106:7 108:20	88:5 103:22		174:7,18 175:7	139:14,15,22 140:5 150:11
122:6,11 123:3	104:6 108:1,9	108:19 110:10	175:9	
124:18,21	108:13 109:5	127:18 130:12	owning 263:17	203:16,19,22
135:18,21	109:10 110:4,8	134:16 163:17	owns 125:5,6	205:9,15 207:2
142:8,10,15,18	110:14,22	168:6 170:11	172:15 175:12	222:22 223:7,8
147:16,21	113:12 114:19	171:1 181:10	P	223:11,15
148:11 152:18	114:22 115:8	185:10 186:14	P 3:1,1 8:1	230:9
152:20 153:11	115:22 116:4,9	188:1,14 190:7	p.m 26:3 54:8	papers 31:21
153:20 154:2	116:15,19	196:4 197:13	159:7,11 160:6	32:5,16 39:15
156:20 157:5,9	117:1,4,6,9	210:18 213:17	160:9 210:4,8	80:16 111:10
157:13 158:14	118:4,10,18	252:4 287:7	257:5,9 288:1	150:20 253:18
161:13 167:20	130:22 151:3	orders 127:16,17	288:4 294:17	259:9
173:1 177:10	151:11,14,18	ordinarily 9:18	295:9	paragraph 12:22
185:4,8,10	151:21,22	organization	pace 132:20	13:3,19,21
193:4 196:13	159:3,12 160:2	283:22 284:18	page 4:2,7 5:3	14:6 15:6,7
199:15,18	160:10 171:15	285:2,10	6:3 7:3 13:1	29:1 54:16
203:2,5,7	174:16 203:15	original 264:10	24:21 26:2	59:22 60:2,11
206:2 216:7,9	206:10 210:1,9	296:8 297:11	28:22 54:8	60:16 88:16,18
216:13 217:7	229:16 235:3	origination	55:18 102:7	89:3,16,16
218:11,19	237:8 241:9	127:10,16	131:7 144:2	91:21,22 92:1
230:17 239:5	243:1 246:17	oscillate 191:11	204:5,8,8,14	101:12,15
opinions 11:17	249:18 253:2	191:16 192:1	204.3,8,8,14	102:11,19,19
11:21 12:19	254:6 256:18	OTC 106:4,8	243:2,3 259:1	103:7 106:1
13:10,12,15	257:2,10	121:3	259:4,5 260:4	118:20,22
15:13 21:7	258:17 259:21	ought 91:8,10	260:7 266:8	119:5 120:22
72:3 77:21	266:1 270:1	outcome 15:14	268:2,4,8,10	121:21 122:1
78:3,14 80:1	271:6,11 273:3	outflows 183:20	268:13,13,15	123:21 126:1
80:20 81:2,10	275:9 279:21	184:9,14	282:3 284:12	126:22 127:2,8
82:13 83:9,19	280:14 281:21	outlandish	288:22 297:12	128:3,6,7
83:21 84:2,9	284:8 287:20	266:15	298:4 299:11	129:14 131:5
88:22 104:2	288:5,15 290:2	outline 186:16	pages 204:4	132:4,17,18
112:18 148:7	292:17 294:9	outperformed	298:7	135:22 136:2
148:18 149:12	294:12,20	139:21	paid 16:2 38:11	136:19 137:5
248:10	295:5	outside 33:4	paiu 10.2 30.11	138:10 140:8

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 24
142.10.12	76.2 5 100.0	masta 205.14	224.0 12 14	norman 16.10
143:10,12	76:3,5 108:9 113:5 136:8	paste 205:14	234:9,13,14 239:13,22	person 16:19 86:15 120:18
145:19,22 151:1 155:15	140:13 180:22	pathetic 253:7 Pause 88:2 160:7	239:13,22	121:10,17
156:5,16	182:18 233:16	pay 50:6 51:1,3	244:12 247:4	133:18 135:5
150.5,10	244:13 257:22	51:13 52:14	249:5 250:2,14	172:15 173:2
159:14,16	276:5 277:14	55:7 61:20	250:18 251:2,6	213:10 243:20
160:18,19	277:19 278:18	191:14 192:3	251:7,16,22	267:8
161:6 165:3	283:7	192:17 196:6	255:5 261:14	person's 172:21
166:13,16	participant	196:20 197:12	263:20 278:17	217:11
168:12,13	188:2	239:1	281:7 282:17	personal 229:8
176:13 177:2	participants	paying 193:8	282:18 286:2	personally 77:16
177:15 178:18	187:22	239:5	286:11,14,19	perspective 4:20
179:6 182:14	participating	payment 62:15	287:6 291:6,7	163:4 232:2
182:15 184:6,7	187:4,10 188:4	189:22 190:3	294:6,8	pertain 96:5
185:2 186:17	188:12,19	195:20 234:2	Pepe 227:6	Peruses 11:4
189:16,19	189:3 196:5,19	payments 190:7	percent 48:20	91:20 117:18
194:9 199:14	particular 30:7	206:20 234:4	62:4,5 125:6	205:2
204:14,21,22	62:7 63:2	234:11,16	175:17,18,18	pesos 186:10,14
205:4,8 206:2	76:12 92:3	PayPal 201:21	182:1,2,3	191:18,21,22
206:17 208:2	96:20 99:8	pedaled 279:12	196:8,18 197:2	192:1
209:1 210:11	100:5 105:17	pedophile 293:1	224:16,17,19	Ph.D 297:1,4
210:13 214:17	106:2 107:4,5	293:9	225:19,19,19	298:5 299:9
214:19 216:17	107:7 108:19	peer 14:22,22	percentage	phase 198:9
216:19 218:19	109:15 111:18	peer- 39:10	48:18 50:5	PhD 1:13 2:1 4:2
220:3,12	119:16,20	73:15	62:2 224:13	9:8 20:19,21
223:18 227:12	120:11 134:12	peer-reviewed	perfectly 210:14	20:22 21:6,21
233:8,12 259:5	143:18 153:15	73:7 75:3,12	perform 93:22	22:4,8 23:3
259:7 260:8,22	170:4 175:15	258:8	94:3 95:2	25:6 27:17,19
266:10,18	201:7 235:21	penalty 285:5,11	107:14 170:16	28:3 29:4 30:3
270:9 293:17	242:5 245:17	285:15	performance	30:9,16 31:3
paragraphs	247:22 276:11	pending 116:12	140:3,4 168:22	36:6,14 37:9
88:21 100:21	289:15 290:17	people 24:8,9	170:11	37:18 42:11
102:14 124:9	particularly	44:9 50:1,5	performed 78:8	72:4 270:22
124:12 125:20	100:4 146:18	72:12,16,17	94:14,20 168:5	271:5 295:8
152:1,5,8	224:9 239:1	107:10 112:10	performing	PhDs 36:9
154:15 205:3	249:13 250:7	121:15 134:4	94:12	Phelps 39:6
parameters	251:13	134:18 135:5	period 60:20	phenomena
97:17,19	parties 202:1	139:18 146:15	107:11 129:22	99:13
paraphrased	278:17	146:19,21	131:16 139:21	photo 243:6
205:12	partners 186:20	153:6 154:9	153:12 166:5	phrase 129:13
parent 63:9	party 113:4	192:3,9,14	208:6,14 212:1	phrased 114:1
parentheses	passed 207:16	193:5 201:10	217:1 224:9	phrasing 53:8
106:4 179:11	209:18 268:22	201:12,14	297:13	113:18 118:8
part 15:12 31:18	passport 85:15	215:21 221:18	periods 90:22	283:17
38:8,16,18	85:17,20 86:12	231:14 232:10	permission 58:4	physical 44:10
55:20 66:3	passwords 70:15	232:17 233:3,4	permitted 86:9	71:9
	•	•	•	•

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 25
	(0.22.09.2		151.10	106.5 9 21
pics 243:12 picture 242:17	60:22 98:2	possible 93:22 113:7,11	151:10	106:5,8,21 107:6,13
243:4,12,13,18	112:11,12 114:11,17	120:19 121:18	premise 231:18 preparation	110:17 111:3
244:3,10	125:4,6,10	140:22 141:1	15:11 17:3,10	111:19 112:17
pie 96:11,12,14	139:16 142:1	163:13 171:1		113:2,5,5,8,16
piece 171:5	144:6 146:19	176:5,6 190:11	prepare 16:8,15 17:6	113:2,5,5,6,10
pilot 198:5	153:17 159:4	192:10,15	prepared 80:19	119:1,8,12
pimps 293:1,9	160:15,18	194:11,15,20		120:4,10,15,20
plinps 293.1,9 place 36:8 41:22	161:4 170:5,13	194.11,13,20	preparing 77:13 present 3:21	120.4,10,13,20
52:4 86:19	182:10 184:2	216:1 222:8	41:4,7 70:2	122:12 123:14
90:19 114:3,11	211:14 235:21	256:7,13,15	89:17 125:12	123:22 124:5,6
184:3 185:16	238:22 239:17	291:6	157:14 163:12	123.22 124.3,0
221:6 260:15	255:20 260:10	possibly 256:20	172:6 198:14	125:8,21 127:4
places 84:10	267:22	post 23:16	presentation	127:6,12
plaintiff 3:3 8:22	pointless 36:18	257:19 282:1	126:17	128:10,13,19
plaintiffs 83:3	points 25:15	292:19	presented	128:10,13,19
295:1	143:15 222:4	postdated	105:13 111:10	128.22 129.19
plaintiffs'	239:15 242:14	280:15		140:20 148:20
155:20 156:7	267:12,15	posting 206:11	presenting 255:19	149:3 156:7,9
156:20,22	policy 21:4 31:7	posting 200.11 posts 4:8,9,13,14	presents 115:7	156:22 157:1,6
150.20,22	political 260:13	5:12,13,14,15	156:1	158:21 160:20
planner 71:18	286:10	5:16,17,18,19	pressure 127:19	163:2 164:21
planner /1.18 plausible 63:8	politicians	6:19 7:4,5,6,7	presume 82:8	167:2,13
166:9	276:18 277:1	7:8,9,10	111:10 126:16	168:22 170:2,3
play 55:19,20	277:10	potential 184:10	195:16,18,22	170:12,16,18
56:21 57:9,17	Ponzi 241:15	Potentially	212:16 243:21	171:8,18 172:3
225:9	poor 20:1	153:4	244:6 250:13	171.8,18 172.3
played 56:7	pop 119:1,8,12	power 286:14	pretense 260:14	177:20 216:11
57:20	120:4,9	powers 245:16	pretty 16:14	217:2,3,9,10
player 219:13	portfolio 122:20	praxiological	27:1 154:13	217:2,3,9,10
playing 57:8	portion 90:6	35:22	173:12 244:19	218:1,9,11,16
please 9:14	205:4 223:22	praxiology 35:14	245:22 250:17	218:21 219:17
12:22 59:20	227:13,16,20	35:17	271:4	219:22 220:5,7
88:16 118:20	228:6,7 258:11	precise 165:15	prevent 136:4,20	220:18 225:17
126:22 156:14	pose 281:16	predict 225:8	137:7 138:12	225:18 226:8
182:10 210:10	282:11	234:7	138:17,21	226:15 228:19
274:11 297:6	posited 225:12	predominantly	276:12	286:8,13 291:5
297:10,11,14	position 42:16	154:18 260:10	previous 208:7	prices 124:1
plot 182:3	42:18,19,20	preexisting	208:15	165:19 183:12
plus 232:5	43:6,13 125:15	229:1	previously 22:8	226:3
podcast 4:15,17	positions 46:12	prefer 114:12	89:13 240:17	pricing 161:14
55:6,16 58:11	positive 172:20	115:5,12 118:8	287:15	161:21 162:13
podcasts 25:10	240:16,22	151:6 185:15	price 75:4 90:12	162:22
26:7,13 251:15	possibility 85:9	preference 87:11	92:6 95:11	primarily 25:1
point 43:22 48:5	145:5,7 228:18	87:15	96:18 97:3	47:15 97:12
48:15 51:8	256:17	preferences	99:8 105:10,18	170:3 263:17
		•		

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 26
primary 45:5,8	proceeding	128:9 129:18	127:14	188:19
principal 256:9	294:16 296:3	130:7 131:17	programmatic	provided 72:11
principals 154:8	proceedings	131:22 134:10	182:18	72:14 81:5,14
principals 194.8	88:2 160:7	142:9,10,14	programming	108:16
principle 150.15	process 58:4	147:15,19	143:21	provides 155:21
75:21 76:9	109:18,18	148:6,11,17	projects 19:16	188:9 266:16
126:6 154:16	113:6 185:19	149:8,11,16	19:22	proving 163:18
154:21 155:3	188:20 194:7	150:5,9,15	promote 53:1,3	proxies 97:5
154.21 153.3	195:14	150.5,9,15	139:7 241:15	proxy 94:6
261:20 269:9		156:4,10,19	286:18	_ •
291:22	proctor 55:8	, ,		psychology 32:12
	procure 186:14	158:1,15,19	promoted 62:17	
print 240:8	produce 56:15	160:12,16	65:21	public 19:19
247:4	123:18	167:11,21	promoting 242:7	102:21 103:4
printer 290:20	produced 81:18	168:18 170:19	242:8,10,11	283:14
printing 247:3	producers	172:7 177:17	promotion 62:20	publication
264:4 291:10	276:11	178:18 179:1,4	66:2	73:13 74:6
291:13	produces 162:20	179:8 181:2,8	proof 125:11	76:20 261:1
prior 234:20	product 100:16	181:14 182:7	281:9	262:3,14
private 19:20	199:3	182:16,22	propaganda	264:14,21
52:1	production 57:3	183:4,8,19	36:14 258:10	272:19 274:2,6
privileged	Profess- 105:14	184:19 196:15	259:11 261:9	publications
109:11,12	professional	198:10 207:18	261:13 289:6	18:19,21 38:9
Prize 33:20	72:1,5,9,11,13	208:2,6,14	289:11	73:3 74:13,17
probabilities	72:15 239:2,3	216:4,6,7	property 14:19	75:4 258:8
123:20	professor 10:13	228:19 270:22	263:18	259:15
probably 18:3	13:5,12 15:2	271:15,16	proposal 251:21	publicly 56:21
22:11 23:8,10	27:9 31:17	profile 6:17	proposed 176:12	57:3 209:16
36:12,16,19	32:14 42:13	242:17 243:3	proposition	229:5 253:15
68:3 83:15	45:10,21 46:5	243:11,13	121:3	publish 39:13
133:20 137:3	46:6,8,10 81:5	244:3,10	prospectively	77:2 236:14,17
222:16 224:18	81:18 84:8,17	250:18 271:13	194:19	262:11,20
229:10 230:5	85:5 89:4,12	profit 172:20	prostitutes	263:10,22
230:19,22	89:16 90:6,9	173:17 176:2	254:16 255:12	published 19:1
236:5 239:8	90:18 91:8,11	215:20,20	protected 108:7	31:21 32:5,16
252:16 255:10	91:14 92:4	280:8	109:18 284:20	39:10,17 73:6
277:12 283:16	93:2,11 95:7	profitability	protocol 142:4	73:11,15 74:10
287:18,19	95:18 96:22	169:16,22	146:8 221:3	75:13,20
problem 170:7	100:11,15	171:3 175:22	232:8 281:9	139:22 154:21
194:1,3 200:7	101:3,21 102:5	profitable 176:1	provably 200:10	237:1 258:20
200:12 263:7	104:22 105:14	profiting 173:7	prove 105:15	259:9 260:2
286:10 287:2	105:21 107:16	program 25:6	169:20	261:21 262:6
problems 222:5	112:2 113:14	27:20 28:3	proves 173:19	263:14 265:15
222:14 239:10	113:19 114:5	30:3,9,16 31:3	provide 25:10	266:3,21 267:4
263:14	115:6,11,13	31:5 37:14,18	82:11 108:2	267:20 269:2
Procedure	117:14,22	50:12 198:5	110:9 114:20	270:3 272:17
297:14	124:22 125:11	programatic	167:21 182:9	273:21 274:18
		l *	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 27
274:22 275:2	quantitative	138:7,7 142:4	235:22	86:21 177:3
publisher 76:12	75:4	198:5	reactions 288:9	206:21
77:4 262:2	quantities	quotation 120:1	reacts 161:15,22	reasonable
264:13	125:17	quotation 120.1	read 29:12 78:19	125:10
publishing 76:13	quantity 210:22	54:17 84:12	80:16 82:17	reasoning 12:8
76:19 77:1,4	quarter 208:19	119:14 211:17	83:13,18	reasons 154:4
269:5	Quarterly	270:10 280:5,6	137:18 149:18	198:6 216:14
pull 57:15	129:15,16	quoted 192:20	183:9 185:15	226:10 262:17
punch 92:1	question 29:16	quoteu 172.20	196:15,16	rebut 13:11
purchase 123:13	34:22 52:11	R	204:20 208:9	105:21
163:21 164:8	60:12 78:21	R 3:1 8:1 271:14	223:16 269:6	rebuttal 11:2
purchased 63:11	85:3 87:14	Raicho 39:7	270:13 274:18	26:18 59:21
64:17 69:13,17	94:4,19 95:22	raise 123:14	274:20,21	83:10 102:10
69:19 70:19	96:10 107:8	229:21	275:13 298:6	102:15
232:10 291:19	108:12 110:5,7	raised 281:7	reader 266:16	rebutting 13:16
291:20 297:8	110:13 111:15	raising 281:12	readership	recall 79:9,16
purchasers	113:3,4 114:13	rallying 266:11	263:20	81:21 82:14
133:22	115:15,18	ran 113:14 132:1	reading 85:10	84:5 120:21
purchases 69:4	116:1,10,12,12	random 268:19	105:2 126:19	150:13,20
purchasing	116:13,16,20	range 62:3	128:3 149:20	182:11 252:19
245:16	117:2,6,7,10	224:17	149:22 150:20	253:17,22
purely 119:21	118:5 122:10	rapist 289:6,11	188:22 196:17	270:18 284:4
purpose 91:10	138:8 148:14	rarely 266:16	208:1,16 258:5	receive 277:17
93:9 152:12,14	151:7 155:8,10	rate 15:10 180:8	reads 266:10	285:5,11
152:16 153:14	158:10 163:3	180:9,10	ready 271:7	received 20:22
153:21 170:17	164:6 172:8	181:18 182:11	297:6	63:3 146:20
215:19 227:16	174:14 175:2	293:20	real 260:11	reception 265:14
purposes 153:3	176:16 187:8	rationale 153:16	281:16 282:11	recess 59:15
Pursuant 2:14	188:11 208:8	214:20,21	realistically	118:14 159:8
pushing 139:8	208:12 214:12	234:1	282:16	210:5 257:6
put 115:13	214:15 217:16	rationales	realize 231:13	288:2
116:14 141:13	232:12 233:21	215:11 222:3	232:17	recipient 186:9
261:7 284:2	242:7,16	reach 12:8 104:2	realized 45:19	186:15 187:18
putting 82:20	256:13 285:8	147:9 211:13	67:22 202:15	202:21
243:11	questions 22:5	reached 90:14	really 24:11 30:1	recipient's
	51:17 93:7	112:18 126:18	33:13 34:8	187:20 200:17
Q	110:2 115:21	128:2 150:6	42:17 48:4	recognize 270:5
Q3 209:9	294:10,11	reaches 86:15	65:17 67:20	recollection
Q4 209:9	297:14 298:10	reaching 207:20	70:8 135:7	272:21 273:17
qualifications	298:13	215:12	145:14 176:14	277:11
13:22	quick 286:6	react 122:18	197:6 238:1	record 8:19 9:14
qualified 14:1	287:20	164:15	255:18 292:3	45:20 55:17
94:11	quickly 250:19	reacting 112:3	realm 256:16	59:14,17 73:3
qualifying 85:1	267:18	161:11 165:18	realtime 160:4	74:16 87:21
quantify 122:14	quite 113:18	166:12 183:11	reason 10:4 36:2	88:1,4 118:11
123:8,16	120:14,14	reaction 162:3	63:5,7 79:6	118:13,16
		<u> </u>	l	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 28
124:15 131:2	reflected 57:17	143:13,19	12:12,18 13:1	199:14 205:1
159:4,7,11	180:14	278:6	13:5 15:4,7,12	207:19 208:3
160:3,6,9	reflects 242:3	rely 83:8,21 84:2	15:18,21 21:22	209:2,3,4,12
161:1 207:22	refrained 218:15	109:22	26:18 27:5,9	210:11 213:21
209:5 210:2,4	refresh 197:1	relying 84:8,10	32:2,15 37:2	214:9,13
210:7 214:6	272:21 273:17	84:18 85:6	54:15 58:22	214.5,13
257:3,5,8	refute 145:7	remaining	59:21 77:14	226:19 233:9
288:1,4 294:17	regard 208:13	214:20	78:4,22 79:15	233:13 253:19
294:19,21	239:16 290:8	remember 24:2	80:13,20 81:3	270:8 293:16
295:6	regardless	25:12,14 26:21	81:7 82:9,16	Reported 1:19
recorded 296:6	164:21,21	27:10,12 36:7	82:21 83:10	reporter 2:15
298:10,14	263:22	40:19 41:13,14	84:9,14,17	8:16,19 296:1
red 252:2	regular 29:5,13	48:5 51:7 53:7	85:5,7 88:15	296:2,22
reduced 261:1	29:19 30:2	55:13 60:22	89:13 90:1	reporter's 296:9
reducing 122:18	regularly 68:22	65:7 81:16,19	91:19 98:4	reports 13:16
refer 30:5 50:6	223:17	82:3 84:6	100:9 101:12	16:12 83:2,4,8
89:3 118:6	regulated 58:7	120:11 121:20	101:16 102:5,7	85:10 107:1
139:13 146:22	regulators'	135:8,10	102:12,19	179:10 209:16
178:12 255:5,7	254:18 255:15	144:12,15	105:14,21	represent 142:14
reference 12:4	reinvented 222:1	150:18 184:2	106:2 109:19	198:19 199:1
126:15,15	reject 33:7 155:3	197:5 227:3	114:3,6,17,21	261:4
156:15 191:2	155:11	236:13,15	115:11 117:14	representative
208:4,13 215:3	relate 21:16	250:15 255:3	118:6,19	253:8
284:22	74:17	267:17,18	119:16 121:21	Representatives
referenced 297:6	related 47:6	269:9 283:17	124:10 126:1	290:7
references	107:7 124:14	287:17	132:4,17	representing
266:17	289:15	remittance	134:10 136:1	8:17 57:10
referral 50:3	Relates 1:8	202:14	136:17 142:3	reproach 293:5
referred 243:22	relationship	remittances	143:11,15	reproduction
referring 19:19	50:18,22 61:7	200:4 201:1	144:3,11	296:20
128:17 133:12	99:3 111:21	remotely 296:3	145:20 147:20	request 108:18
137:12 146:13	149:2	remove 96:2	149:8 150:16	109:7,9,14
158:1,8 161:17	relationships	263:10	151:2 155:16	111:4 264:22
180:7 181:18	107:5 158:21	removed 265:6,7	156:4,16	requested
190:4,17	release 142:7	render 91:15	157:18 159:14	264:19
195:13 211:6	relevance 21:22	92:5	160:13,16	require 84:15
224:6,9 248:14	relevant 12:19	repeat 36:14	161:7 165:4	163:20 195:20
278:3 290:18	21:7,12 72:2	40:11 94:18	166:14 168:12	212:15
refers 19:15,22	83:14 84:5	110:20 113:17	170:19 172:6,8	requirement
78:10 99:13	214:2	156:14 187:7	177:15 178:12	183:13 214:3
114:9,10,14	reliable 136:12	208:8 289:5	179:5,7,18	requires 78:7
137:11 208:5	reliably 200:10	replace 287:6	180:18 181:2	185:11 281:9
276:10	relied 82:13	replicate 104:11	182:9,13 184:3	reread 16:12
reflect 18:8,14	83:18 109:21	report 10:12,13	184:5 185:2	269:6
80:22 230:3	111:9 193:8	10:18 11:3,7	186:17 189:17	research 38:15
260:18 261:15	relies 76:2	11:16 12:3,7	196:15 198:11	38:20 39:3,8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 29
39:11,16 43:10	177:5	rid 263:11	122.11 14	277.14.20
43:16 45:1	returning 65:19	Ridge 62:10	132:11,14 135:13 137:8	277:14,20 278:7,15 279:3
78:7 134:2	returning 03.19	63:10,15	137:21 138:14	279:8,13 280:1
223:15 266:5	129:20 130:2	right 10:2 11:8	137.21 138.14	280:9,21 281:2
researched	132:1 158:22	11:18 12:20	142:9 143:5	281:9,18 282:8
222:21 223:7	166:19 167:5	16:1,3 19:9	145:3 148:10	283:15,22
Reserve 291:20	167:20 169:1,4	20:12 21:1	150:21 152:8	285:17,22
resident 86:5	177:6	22:1,13,18,21	150.21 152.8	288:10 289:18
resistant 221:16	revenge 293:4	23:7 24:18	154:17,22	291:3 292:1
resource 78:16	review 6:10,21	25:3,17 30:4	159:5 161:3	294:9
respectfully	77:16 81:8	30:13 31:12	164:4 168:10	rights 263:18
115:22	108:18 110:11	32:3 33:1,8,21	168:11 169:6	ring 245:15
respond 13:5	110:15 111:1	34:16 35:15	171:9,19	Ripple 1:5 3:11
273:14	114:18 149:8	36:3,18,21	172:21 177:14	8:6 9:6 14:8
responding	150:8,14,21	37:4,15,19	178:9,13	92:10,15,22
101:10	189:1,2 262:2	39:1,18 42:14	179:22 180:12	93:20 94:7,9
response 90:6	262:9 266:2,6	42:21 44:13	181:6,11 183:1	94:10 96:6
101:18 102:10	266:8,22	46:22 49:12,19	183:17 184:2	97:6,7 98:11
162:7 266:21	267:12,17	50:6,15 55:12	184:17 192:1,4	98:15,17
275:3 290:10	270:19,21	55:14 57:11,12	192:7 193:14	102:20 103:3,8
responses	272:1,17	58:2,3,12,15	196:7,11	103:14 105:18
283:14	273:14,18	58:19 62:18	199:13 201:3	106:10 107:3
responsibilities	274:10,14,17	66:6,16 67:9	202:18 203:20	110:16 111:2,7
37:21	274:21 275:3	69:4 70:4	204:2 206:6	111:17 112:3
responsible	275:10,16,20	71:10 72:5	207:5 208:20	112:16 118:1
278:14,16	297:8	73:8,16,19	208:22 209:13	119:14,20
responsiveness	reviewed 39:11	74:3 75:1,8,18	209:21 219:18	122:1 123:12
226:1	73:16 79:17	76:3,7,10 77:5	219:22 228:10	124:13,18
rest 119:18	82:17 150:9	77:21 78:17	229:6,9 230:15	126:4,9,13
140:9 224:3,12	203:5,10	79:17 80:13	232:11 234:9	127:2,6,9,13
228:1,9	reviewer 267:3	83:19 84:11,19	235:5,8,12	127:22,22
restrict 277:6	267:13	85:7,13 88:19	236:4 237:2,19	128:11,11,16
restrictions	reviewing	90:12,15 93:5	237:21 239:7	128:17 130:6
206:18	114:21	98:4,13 99:5	239:14 244:18	132:19,22
rests 96:1 276:15	reviews 265:15	99:21 100:13	245:1 246:2	134:5,11,14
result 123:17	265:18 270:13	101:4 102:6,12	247:13,16	135:15,19
141:22 168:6	270:16 276:2	105:19 110:4	249:3,12 252:8	136:3 137:5,20
173:21 177:5	288:6	111:20 112:1	252:11,18,20	138:10,13,21
resulting 170:9	revolutionize	112:19 113:9	253:12,20,21	139:1,11,17
170:10	231:20	113:16,21	255:16 256:2,5	140:15,18,22
results 291:4	revolutionized	114:6 116:15	261:21 262:6	141:5,8,11,20
resume 44:19	231:21	120:12 124:2	264:11 265:9	142:3,12,15
retained 229:2	rewarded 259:8	124:15,20	272:17 273:15	143:3,19 144:6
retroactivity	rhetorical 277:4	125:21 126:10	274:6 275:17	144:8,14,18,21
194:20,21	Richardson	126:20 128:4	275:21 276:6	145:1 146:6,10
return 160:20	38:16 39:9	130:13 131:5	276:18 277:1	146:16,20
	•	-	•	•

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential

Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 30
147:14,22	295:2 297:3	176:1 193:7	182:18 183:5	162:16
148:8,11,12,18	298:4 299:8	195:17 196:4	209:8 226:2,3	scam 229:9,20
149:2 152:7,10	Ripple's 90:11	202:17,19	226:4	230:7 247:2
152:21 153:2	96:18 97:2	263:13	sampling 132:9	283:4,11
153:11 159:17	100:16 105:10	running 162:5	satisfactory	scammed 280:7
160:12 161:8	106:3,8,20	196:7 247:2	125:2	scammer 281:13
164:14,15	107:12 113:21		satisfy 210:21	scammer 201.13
165:6,14 166:9	118:1 119:19	S	satisfying 165:8	229:22 279:13
168:14,16,17	119:21 122:7	S 3:1 4:1,5 5:1	saw 111:15	scams 280:17
168:19 169:8,9	123:3 125:1,20	6:1 7:1 8:1	121:13 164:16	scarce 14:21
169:10,17,18	138:4 143:14	sacrifice 291:1	244:15	scarcity 14:16
170:9 171:7,13	152:12,17	sacrifices 291:2	saying 21:20	scared 280:8
171:17 172:2	153:7,12,18,21	safe 44:11	22:2,2 45:22	scenario 97:22
172:14 173:6	160:22 172:10	Saif 76:13,18,22	51:6 84:20	schedule 164:18
173:10,13	174:9 183:20	77:3 261:21	106:10 109:3	165:15,17
174:7,18,21	218:22 227:13	268:6,18	123:5,7 124:22	166:1,11
175:4,5,6,7	228:21 255:9	Saif's 266:14	137:4 164:19	scheme 127:3
176:18,19	Ripple-based	Saifedean 1:13	170:8,14	182:19
177:4,8,11	117:20	2:1 4:2,11,16	176:20,22	schemes 241:15
182:16,17,18	rise 120:15	4:18 5:9,20 6:4	178:18 180:7	scholar 40:9
183:11 184:10	235:14	6:11,14 8:5 9:8	180:17 207:8	scholarship
184:16 185:12	rises 291:5	9:15 23:17	217:12 221:10	260:15
189:22 197:21	risk 186:22	24:17 257:16	228:6 233:17	school 6:15 23:6
198:1,3 204:10	188:20 189:5	294:16 295:8	242:6 250:14	25:2 32:19,22
205:19,21	189:10,13	297:1,4 298:5	250:20 251:2	34:19 35:3,14
206:15 207:10	robustness 132:8	299:9	251:22 285:4,9	37:8,9,12
209:8 215:12	role 37:22 38:12	Saifedean.com	Saylor 50:15,18	154:16 287:11
216:8,14,19	38:13,17,21	49:12,18,22	51:11 52:20	289:4 292:1
217:2,4 218:20	39:4 43:9	50:4	53:2,5 54:22	schooled 289:4
219:1,4,7,9,16	61:10 66:4,5,6	sake 190:6	55:5,12 58:21	schools 34:7
219:20 220:14	233:19	salary 66:8	Saylor.org 53:19	289:3
220:17,20	roughly 17:2	sale 153:11,15	54:11,17 58:15	science 21:4 31:7
221:7,22 222:1	62:6 68:2	164:8 172:20	says 29:13 42:9	150:1,14,20
222:9,21 223:1	107:11 241:1	224:2,10	89:17,19 106:3	Scientific 67:6,6
223:4,4,7,22	RPLI_00499634	225:11,13	122:16 126:4	67:12
224:7,11,16,18	4:21 131:3	sales 48:10 106:4	131:11 132:7	scope 105:20
225:22 227:22	RPR 1:19	106:8,17 121:3	132:19 136:3	screen 55:20
228:5 229:2,8	296:15	122:7,11 123:3	142:15 143:13	se 292:3
229:19 230:4,7	rubbish 34:16	127:14 128:12	156:5 157:19	search 110:9
231:7,10,14	ruined 251:8	152:14,16	160:19 184:7	seater 56:6,12
232:4,18,21	Rule 108:7 109:3	153:21 163:21	204:9 206:17	57:15
233:22 236:14	rules 221:21	164:18 168:16	207:20 229:19	second 45:14
236:18 237:1	297:14	169:9,10,11	237:10 241:14	46:7 54:7
237:11 238:3,4	run 48:5 160:20	170:9,16 171:1	254:10 274:10	56:13 87:21
253:15 255:2,5	161:14 162:13	173:7 174:9,19	293:19	94:2 103:8
255:14 294:21	162:22 167:11	175:4 176:18	scale 127:11	121:22 160:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 31
166:17 204:20	220:15 225:18	selling 47:15,18	136:2 137:5	Shams 89:19
206:16 224:1	230:1 232:1	47:19 49:7	138:9 140:8,9	90:3
241:14 259:5	234:1 235:1,22	103:3,9,15	143:12 146:14	shared 229:5
262:8 267:20	237:13 239:15	112:22 113:1	155:18 157:18	shareholders
282:2	241:20 242:14	127:19 132:20	159:17 161:7	173:15
seconds 57:18,19	242:18 247:6	153:17 162:3,6	166:17 168:13	sharing 25:14
section 18:5,8,11	249:22 251:12	164:20 165:1	177:3,8,16	sharpen 87:14
18:14,18,21	252:14 254:13	200:15,16	178:17 179:8	148:15
19:4,7 77:8	254:20 259:2	216:22 217:20	180:7 183:9	sheet 297:11
88:19 89:11	259:12 260:16	220:3 227:13	186:18 190:20	298:11 299:6
102:4 155:4,12	271:17 273:8	291:18	204:9,15 208:7	shift 255:15
185:3 189:16	274:12 282:4	sells 122:1 154:4	208:15,16	shifting 254:17
securities 253:16	284:13 289:7	162:11	209:7 214:18	shitcoin 241:15
security 254:18	290:12 293:6	send 186:1,9,12	220:2 224:1	244:16,20
see 14:3 15:15	293:22	191:13 195:3	227:11 241:14	245:13,18,19
18:12 23:9	seeing 180:11	200:2 201:17	270:14 282:7	253:10
25:17 26:4,10	seek 88:22	201:18 274:10	sentences 128:8	shitcoiners
29:8 43:10	222:19	274:14 291:12	248:13	253:8
45:3,16 53:21	seen 57:7 171:21	sender 186:5,6,6	sentiment 25:15	shitcoins 245:10
54:13,19 60:7	200:18,19	186:8,12	separate 30:20	247:12 249:22
73:18 77:10	215:14,19	202:20	34:8 43:2 90:5	250:1 251:16
83:5 89:6,21	266:7	sender's 186:4	148:20 171:22	251:17 252:1,5
100:22 102:22	segment 166:21	186:10	separately 48:1	252:6,8,11
103:11 106:15	selected 39:15	sending 187:5,11	September	shoes 93:8
108:4,10,15	91:4 107:17,22	187:13,16	50:13 241:13	short 128:21
119:4,9 120:14	297:10	191:17 192:19	242:1 282:2	129:1,3,8,12
122:4,21 126:4	selecting 133:22	201:15 202:8,9	serious 200:7	130:1,3 132:14
126:7 127:9,13	self-employed	sense 27:20 28:4	234:8	169:1 217:1
128:14 131:10	47:11	28:7 29:6,15	seriously 237:11	225:7,13 291:7
131:14 132:7	self-publish	29:18 30:4,22	237:12	short-term
133:2 143:16	262:16	33:22 112:21	servants 43:20	128:9,19,22
146:11 148:2	self-published	125:4,14 200:3	service 44:9	177:17,20
156:2 162:15	76:10	201:17 227:19	193:8,11	shorter 130:7
163:5,6,17,20	Seligman 83:5	231:22 249:9	services 61:5	shorthand 2:15
166:16 167:3	84:3	251:5 264:1	set 154:21	296:1,2,7
169:10 170:9	Seligman's 84:9	282:21	164:18 166:6	show 23:11
171:12,16	84:17 85:5	sent 272:16	176:13 187:3,9	57:16 58:18
173:22 179:15	sell 68:20,22	273:14,18	212:9,11	117:20 132:7
179:20 181:17	125:16 127:17	274:17	213:12,18	135:6 165:18
181:22 182:20	132:22 135:20	sentence 29:13	229:17 241:10	169:18 170:18
184:12 187:1	140:17,18,22	89:18 103:8	246:18 252:10	180:19 184:8
190:1,14	141:5,7 165:16	118:21 119:4	296:4	203:11 206:6
194:22 204:7	171:2 172:18	121:22 122:16	sets 268:6,18	211:20 229:13
204:11,18	186:14 193:6	123:2,12 126:2	setting 199:22	241:5 249:14
209:10 211:2	219:16,20	127:1 128:8	seven 73:6 110:1	269:17 272:20
215:1 217:5	220:17 228:5	131:11 132:18	shades 33:15	275:5 279:16
		•	•	•

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 32
289:20 292:12	279:7	235:19 247:19	119:16 209:13	stake 136:4
	ites 257:19	281:4	sources 106:9,12	137:6 138:11
, 0	itting 26:12	software 143:22	127:9 149:22	138:14 139:11
shown 24:16	51:9 80:15	solar 280:17	269:15 293:13	stamps 57:18
221:15	150:19 168:1	sold 68:18 70:11	space 60:4 70:7	stamps 37.18 stand 248:10
shows 179:13	175:10 196:17	70:13 102:20	162:18 248:7	stand 240.10 standard 6:5,10
181:9 217:3	207:15 213:3	152:21 153:3	Spalding 2:5	6:12,15,21
218:21	226:21 237:18	169:17 173:2	8:11	75:22,22 76:9
- ·	ituation 112:21	223:22 224:7	Spans 5:11	76:21 137:14
65:16	176:8 211:8	251:8	206:15	211:17 260:1
shuttered 40:16	227:3	solution 239:9	speak 133:8,11	261:20 262:6
	ituations	239:14 241:16	speak 133.8,11 speaking 52:5	263:8 264:11
sic 57:6	197:20	solve 194:5	59:4 116:20	264:14 266:3
	ix 17:1 129:2,3	somebody 55:8	specific 111:4	267:9,14,16
signals 36:18	156:18 268:11	104:10 125:16	119:18 152:7	269:5,19 270:2
0	Sixteen 156:17	163:10 166:10	152:10 170:17	270:10,14,17
_	ixth 73:12	175:9 194:5	181:9	270:10,14,17
	ize 163:5,6	231:2 238:2,12	specifically	275:16 278:4
signed 297:11	211:20	243:12	19:21 35:20	286:5,16
0	lavery 6:6 279:2	Someone's	72:6 92:21	287:10 288:7
78:7 113:15,20	280:21	189:13	100:19 161:17	stands 52:11,13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lightly 124:7	soon 52:22	191:10 269:11	110:5
127:11 136:8	202:10	250:11	276:22	Stars 3:5 297:2
	lippage 188:20	sooner 241:17	specification	start 10:17 18:4
	lipped 59:3	241:17 250:3,6	89:18 90:2	36:1 44:1 49:7
	lope 182:5,6	253:11	115:6 170:6,8	61:1,12 76:22
	low 132:20	sorry 28:19	specifications	151:4 180:21
	Slowdown 73:13	36:10 46:7	97:17	184:5 185:21
	mall 113:8	70:22 94:18	specify 164:3	204:14 240:13
121:6 137:1	226:7 276:17	110:20 113:22	175:15	248:15 265:19
146:8 200:8	276:22 277:9	119:2 134:11	spend 15:18	started 26:22
	maller 139:5	156:12 158:4,6	257:18 258:3,5	37:8,9,11 38:1
signing 297:10	212:6,13,19	169:2 177:5	spending 68:22	64:1 77:3
0 0	mart 141:17,21	187:7 203:1	69:2	105:2 243:11
	Smith 38:15	208:8 232:12	spent 15:20 17:3	starting 19:6
simple 226:11	39:9	253:4 268:8,12	132:19	125:4,10
1 - 1	nowstorm	285:8 289:1	split 34:6 212:18	133:14 146:3
simpler 197:14	282:18	sort 16:4 51:16	spoke 135:1,5,11	205:4 259:6
_	ocial 257:19	82:14 152:6	spoken 133:6,13	starts 204:8,15
	ociety 5:5	211:12	133:15 265:11	startup 231:22
single 78:15,15	37:19 38:22	sought 287:6	265:13 267:5	233:6 234:3
144:17 152:20	39:21 40:14,16	sound 4:11	spring 39:1,11	stash 139:17
168:2 215:18	41:4,19 42:5	25:10 26:7,13	103:9	174:2
	often 235:20	34:7 289:5	stable 247:22	state 2:16 8:18
			·····	
115:9 134:22		sounds 287:18	staff 134:11	9:14 29:3
115:9 134:22 135:9 227:9 so	237:15 oftened 234:1	sounds 287:18 source 114:12	staff 134:11 stage 86:16	9:14 29:3 161:8 190:9

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 33
207:22 230:14	162.2 11 12	287:19	184:16	213:4 214:21
241:22 289:6	162:3,11,12 166:19 167:2,7		succeeded 172:2	215:4,5,5,19
289:11	167:12,19	studying 22:3 104:5,12	198:13	215:4,5,5,19
	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
stated 111:18	168:2,3	149:15	success 169:12	216:22,22
252:13,17	stocks 162:7	stuff 109:3	169:12,15	217:21 220:4
287:14	166:19,21	stupid 36:18,21	189:21 238:20	226:12,15
statement 29:10	167:6 168:9	241:15 283:3	suffice 210:19	233:16 264:3
152:3 233:21	Stone 62:10	283:10	211:11 213:4	289:18
283:18 290:14	63:10,15	stylistic 263:3	sufficient 165:18	support 81:6
statements	stop 34:21 151:6	sub-schools	228:8	95:9,15 100:2
124:12 267:15	151:14 251:17	33:22	suggest 52:2	121:3 157:21
States 1:1 8:7	293:1	subject 205:14	118:1 169:21	158:13 247:11
88:7	stopped 67:21	subjective 34:10	174:2 176:9	278:18
stating 112:3	199:6,7	129:5	263:1 292:9	supporting
140:15 182:22	store 136:6	submit 262:1,8	suggesting 52:8	176:12 292:7
statistical 30:18	140:10 233:13	262:10,13	177:7	293:2
98:22	233:18 234:6	submitted 11:3	suggestions	supports 278:19
statistically	245:14	11:10 12:17	263:4	278:21
113:15,20	stored 44:11	13:16 26:18	suggests 173:17	suppose 162:19
117:21 119:13	strategies 65:19	27:9 41:11	Suite 1:21 2:8	177:4 212:20
120:4 121:6	strategy 64:9	subscribed	3:5,15 297:2	218:5
158:20 167:6	65:3	232:14 296:10	298:1 299:2	supposed 51:5
170:10 177:6	straw 253:7	subscribers	sum 123:13	sure 11:4 27:1
statistics 29:20	street 1:21 2:7	48:22 49:18	147:3	29:22 41:1
31:8 104:8,14	3:15 8:11	subscription	summary 88:19	49:4 51:5
104:16	98:18 217:13	50:5	234:18 286:6	58:16 59:2
stay 41:17	298:1 299:2	subsequently	summer 82:4	61:15 68:10
staying 280:8	strong 151:9	265:3	supervision	74:11,14 75:15
steel 198:12	226:10	subsidize 194:6	296:22	88:10 108:10
stenographically	strongly 236:2	subsidized	supplements	113:22 119:15
296:6	242:13	197:11 198:4	11:10	138:7,8 151:21
step 104:20,20	Structure 4:19	substance 15:13	supply 125:6	156:15 184:4
165:8 194:6	student 29:4	102:15 109:6	128:10,18,19	188:22 199:12
217:3,8,17,19	37:4 42:11,16	109:12,14,15	136:9 140:13	204:7 214:6
217:20,22,22	42:18,21 43:2	156:5	143:1,1 166:1	224:22 240:15
218:16,21	43:3,3	substantial	173:16 175:17	271:4 272:18
steps 111:6,19	students 43:17	223:22 227:13	175:18,18	273:13 279:14
119:11	studied 31:3,16	227:15,18,20	177:18,22	286:5
Steven 83:5,22	161:15,22	228:5,7 292:9	178:2,4,13,20	surprise 144:16
stick 137:15	211:15 230:8	substantially	179:2,10,13,22	144:19
164:19 165:11	studies 45:2	164:1,9	180:3,6,8,11	surveys 235:4
165:11	75:10	substantive	180:19 181:3,4	Susman 3:5 8:22
sticking 166:10	study 14:7,11,15	109:16 292:8	181:6,14,17,18	9:2 297:1
stimulation 42:1	15:1 20:15	substitute	181:22 182:11	suspended 65:12
stock 66:9,10	32:3,6 35:20	286:20	210:18,19	sustainability
68:5,7,13	78:8 164:6	subtracting	211:5,6,7,9,11	20:16
, ,	-	l	l	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 34
sustainable 20:8	talked 133:22	172:16,18	87:1	96:7 98:1
20:9,14,22	134:4,16	172:10,18	testifying 9:21	134:12 164:4
27:18 197:7	177:20 220:11	tens 145:10	10:1	193:6 201:22
swear 8:20	talking 92:21	tenure 46:15,18	testimony 10:5	222:9 226:14
sweeping 157:21	111:17 112:22	46:21 47:7,9	17:14 41:6	245:11 246:6
158:13	194:19 222:4	tenure-track	85:3 171:7,11	251:10 263:4
Swiss 44:13	225:3 236:18	43:5,12 46:12	182:7 214:11	289:15
Switzerland	Tamed 74:20	term 14:13	234:20 247:18	think 14:10 17:1
44:11	tangential	26:22 29:19	250:5 255:9	21:12 24:2
sworn 9:9 296:5	112:11,19	73:1 99:12	296:5	26:15,22 27:2
system 52:4	task 38:10	129:1,3,8,13	testing 150:4	28:2,5,6 32:21
190:5,10,16,18	taught 23:2 25:2	129:18 130:1,3	168:19,21	35:6,8 36:16
193:18 194:11	25:6 30:8,11	130:7 132:14	174:19 225:10	36:22 38:3,6
202:15,17,19	30:16,17,22	137:9,10 169:1	Tether 247:22	40:7,12,20
271:15 276:14	32:22	225:7,9,13	248:3,6,10	41:10,12,21
2/1.13/2/0.14	tautological	227:17 234:16	textbook 258:19	42:1 47:8
T	227:19	238:8,11	Thabit 44:1,3,12	48:12 49:4,6
T 4:1,1,5 5:1,1	taxis 231:22	244:16,17	thank 66:18	51:17 52:4,14
6:1,1 7:1,1	Tayag 3:4 9:2,2	276:7,9 277:9	85:11 152:15	55:1,2,5 58:16
take 9:22 47:22	teach 43:3	291:7	214:16 223:11	59:2 61:14
54:11 55:7	teacher 42:18	terminology	253:1 269:22	63:7 64:11,18
59:7,10 68:16	teaching 42:16	277:3 279:15	292:16 294:12	64:19,22 66:12
72:7 83:16	42:18,20 43:17	279:17	Thanks 47:20	66:13 74:11
85:15 86:19	43:19	terms 25:14	theoretical 185:5	75:16 82:9
96:10 97:20	team 225:16,22	34:19 35:2,18	226:10	83:13,14 84:1
106:1 111:7,20	240:7	61:17 90:10	theory 24:12	84:16 85:4,8,8
115:3 118:20	teaming 268:4	100:19 110:9	30:7,9 35:10	87:9 88:10
119:11 130:15	teams 240:4	129:22 148:9	35:10 152:2,6	91:11 92:16,18
130:16 155:15	technical 101:16	149:1 152:2	154:15 287:11	94:14,20 97:10
165:3 171:5	185:16	162:5 221:2	292:3	100:4 104:15
184:19,21	technology	247:20 255:7	thereof 296:8,10	111:22 115:14
186:11 198:22	74:19 186:19	territory 108:8	they'd 140:17	115:18,19
204:20 209:1	189:22 190:3	test 72:8 93:21	thing 34:10	116:1,2 120:13
213:9 217:8,17	234:3,4	93:22 113:13	40:12 56:20	120:13 123:18
217:21 218:6	tedious 14:9	157:10 168:14	147:12 161:5	124:17 130:6,9
228:4 258:3	teeming 268:16	168:16 169:8	176:2,4 185:16	130:19 132:2
287:20	tell 10:10 35:11	175:4,13	197:5 199:19	136:12 137:9
taken 8:5 62:1	50:1 72:22	176:13,15,18	220:21 226:12	137:19 138:4
198:2 296:3	116:14 154:2	176:21	233:6 234:7	139:16 141:15
takes 186:7	168:6 175:11	testable 155:20	248:1 250:11	141:17 143:6
221:6 237:11	195:2 199:5,11	155:22 157:14	252:8 255:4	143:19,22
Taleb 264:6,15	238:6 241:3	testified 9:10	293:15	144:1,2 145:1
264:17 265:3	telling 175:20	32:21 116:5	things 19:20	145:4,5,11
265:11	251:16	117:12 149:7	29:18 33:10	150:22 153:9
talent 41:20 42:4	tells 280:5	159:15	72:7,16,20	156:12 159:3
talk 20:21 117:4	ten 133:18	testify 86:15	84:14,16 85:4	160:3 162:1
		l	l	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 35
165.0 21 166.9	174.14	101.10 105.2	2.14 9.17 0.5	200.14
165:9,21 166:8 175:3,14,19	174:14 thought 34:1	181:10 195:3 217:1 230:5	3:14 8:17 9:5 296:15	200:14 transactions
175:3,14,19	41:22 70:9	235:19 244:11	token 136:13,14	190:12 192:16
178:14 179:3	202:13 231:19	246:8 247:15	147:11 148:21	193:14 194:12
187:17 188:21	233:5 235:11	254:1 256:1	214:5 234:10	193.14 194.12
196:3 198:3,6	236:15 264:1	257:17 258:1,3	255:6	194.17 193.8
199:7,8 201:12	265:1	258:4 273:20	tokens 122:2,3	201:20
201:16 204:1	thoughts 41:19	274:1 294:10	132:20 210:21	transcribed
205:11,11,16	thoughts 41.19	296:3,4,5	216:21 225:17	296:6
206:4 207:18	thousands	297:13	told 53:4 55:10	transcript 4:6,10
220:11 221:7	145:10 265:17	times 16:18 17:1	58:17 72:16,20	5:2 6:2 7:2
221:14 226:8	265:18	153:3 229:11	105:21	28:16 29:4
227:5,6,8	thread 53:14	tiny 226:2	tomorrow 195:3	79:18,20,21
232:9 233:6	54:7 254:7	tiresome 266:13	tone 266:14	294:22 296:6,9
234:6,21,22	272:20 281:22	title 55:3,4	tools 21:14,19,21	296:19 297:6,7
238:1,20	284:9 289:21	203:17 206:14	top 16:22 27:6	298:7
239:20 240:21	290:3 292:18	today 9:22 10:5	43:1 60:19	transcription
244:15 245:17	threat 281:16	16:16 17:7	84:7 150:17	298:10,12
246:5,8 248:4	282:7,11,14,17	26:12 36:11	184:1 227:3,10	transfer 186:19
248:6,19 249:7	282:20,21	40:14 47:14	290:4	191:13 193:2,7
250:10 251:5,7	three 26:17 39:5	50:9 51:9	topic 21:7 39:8	193:11 201:9
251:11 256:19	40:22 73:18	61:10 64:14	84:6 149:22	transferring
262:18,21	74:2,4 75:20	65:10 66:11	151:5	203:9
263:2,2,5,6,18	76:3 83:13	67:2 68:8,14	topics 21:17 31:6	transfers 200:12
264:16 268:12	86:11 129:2	79:12 85:13	52:9 289:18	201:21 205:22
272:3,18,19	three-week 27:3	86:10,22	total 17:2 147:3	207:12,14,17
276:13,19	throwing 70:9	134:22 135:9	147:6 178:12	transformed
277:2,13	time 8:13 15:17	137:21 138:2	179:14,21	261:12
278:13 279:9	15:20 26:20	138:18 139:3	207:16 210:15	transforming
282:12,13,16	36:7 37:6,7	139:22 140:6	211:7 215:5	20:1
282:20 286:16	41:20 42:3	140:18,22	216:21	translates
287:5,12 292:8	57:18 61:16	141:6 144:10	touting 205:21	194:22
294:21	63:6 64:1	150:19 168:1	tracking 180:2,5	travel 86:22 88:7
thinking 232:1	65:16 68:1	175:10 196:18	trade 187:19	traveled 85:12
248:22	69:21 70:15	201:19 207:15	traded 14:21	treasury 162:10
third 26:2 52:22	77:3 83:16	212:22 213:3	trading 189:20	291:17,17
54:10 131:11	86:9,12 101:8	217:13 226:21	traditions 244:1	treats 92:12
136:2 138:9	101:17 102:1	227:9 230:17	transacting	trend 107:6
140:8 145:22	107:11 109:20	237:18 238:3	200:15	trial 86:15,18
157:18 159:16	115:4 129:22	242:4 248:18	transaction	87:1 198:4,8
161:7 179:8	131:16 133:7	249:10 260:19	100:20 191:7	199:7
204:9,15	140:5 144:6	261:5 279:8	191:11 192:18	trials 197:10
243:15,18,21	152:21 153:12	281:2 289:9	192:19,20	tribunal 284:16
269:9 273:4	162:11,11	today's 8:12	193:8 195:19	284:22
288:21	171:6 179:22	16:9 294:6	195:20 196:1,5	tried 193:5
thoroughly	180:12 181:6	Todd 1:19 2:14	197:13 200:14	trillion 213:14

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 36
trip 17:9	174:1	92:10,17,20,20	86:13,18 89:8	universal 36:1
trolling 253:7	turns 173:17	93:17 96:7	90:9,14 104:16	universities
true 22:7 23:1	TV 280:4	99:7,8,15,16	109:2 114:5	25:11 26:8,14
25:9 27:18	tweaking 252:6	102:14 128:8	147:15 148:6	41:20 42:3
28:2 36:5,9,13	tweaking 232.0	159:21 185:22	148:10,17	261:8,12,16
54:21 147:13	tweaks 203.13	186:1 205:3	153:19 154:6	277:13,17,19
147:13 178:15	26:17 53:15,18	235:15 238:7	156:10 168:18	289:13
178:22 183:19	229:19 230:3	248:13 255:7	172:7 178:7	university 5:4
187:3,9 203:4	236:1,3 237:9	261:18 288:17	180:17 184:14	6:18 19:8
206:1 238:17	241:12 247:10	two-way 98:18	185:14 216:6	22:18 23:7
239:21 253:14	247:16 249:19	type 56:19 88:9	225:1	25:2 29:5
287:14 296:7	253:3,6 254:12	108:3		
			understanding 21:18 78:5	36:17,20 37:18 38:22 41:18
298:9,11	255:17 258:1,2	typo 45:18		
truth 279:10	258:3 266:11	U	104:8,13 105:3	42:10 43:9,16
truthful 10:5	273:4 279:16	U 5:1 6:1 7:1	105:3,5 114:8	43:17 45:11,14
truths 35:19	279:22 282:15	U.S 71:11 87:7	114:14,15,20	46:13,22 53:6
36:2	285:9 288:21	87:16,18	115:2,10 116:6	53:20 54:18
try 70:16 78:18	290:4	191:18,22	117:13,15	57:22 58:22
114:4 148:15	tweeted 254:1	192:12 219:11	142:11,18,19	271:13 272:13
154:7 255:15	tweeting 282:15	245:1 290:7,19	157:2 160:11	280:5 289:3,10
255:21	tweets 24:10	291:12 294:2	187:21 188:12	unlisted 74:22
trying 34:6 40:8	229:17 241:10	Uber 231:20,21	235:13 292:4	unlock 141:7,8
78:1 85:2 99:5	242:16 246:18	232:6	understands	141:11,13,21
99:7 115:19	248:13 254:1	Uber's 232:6	127:10	142:15 143:2,4
116:13,17	257:12 284:9	ultimately	understate 287:7	unofficially
163:11 170:5	Twitter 4:8,9,13	127:22 165:10	understood	145:1
170:16,21,22	4:14 5:12,13	202:4 213:7	112:10 118:7	unpaid 66:8
171:8,18 172:2	5:14,15,16,17	202.4 213.7	124:13 128:12	unpredictable
174:4,5 183:14	5:18,19 6:19	unable 79:13	187:17	193:18
198:11 202:14	7:4,5,6,7,8,9		undertaken	unreadable 22:8
221:18 231:4	7:10 23:6,16	115:9 176:2 unaware 233:7	217:3 218:20	259:8 260:10
turn 12:22 13:19	24:1,22 25:5		unfortunately	unregistered
15:6 17:20	53:14 54:6	unconvincing	24:13 27:7	253:15
19:3 37:1	62:18 135:7	198:15	unhappy 286:13	unworkable
56:11 73:2	236:19 243:3	undercut 266:15	unilateral 221:8	200:7
77:7 88:16	253:20,22	underlying	unilaterally	update 41:10,15
120:22 121:21	254:7 257:20	150:8 157:19	142:16	upgrades 222:5
126:22 128:6	258:4,4,5	158:11,15	Union 201:21	uploaded 243:14
131:7 157:17	267:6,7 272:7	171:17	Unique 144:9,14	Upstream 60:5
159:13 168:11	272:12,16,20	undersigned	144:17 145:2	64:5,6,16
172:19 176:2	280:15 281:22	296:2	Unit 8:11	upwards 44:21
179:4,17	288:9,16	undersourced	United 1:1 8:7	URL 4:15 55:19
182:12 185:1	289:21 290:3	266:11	88:7	55:21
210:10 214:17	292:18	understand 9:21	units 147:3,7	use 24:22 34:19
233:9 293:16	two 33:22 52:21	15:2 22:4 57:9	212:7,19	35:3,7 44:9
turning 27:17	60:22 73:20	70:8 78:1	213:14	58:8,17 69:3
	1	1	1	I

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				rage 37
94:5 97:2	191:8,12 192:6	160:8 210:3,6	void 296:9	150:12 158:9
101:7 122:9	192:21 193:1	257:4,7 287:22	volatility 74:20	165:18 167:18
123:1,15 133:4	194:14 206:19	288:3 294:15	193:16	200:21 236:11
176:12 186:12	210:20 220:13	videotaped 1:13	volume 164:22	250:7
188:1,14	220:19 221:6	8:4 294:16	206:19 207:16	waste 36:21
197:20 199:17	233:14 234:6	view 26:12 34:11	209:17 226:4,6	41:20 42:3
200:22 201:2	234:11 245:14	34:15 99:1	von 34:2	287:1
203:8 207:11	286:8,12	112:18 135:11	vote 286:14	watch 286:11,12
213:7 214:21	valued 147:13	142:8 147:5	voted 291:12	way 48:11 63:4
218:7 234:11	variability	148:2 162:12	voting 290:8	64:20 91:17
239:12 244:17	193:19	162:21 169:17		99:1 109:16
245:13,17	variable 48:10	192:9 193:10	W	114:4 137:17
255:5 257:13	92:9,9 94:1,5	196:4 200:22	wait 46:7 56:13	142:5 151:9,10
278:22 294:7,7	98:19 167:12	216:12 219:17	116:9	155:22 161:19
useful 29:21	167:13	219:21 229:8	waive 297:9	164:12 165:7
31:1	variables 92:10	232:10 234:14	walk 104:20	165:10 171:20
user 257:15	92:20,20	235:18 236:4	wallet 212:8,11	172:1 173:16
users 194:16	variation 160:19	236:10 237:15	212:22 213:10	176:19 190:11
210:22	224:14	239:9,12	213:18	192:10,15
uses 118:9	variety 31:5	244:20 246:1	want 40:11 57:9	193:15 194:5
130:12	51:21 262:16	247:15,19	65:20 92:1	194:11 195:2
usually 202:2	various 146:15	248:9,18,21	95:3 102:18	199:3 200:2
291:19	153:3	252:7 255:14	114:17,19	202:15 205:13
utilization	vary 48:9	256:21 261:8	115:17 130:16	220:8 231:17
207:13	vast 144:4	261:16 281:1,4	136:6 140:10	231:20,21
	verbal 93:14	281:11,15	149:8 168:7	232:15 242:13
V	verified 23:20,22	282:10 283:8	177:21 186:1	242:15 247:2
vaguely 255:3	24:4,9,14	289:9 290:15	191:21 214:6	247:11 248:2
valid 88:13	269:1	291:9,21 292:5	222:6 224:22	250:7 276:13
93:17 95:9,15	verify 267:14,19	viewed 166:12	232:5,7 233:13	279:1,5 280:18
95:21 96:16	version 40:4	viewpoint 233:3	234:9,15,16	286:15
97:1 99:2	244:8	views 33:8,9	238:17 252:3	ways 97:18
105:8 161:14	versus 172:13	111:7 229:1,5	286:14	137:19 246:4,4
162:22	vertical 264:3	230:4 235:5	wanted 108:4	we'll 10:18 25:19
validators 196:2	video 55:15,19	236:13 241:22	110:10 167:10	30:13 47:22
196:6,20	55:20,22 56:4	242:4 256:5	233:18 262:16	55:20 203:12
validity 104:22	56:7 57:9,10	259:15 260:19	wanting 234:12	206:7 229:13
value 14:20	57:18,20 58:9	261:5	wants 94:3	246:14,20
122:3 136:7	79:18	vilified 34:12	washed-up	248:15 249:15
140:11,16	videoconferen	virtual 102:6	254:16 255:11	254:2 258:13
146:9 147:1,2	16:20 296:3	virtue 91:15	Washington	265:19 269:18
147:3,6,7,12	videographer	173:7	1:21 3:16	275:5 289:21
147:17,21	3:22 8:3,16	visa 88:6,9,12	298:2 299:3	we're 53:9 55:14
148:3,4,9,13	59:13,16 87:22	visible 120:14	wasn't 30:11	55:19 56:20
149:4 190:13	88:3 118:12,15	212:5	38:17 42:17	57:17 59:13,17
190:22 191:2,5	159:6,9 160:5	visiting 40:9	84:21 113:17	87:22 88:3
	<u> </u>	l [©]	l	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 38
99:6 118:12,16	whatsoever	269:22 271:4	204:1 205:20	177:16 182:15
130:19 159:6	210:17 211:5	292:16 294:14	204.1 203.20	186:18 189:19
159:10 160:3	whichever	296:4,5,10	workpapers 81:6	210:13 214:19
164:22 166:4	151:10	, ,	81:8,17 181:9	230:16 246:21
		297:8 298:5,17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
204:7 210:3,7	white 33:16	299:9	works 38:18	247:11 249:21
241:6 251:3	Whitney 293:12	witnesses 13:17	105:6,6 185:13	253:6 259:6
257:4,8 272:1	widely 138:2	woke 249:2	185:20	260:8 264:20
275:6 287:22	179:9 270:10	won 33:19	world 4:12	280:3,16 282:6
288:3 292:13	wider 136:5,20	Woods 268:19	145:11 200:2	288:21 292:21
294:16	136:21 137:1,7	word 28:5 58:7,8	213:10 260:12	writes 179:9,12
we've 10:18	137:9,12 138:5	58:18 122:9	276:17 280:18	266:10 268:4
29:22 59:6	138:12,17,22	123:1,15	283:21 284:19	273:13
109:20 199:13	widespread	130:15,17	285:2,10	writing 15:18,21
221:17 222:4	136:10	198:22	286:17 292:6	78:11,22 79:14
222:13 242:15	Wiley 262:6,9,14	words 25:16,18	293:2,10 294:6	80:13 81:3
257:11 273:10	262:18 263:14	115:13 120:8	worldwide 5:11	82:15,21
wealth 136:6	263:16 264:13	137:18 173:22	137:11 206:15	109:18 203:1
140:11 233:14	264:19	175:21	worry 258:22	213:21 214:9
233:18 291:6	willing 242:14	work 13:22	worth 70:9 71:8	214:12 223:15
Webb 293:12	willingly 280:6	15:11 18:15	195:5,6,6	264:4,15
website 22:16,20	wind 241:19	38:11 47:4,4	237:11 266:13	written 5:20 6:4
23:2 49:1,12	280:16	48:14 63:19,22	worthless 36:6	6:11 112:9
49:14,17 50:6	wire 191:13	64:6,10,13	worthwhile	207:5 270:21
51:2,14 52:20	wisdom 243:22	65:2,5,9,15	248:7	275:11 297:22
179:9 192:3	wise 244:4	66:15,19 67:8	would've 97:4	wrong 46:1
193:7 266:5	wish 189:13	67:18 108:11	97:14	64:12 91:4,6
WEDNESDAY	withdraw 34:22	112:2 120:17	wouldn't 28:6	100:12 101:3,7
1:15	60:12 116:13	142:20 145:6,8	33:11 34:4	101:22 112:1
week 257:17	117:7 265:5	167:21 175:8	86:22 112:17	148:12 249:21
weekly 132:1,8	withdrawn	185:5,11 186:3	130:4 135:20	251:12
132:13	91:12 121:16	193:3 199:2	144:19 165:17	wrote 24:20
weeks 26:17	148:16 149:6	201:13 212:3,7	169:20 173:19	53:18 54:10,15
67:21 70:14	155:14 174:22	215:8,10	189:5,10,11	60:2 80:10
274:4	175:2 178:16	251:14 267:14	201:1 218:9	107:10 120:8
weight 272:8	232:15 265:4	269:4 281:9	220:18 234:17	120:18 121:10
well-being 154:8	witness 2:17	293:20	240:14 252:9	121:16,18
went 44:5,20	8:20 27:15	workable 200:20	258:6 263:12	137:18 140:5
67:6 68:15	42:7 52:14	worked 38:15	277:15 278:16	176:17 194:9
106:22 181:22	103:19 104:4	39:5 48:15	write 13:3,21	203:20 233:8
182:1,2 224:15	107:21 110:20	60:3,9,14,21	15:9 26:6	233:12 247:16
weren't 30:8	113:11 115:5	77:5	108:20 118:21	250:6 256:2
43:17 46:17,21	117:18 129:4	working 4:19	119:7 122:1	264:10 270:9
47:7 85:6	151:8 159:5	5:5 15:21	127:2 128:7	270:14 272:1,3
239:5 285:12	171:11 174:13	20:19 38:2,9	146:1,6 155:19	272:4,9 278:2
West 2:7 8:11	234:21 242:22	73:10 200:5	159:17 166:17	283:3 284:15
Western 201:21	253:1 256:12	203:16,19	168:14 177:3	
	200.12		100.11.177.0	

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 39
X	164:20 165:6	218:12,13,14	154:11,13	248:19
	167:13,20	218:14,16,21	155:5 158:9	Zoega 39:7
X 4:5 5:1 6:1 7:1	168:15,20	219:7,17,18,21	161:5 179:19	Zoom 296:3
XRP 4:19 63:1,6	169:4,6,11,18	219:22 220:13	191:7 194:22	zoomed-in 243:4
63:11 64:17	169:19 170:11	220:18 221:1,8	196:11,22	200111cu-111 2+3.+
69:19 70:19	171:18 172:3	222:20 224:1,3	205:16 206:13	0
80:2,2,6,12,12	172:10,16,18	224:8 227:14	207:20 208:9	0.1 182:1
90:12 98:13	173:2,3,6,7,8	228:6,9 229:2	212:5 217:20	0.3 182:2
102:2,6,20	173:10,10,16	230:4,15	217:21 222:16	06753-PJH 1:6
103:3,9,15	174:1,3,8,19	232:10,22	229:12 230:16	
105:6,10,18	175:6,8,9,12	233:3 234:6,15	236:5,9 241:21	1
106:5,8,21	177:9,18,21	235:1,5,10,11	242:2,9 250:9	1 8:4 12:22 13:1
107:6,13 110:17 111:3	178:2,4,7,8,11	235:1,5,16,11	250:14 252:9	13:3 213:15
110:17 111:3	178:20 179:2	238:18,20	253:5 255:10	1:31 159:11
118:3,22 119:7	179:11,13,14	239:6 244:20	255:21 274:7	1:32 160:6
121:4,11 122:2	179:21 180:3,7	250:11 252:10	277:5 287:17	1:34 160:9
121:4,11 122:2	180:19 181:3	255:2 256:5,8	289:19 291:4	1:51 53:16
122:3,7,8,12	181:10,14	XRP's 95:10	292:2	10 6:8 25:1
123:13,14,22	182:17 183:1,5	96:18 97:3	year 24:3 26:8	48:20 54:16
123.13,14,22	183:5,21 184:9	113:21 126:5	39:20 40:1,20	139:2 166:3
124:0,14,14,19	184:15,19	156:9 157:1	47:22 48:9,19	174:7 175:9
125:21 127:4,6	185:12 186:2,4	158:21 216:10	50:13 129:9	184:8 210:16
127:10,12,16	186:12,12,20	217:1 220:4	258:20 260:1	213:14 221:14
127:18,20	187:19,22	228:19 254:15	years 25:1 78:9	10,000 49:5
128:1,10,13	188:10,13,14	254:22	104:5 132:19	10:09 59:14
129:19 132:21	189:6,20 190:6	XRPO 127:19	133:14 139:2	10:20 59:18
133:5,11,22	191:2 192:17	XRPs 140:18	145:12 149:15	10:52 88:1
134:16 135:1	192:21,21	173:12 194:22	208:20 221:14	10:53 88:4
135:10,14,16	193:4 194:7	212:17 219:4,5	221:19,19	100 125:6 173:10
136:4,11 137:6	195:5,11,15,18	219:10,10	222:2 290:16	173:11 210:15
137:7,21 138:1	195:20,21,22		Yep 273:16	10th 241:13
138:5,11,14,21	196:3,6,7,20	Y	yesterday 16:19	11 6:22 59:22
138:22 139:9	197:11 200:9,9	yeah 17:10 22:10	York 61:6	60:2,16 179:13
139:21 140:16	201:2,7 202:17	22:14 23:4	young 261:14	179:17 180:15
140:22 141:2,5	203:1 207:11	26:16 27:2,21	youth 36:21	180:18 181:1
141:20 142:12	209:9,12,16	30:5 35:20	YouTube 57:10	11:07 292:20
142:16 143:4	210:13,15,16	36:4 37:12	57:16	11:36 118:13
143:13,19	210:17,18,22	41:9,9 52:18		11:49 118:17
146:9,21 147:1	211:5 212:2,3	56:18 57:21	Z	11:55 284:12
147:2,3,5,7,17	212:7,9,15,20	66:12,17 71:5	zero 117:22	11th 275:12
148:4,8,13,19	212:21 213:4,5	75:16,19 83:20	119:13 120:5	284:10
149:3 152:12	213:9,13,14,15	103:20 115:5	121:6 168:2	12 17:5
152:14,16,21	213:17,19	117:19 124:7	177:6 220:8,18	12:38 159:7
153:3,6,11,17	215:12 216:8	129:4,17	250:2	124 118:20
160:20 164:10	216:10,14,15	130:19 132:6	zero-sum 246:2	13 1:15 297:4
100.20 1010	217:4 218:7,9	138:19 146:1	246:22 248:16	298:5 299:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	1

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

				Page 40
130 4:21	181 4:17 55:22	197 6:13 270:20	2016 5:6 40:9,11	243:9 244:9
13th 8:13 253:4	56:1 57:6,6	271:2 272:2	44:22 45:6,9	248:22 260:3
14 13:20,21	182 4:19 130:19	275:20	107:11 133:14	2022 5:11 6:9
296:11	130:19,20	198 6:17 271:8,9	139:22 203:17	28:19 29:11
14.3 290:21	131:1,2	271:12	205:17 207:5	107:11 206:15
14.6 290:21	183 5:4 203:12	199 6:19 272:22	229:19 231:1	209:9 249:20
1400 3:5 297:2	203:13,16	273:1 274:9	236:3	250:15,18
149 89:14,15	207:5 223:10	19th 280:16	2017 103:10	254:8
100:21 132:4	223:12 237:2		118:22 119:8	2023 1:15 8:13
156:5 160:16	184 5:10 206:7,8	2	141:3 153:10	11:8,11 13:6
179:5 184:5	206:11 223:9	2 59:17 206:16	236:4 237:10	26:3 53:15
15 15:6 145:12	185 5:12 229:13	224:16,17	2018 6:14,22	65:13 153:10
293:17	229:14,17	2:01 54:8	45:22 46:3,9	218:3 244:9
150 218:5,6	186 5:13 237:5,6	2:33 282:2	46:10,19,20	253:4 280:1,16
155 260:4,7	237:9	2:35 210:4	74:11,18,19	282:2 290:5
15th 292:19	187 5:14 241:6,7	2:50 210:8	75:13,15,16,17	292:19 296:11
16 88:16,18 89:3	241:10 244:16	20 62:4 153:8,8	206:17,22	297:4,20 298:5
156:5,16 204:5	188 5:15 242:19	20-year 12:2	236:6 270:4	299:10
1600 2:8 8:12	242:20 243:2	20,000 52:14	273:8,22	2026 88:14
1615 3:15	189 5:16 246:14	200 6:20 275:6,6	275:12 289:1	203 5:9 7:6
1730 1:21 298:1	246:15,18	275:7,10	2019 24:22 45:1	281:18,19,22
299:2	248:11	2000 38:3 46:7	45:6,9,22 46:5	204 7:7 284:5,6
174 10:20,21	18th 39:16	2001 18:16	46:11,21 47:1	284:9,12
11:2,17 12:11	19 101:12	2003 19:9 37:3,7	47:12 49:9,9	205 7:8 288:12
17:21 37:2	190 5:17 249:15	37:11,14	49:10 61:14,16	288:13,16
54:16 59:21	249:16	20036 1:21 3:16	64:11 68:3	206 5:11 7:9
73:4 77:8	1900 3:5 297:2	298:2 299:3	198:20 199:4	289:21,22
88:15 118:20	191 5:18 252:20	2004 19:12 37:5	236:8 241:4	290:3
124:10 182:13	252:21 253:3	37:8,14,14	202 1:22 3:17	207 7:10 292:13
185:2 209:4	192 5:19 254:3,4	2006 20:7	7:5 280:11,12	292:14,18
270:8 293:16	254:7	2007 20:8 38:3	280:15 298:2	20th 286:16
175 4:8 23:12,13	193 5:20 258:14	2009 42:9 46:4	202)-232-0646	21 220:12
23:16 24:17	258:15,18	201 7:4 279:18	297:15	22 132:17,18
176 4:9 25:19,20	261:19	279:19	202)232-0646	216:17,19
26:1	1932 268:22	2011 21:1 37:3	299:4	218:19 220:3
177 4:10 28:12	1934 268:21	37:15 38:3,6	2020 28:19 49:9	229 5:12
28:13,16 29:1	194 6:4 259:18	40:3,10,10	65:8 89:19	23 4:8
178 4:13 53:10	259:19,22	46:2,4,5,9	207:21 208:6	232-0646 1:22
53:11,14 59:1	261:19	2012 39:17 41:4	208:11,14	298:2
179 4:14 54:2,3	1944 268:20	2013 44:17,17	209:18 236:10	237 5:13
54:6 59:1	1946 268:20	137:21 138:2,6	236:14,18	23rd 53:15
18 13:6 88:18	195 6:8 265:20	138:14,18	241:4 248:22	24 118:22 119:5
211:20	265:21 266:2	139:2 253:4	249:4 284:10	274:3
180 4:15 55:18	268:3	2014 44:17 70:1	2021 54:8 65:8	24-hour 17:9
55:21 56:1	196 6:11 269:18	199:22	67:1 76:20	241 5:14
57:11,17	269:20	2015 70:2 74:20	241:13 242:1	242 5:15
	l	I	I	l

In re Ripple Labs. Inc., Litigation Confidential Saifedean Ammous, Ph.D.

310 3:7		1	
310 3.7	500 15:10 293:20	72 208:2	
32 132:4,5	294:2	74 101:15	
· ·	5129 1:19 296:16	75 290:16	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52 102:19 103:7	76 100:21	
		77 100:21 101:12	
,			
		8	
	· ·	8:04 26:3	
4		8:50 24:22	
4 57:19 159:10		80 174:18 175:7	
266:8		175:17 224:19	
4:18-cv- 1:5		812 1:21 298:1	
4:18-cv-06753		299:2	
8:9			
4:36 288:1	6	9	
4:49 288:4	6 57:19 257:8	9 4:3 106:2,3	
4:57 294:17	268:10,13	111:16 120:22	
4:58 295:9	6:14 289:1	184:8 268:2,9	
40 210:11,13	60 92:2 168:12	268:13	
400 3:15	168:13 175:18	9:08 1:16	
410 207:21 208:5	176:13	9:09 8:14	
	61 91:22 177:2	90 224:19 225:19	
44 152:5	62 185:2	90067 3:6	
45 152:8		90067-6029	
		297:2	
′		90071 2:9 8:12	
		92 5:6 203:17	
		99 225:19	
		99.9 225:19	
		9th 26:3 27:1	
	,		
	′		
5			
5 57:18 182:2			
195:1 210:7			
212:3,7,9,16	7		
212:20 213:4	70 102:11 135:22		
270:9	136:2,19 137:5		
50 127:8 133:19	138:10 140:9		
195:6 210:16	175:17 233:8		
216:21 219:9	233:12		
219:10	71 102:11		
	457:19 159:10 266:8 4:18-cv-1:5 4:18-cv-06753 8:9 4:36 288:1 4:49 288:4 4:57 294:17 4:58 295:9 40 210:11,13 400 3:15 410 207:21 208:5 208:10 44 152:5 45 152:8 47 152:1,8 154:15 214:17 214:19 48 126:1 145:19 184:6 49 91:22 155:16 157:17 165:3 166:13 179:6 4th 24:21 254:8 5 5 57:18 182:2 195:1 210:7 212:3,7,9,16 212:20 213:4 270:9 50 127:8 133:19 195:6 210:16 216:21 219:9	326-7968 3:17 35,000 16:2 48:13 350-page 266:11 38 57:18 3rd 290:4 457:19 159:10 266:8 4:18-cv-1:5 4:18-cv-06753 8:9 4:36 288:1 4:49 288:4 4:57 294:17 4:58 295:9 40 210:11,13 400 3:15 410 207:21 208:5 208:10 44 152:5 45 152:8 47 152:1,8 154:15 214:17 214:19 48 126:1 145:19 184:6 459 91:22 155:16 157:17 165:3 166:13 179:6 4th 24:21 254:8 55 12:20 213:4 270:9 50 127:8 133:19 195:6 210:16 216:21 219:9 53 4:13 536.99 209:8 54 4:14 89:16 55 4:16,18 58 92:1 159:14 161:6 59 92:2 121:21 123:21 5A 102:4 5th 2:7 54:8 66 657:19 257:8 268:10,13 66:14 289:1 60 92:2 168:12 168:13 175:18 176:13 61 91:22 177:2 62 185:2 633 2:7 8:11 639 131:8 64 127:8 128:3 186:17 65 209:1 66 126:22 67 182:14 68 143:10,12 69 189:16,19 194:9 199:14 204:22 205:8 206:2 6th 273:7,22 136:2,19 137:5 138:10 140:9 175:17 233:8 233:12	326-7968 3:17 35,000 16:2 48:13 76 100:21 77 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 100:21 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 101:12 789-3193 3:7 76 102:1 102:12 80 174:18 175:7 175:17 224:19 812 1:21 298:1 299:2 99:2 99:2 99:2 99:2 268:10 111:16 120:22 184:8 268:2,9 268:13 9108:14 91067-36 90067-36 90067-36 90067-6029 297:2 90071 2:9 8:12